

# Surgical Management of Osteoarthritis of the Knee

### **Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guideline**

*Adapted by*: The American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons Board of Directors December 2, 2022

Endorsed by:



View background material via the SMOAK CPG eAppendix 1 View data summaries via the SMOAK CPG eAppendix 2

American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons. Surgical Management of Osteoarthritis of the Knee EvidenceBased Clinical Practice Guideline. <u>http://www.aaos.org/smoak2cpg</u>. Published 12/02/2022

#### Disclaimer

This clinical practice guideline (CPG) was developed by a physician volunteer clinical practice guideline development group based on a formal systematic review of the available scientific and clinical information and accepted approaches to treatment and/or diagnosis. This clinical practice guideline is not intended to be a fixed protocol, as some patients may require more or less treatment or different means of diagnosis. Clinical patients may not necessarily be the same as those found in a clinical trial. Patient care and treatment should always be based on a clinician's independent medical judgment, given the individual patient's specific clinical circumstances.

#### **Disclosure Requirement**

In accordance with AAOS policy, all individuals whose names appear as authors or contributors to the clinical practice guideline filed a disclosure statement as part of the submission process. All panel members provided full disclosure of potential conflicts of interest prior to voting on the recommendations contained within this clinical practice guideline.

#### **Funding Source**

This clinical practice guideline was funded exclusively by the American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons who received no funding from outside commercial sources to support the development of this document.

#### **FDA Clearance**

Some drugs or medical devices referenced or described in this clinical practice guideline may not have been cleared by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) or may have been cleared for a specific use only. The FDA has stated that it is the responsibility of the physician to determine the FDA clearance status of each drug or device he or she wishes to use in clinical practice.

#### Copyright

All rights reserved. No part of this clinical practice guideline may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form, or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without prior written permission from the AAOS. If you wish to request permission, please contact the AAOS Department of Clinical Quality and Value at <u>orthoguidelines@aaos.org</u>.

Published xxxx by the American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons 9400 Higgins Road Rosemont, IL 60018 First Edition Copyright 2020 by the American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons



To View All AAOS and AAOS-Endorsed Evidence-Based clinical practice guidelines and Appropriate Use Criteria in a User-Friendly Format, Please Visit the OrthoGuidelines Web-Based App at <u>www.orthoguidelines.org</u> or by downloading to your smartphone or tablet via the Apple and Google Play stores!

### Contents

SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS	7
DRAINS	7
CEMENTLESS FIXATION: CEMENTED FEMORAL &TIBIAL COMPONENTS VS. CEMENTLESS FEMORAL & TIBIAL COMPONENTS	7
CEMENTLESS FIXATION: ALL CEMENTED COMPONENTS VS. HYBRID FIXATION (CEMENTLESS FEMORAL COMPONENT)	7
UNICOMPARTMENTAL VS. TOTAL KNEE ARHTROPLASTY	8
PERIPHERAL NERVE BLOCKADE (PNB)	8
PERIARTICULAR LOCAL INFILTRATION	8
TRANEXAMIC ACID	8
SURGICAL NAVIGATION	8
RISK FACTORS: BODY MASS INDEX (BMI)	9
RISK FACTORS: DIABETES / HYPERGLYCEMIA	9
TOURNIQUETS	9
PATELLAR RESURFACING	9
CRUCIATE RETAINING ARTHROPLASTY	10
PATIENT SPECIFIC TECHNOLOGY	10
KINEMATIC VS. MECHANICAL ALIGNMENT	10
PRE-OPERATIVE OPIOID USE	10
SUMMARY OF OPTIONS	11
CEMENTLESS FIXATION: ALL CEMENTLESS COMPONENTS VS. HYBRID FIXATION (CEMENTLESS TIBIAL COMPONENT)	11
UNICOMPARTMENTAL KNEE ARTHROPLASTY VS. HIGH/PROXIMAL TIBIAL OSTEOTOMY	11
BILATERAL SIMULTANEOUS TOTAL KNEE ARTHROPLASTY VS. STAGED	11
RISK FACTORS: SMOKING	12
DISCHARGE FACILITIES / DISPOSITION	12
ROBOTICS IN TOTAL KNEE ARTHROPLASTY	12
ROBOTICS IN UNICOMPARTMENTAL KNEE ARTHROPLASTY	12
DEVELOPMENT GROUP ROSTER	13
VOTING MEMBERS	13
NON-VOTING MEMBERS	13
AAOS STAFF	13
INTRODUCTION	14
METHODS	16
LITERATURE SEARCHES	16
DEFINING THE QUALITY OF EVIDENCE	16
DEFINING THE STRENGTH OF RECOMMENDATION	16
VOTING ON THE RECOMMENDATIONS	17

UNDERSTANDING THE QUALITY OF EVIDENCE AND STRENGTH OF RECOMMENDATION	18
Table I. Level of Evidence Descriptions	18
Table II. Interpreting the Strength of a Recommendation or Option	18
REVIEW PERIOD	19
THE AAOS CPG APPROVAL PROCESS	19
REVISION PLANS	19
CPG DISSEMINATION PLANS	20
STUDY ATTRITION FLOWCHART	21
RECOMMENDATIONS	22
DRAINS	22
CEMENTLESS FIXATION: CEMENTED FEMORAL & TIBIAL COMPONENTS VS. CEMENTLI FEMORAL & TIBIAL COMPONENTS	E <b>SS</b> 24
CEMENTLESS FIXATION: ALL CEMENTED COMPONENTS VS. HYBRID FIXATION (CEMENTLESS FEMORAL COMPONENT)	26
UNICOMPARTMENTAL VS. TOTAL KNEE ARHTROPLASTY	28
PERIPHERAL NERVE BLOCKADE (PNB)	30
PERIARTICULAR LOCAL INFILTRATION	32
	34
SURGICAL NAVIGATION	36
RISK FACTORS: BODY MASS INDEX (BMI)	38
RISK FACTORS: DIABETES/HYPERGLYCEMIA	40
TOURNIQUETS	42
PATELLAR RESURFACING	44
CRUCIATE RETAINING ARTHROPLASTY	46
PATIENT SPECIFIC TECHNOLOGY	48
KINEMATIC VS. MECHANICAL ALIGNMENT	50
PRE-OPERATIVE OPIOID USE	52
OPTIONS	54
CEMENTLESS FIXATION: ALL CEMENTLESS COMPONENTS VS. HYBRID FIXATION (CEMENTLESS TIBIAL COMPONENT)	54
UNICOMPARTMENTAL KNEE ARTHROPLASTY VS. HIGH/PROXIMAL TIBIAL OSTEOTOM	<b>Y</b> 56
BILATERAL SIMULTANEOUS TOTAL KNEE ARTHROPLASTY VS. STAGED	58
RISK FACTORS: SMOKING	59
DISCHARGE FACILITIES / DISPOSITION	60
ROBOTICS IN TOTAL KNEE ARTHROPLASTY	61
ROBOTICS IN UNICOMPARTMENTAL KNEE ARTHROPLASTY	63
	64
Appendix I: References	64

Introduction References	64
Rationale References	64
Included Literature References	65
Appendix II: PICO Questions and Inclusion Criteria Used to Define Literature Search	97
Appendix III: Literature Search Strategy	. 101
Appendix IV: Guideline Development Group Disclosures	. 108

### SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS

Recommendations are formed when there is sufficient evidence by which to create a directional statement. This is defined as evidence from two or more high quality studies (i.e., a strong recommendation), two or more moderate quality studies (i.e., a moderate recommendation), or statements resulting in a strong or moderate strength following Evidence to Decision Framework upgrading and/or downgrading.

#### DRAINS

# Drains should not be used with total knee arthroplasty because there is no significant difference in complications or outcomes.

Quality of Evidence: High

Strength of Recommendation: Moderate \*\*\*\*\* (downgraded)

Evidence from two or more "Moderate" quality studies with consistent findings, or evidence from a single "High" quality study for recommending for or against the intervention. Also, higher strength evidence can be downgraded to limited due to major concerns addressed in the EtD Framework.

#### CEMENTLESS FIXATION: CEMENTED FEMORAL &TIBIAL COMPONENTS VS. CEMENTLESS FEMORAL & TIBIAL COMPONENTS

Cemented femoral and tibial components or cementless femoral and tibial components in knee arthroplasty show similar rates of functional outcomes, complications, and reoperations, and conflicting evidence in comparative studies.

#### Quality of Evidence: High

Strength of Recommendation: Moderate **\*\*\*** (downgraded)

Evidence from two or more "Moderate" quality studies with consistent findings, or evidence from a single "High" quality study for recommending for or against the intervention. Also, higher strength evidence can be downgraded to limited due to major concerns addressed in the EtD Framework.

#### CEMENTLESS FIXATION: ALL CEMENTED COMPONENTS VS. HYBRID FIXATION (CEMENTLESS FEMORAL COMPONENT)

Cemented femoral and tibial components or hybrid fixation (cementless femur) in total knee arthroplasty show similar functional outcomes and rates of complications and reoperations.

Quality of Evidence: High

Strength of Recommendation: Moderate \*\*\*\*\* (downgraded)

Evidence from two or more "Moderate" quality studies with consistent findings, or evidence from a single "High" quality study for recommending for or against the intervention. Also, higher strength evidence can be downgraded to limited due to major concerns addressed in the EtD Framework.

#### UNICOMPARTMENTAL VS. TOTAL KNEE ARHTROPLASTY

The practitioner can use unicompartmental arthroplasty vs total knee arthroplasty for patients with predominantly medial compartment osteoarthritis, as evidence reports improved patient reported and functional outcomes in the short term; however, long-term rates of revision in unicompartmental knee arthroplasty may be higher than total knee arthroplasty.

#### Quality of Evidence: High

Strength of Recommendation: Moderate \*\*\*\*\* (downgraded)

Evidence from two or more "Moderate" quality studies with consistent findings, or evidence from a single "High" quality study for recommending for or against the intervention. Also, higher strength evidence can be downgraded to limited due to major concerns addressed in the EtD Framework.

#### PERIPHERAL NERVE BLOCKADE (PNB)

Peripheral nerve blockades for total knee arthroplasty lead to decreased postoperative pain and opioid requirements with no difference in complications or outcomes.

Quality of Evidence: High

**Strength of Recommendation:** Strong **\*\*\*\*** Evidence from two or more "High" quality studies with consistent findings for recommending for or against the intervention.

#### PERIARTICULAR LOCAL INFILTRATION

# Periarticular injections used in total knee arthroplasty lead to decreased postoperative pain.

Quality of Evidence: High

**Strength of Recommendation:** Strong **\*\*\*\*** Evidence from two or more "High" quality studies with consistent findings for recommending for or against the *intervention*.

#### TRANEXAMIC ACID

In patients with no known contraindications, tranexamic acid (TXA) should be used because its use decreases postoperative blood loss, postoperative drain collection, and reduces the necessity of postoperative transfusions following total knee arthroplasty (TKA).

Quality of Evidence: High

**Strength of Recommendation:** Strong **\*\*\*\*** Evidence from two or more "High" quality studies with consistent findings for recommending for or against the intervention.

#### SURGICAL NAVIGATION

There is no difference in outcomes, function, or pain between navigation and conventional techniques.

Quality of Evidence: High

**Strength of Recommendation:** Moderate (downgraded) Evidence from two or more "Moderate" quality studies with consistent findings, or evidence from a single "High" quality study for recommending for or against the intervention. Also, higher strength evidence can be downgraded to limited due to major concerns addressed in the EtD Framework.

#### RISK FACTORS: BODY MASS INDEX (BMI)

There is no difference in postoperative functional scores between patients with a BMI < 30 and obese patients (BMI 30-39.9); however, there may be increased risk of complications in morbidly obese patients ( $\geq$ 40), in particular, surgical site infections.

Quality of Evidence: High

Strength of Recommendation: Strong

Evidence from two or more "High" quality studies with consistent findings for recommending for or against the intervention.

#### **RISK FACTORS: DIABETES / HYPERGLYCEMIA**

Optimization of perioperative glucose control (<126mg/dl) after total knee arthroplasty should be attempted in diabetic and non-diabetic patients with HgbA1C <6.5, as hyperglycemia can lead to less favorable postoperative outcomes and higher complication rates.

Quality of Evidence: High



Evidence from two or more "High" quality studies with consistent findings for recommending for or against the intervention.

#### TOURNIQUETS

Evidence reports that there is no difference in outcomes, function, pain, or blood transfusions between the use of tourniquets and nonuse of tourniquets.

Quality of Evidence: High

**Strength of Recommendation:** Strong **\*\*\*\*** *Evidence from two or more "High" quality studies with consistent findings for recommending for or against the intervention.* 

#### PATELLAR RESURFACING

Evidence reports that there is no difference between patellar surfacing or nonpatellar resurfacing in total knee arthroplasty.

Quality of Evidence: High

Strength of Recommendation: Strong

Evidence from two or more "High" quality studies with consistent findings for recommending for or against the intervention.

#### **CRUCIATE RETAINING ARTHROPLASTY**

Cruciate retaining (CR) and posterior stabilized (PS) total knee arthroplasty (TKA) designs have similarly efficacious/favorable postoperative outcomes.

Quality of Evidence: High

**Strength of Recommendation:** Strong **\*\*\*\*** Evidence from two or more "High" quality studies with consistent findings for recommending for or against the intervention.

#### PATIENT SPECIFIC TECHNOLOGY

The practitioner should not use patient specific technology (e.g., guides, cutting blocks) because there is no significant difference in patient outcomes, function, or pain as compared to conventional total knee arthroplasty (TKA). Additionally, it does not reduce operating time, blood loss, length of stay, and/or complications.

Quality of Evidence: High

Strength of Recommendation: Strong

Evidence from two or more "High" quality studies with consistent findings for recommending for or against the intervention.

#### **KINEMATIC VS. MECHANICAL ALIGNMENT**

There is no difference in composite/functional outcomes or complications between kinematic or mechanical alignment principles in total knee arthroplasty.

Quality of Evidence: High

Strength of Recommendation: Strong

Evidence from two or more "High" quality studies with consistent findings for recommending for or against the intervention.

#### **PRE-OPERATIVE OPIOID USE**

Cessation of preoperative opioids should be attempted for total knee arthroplasty (TKA), as preoperative opioid use demonstrates decreased postoperative functional scores and increased pain scores and complications.

Quality of Evidence: Low

Strength of Recommendation: Moderate \*\*\*\* (Upgraded)

Evidence from one or more "Low" quality studies with consistent findings or evidence from a single "Moderate" quality study recommending for or against the intervention. Also, lower strength evidence can be upgraded to moderate due to concerns addressed in the EtD Framework.

### SUMMARY OF OPTIONS

Options are formed when there is little or no evidence on a topic. This is defined as low quality evidence or a single moderate quality study (i.e., a limited strength option), no evidence or only conflicting evidence (i.e., a consensus option), or statements resulting in a limited or consensus strength following Evidence to Decision Framework upgrading and/or downgrading.

#### CEMENTLESS FIXATION: ALL CEMENTLESS COMPONENTS VS. HYBRID FIXATION (CEMENTLESS TIBIAL COMPONENT)

All cementless components or hybrid fixation (cementless femur) in total knee arthroplasty show similar functional outcomes and rates of complications and reoperations.

#### Quality of Evidence: Moderate

**Strength of Option:** Limited **\*\*** (downgraded) Evidence from two or more "Moderate" quality studies with consistent findings, or evidence from a single "High" quality study for recommending for or against the intervention. Also, higher strength evidence can be downgraded to limited due to major concerns addressed in the EtD Framework.

# UNICOMPARTMENTAL KNEE ARTHROPLASTY VS. HIGH/PROXIMAL TIBIAL OSTEOTOMY

The practitioner could use unicompartmental knee arthroplasty or tibial osteotomy for the treatment of knee osteoarthritis.

#### Quality of Evidence: Moderate

Strength of Option: Limited **\*\*\*** (downgraded)

Evidence from two or more "Moderate" quality studies with consistent findings, or evidence from a single "High" quality study for recommending for or against the intervention. Also, higher strength evidence can be downgraded to limited due to major concerns addressed in the EtD Framework.

#### **BILATERAL SIMULTANEOUS TOTAL KNEE ARTHROPLASTY VS. STAGED**

In the absence of reliable evidence, it is the opinion of the workgroup that simultaneous bilateral total knee arthroplasty (TKA) could be performed vs. staged (>90 days) bilateral TKA in appropriately selected patients but should be performed with caution and should be avoided with patients who are at high risk of cardiopulmonary complications.

#### Quality of Evidence: Low

Strength of Option: Consensus **\*\*\*** (downgraded)

Description: Evidence there is no supporting evidence, or limited level evidence was downgraded due to major concerns addressed in the EtD framework. In the absence of reliable evidence, the guideline work group is making a recommendation based on their clinical opinion.

#### **RISK FACTORS: SMOKING**

Smoking cessation should be attempted before total knee arthroplasty, as a history of smoking may result in higher complications, lower functional scores, higher pain scores, and SSIs.

#### Quality of Evidence: Low

Strength of Option: Consensus **\***\*\*\*\*\* (downgraded)

Description: Evidence there is no supporting evidence, or limited level evidence was downgraded due to major concerns addressed in the EtD framework. In the absence of reliable evidence, the guideline work group is making a recommendation based on their clinical opinion.

#### **DISCHARGE FACILITIES / DISPOSITION**

Discharge to home, with or without home services, is associated with fewer adverse events compared to discharge to acute rehabilitation facility or skilled nursing facility.

#### Quality of Evidence: Low

Strength of Option: Limited

Description: Evidence from one or more "Low" quality studies with consistent findings or evidence from a single "Moderate" quality study recommending for or against the intervention.

#### **ROBOTICS IN TOTAL KNEE ARTHROPLASTY**

Evidence suggests no significant difference in function, outcomes, or complications in the short term between robotic assisted and conventional total knee arthroplasty (TKA).

Quality of Evidence: High

**Strength of Option:** Limited **\*\*** (downgraded) Description: Evidence from one or more "Low" quality studies with consistent findings or evidence from a single "Moderate" quality study recommending for or against the intervention.

#### **ROBOTICS IN UNICOMPARTMENTAL KNEE ARTHROPLASTY**

Evidence suggests no significant difference in function, outcomes, or complications in the short term between robotic assisted and conventional unicompartmental knee arthroplasty.

Quality of Evidence: High

**Strength of Option:** Limited \*\*\* (downgraded) Description: Evidence from one or more "Low" quality studies with consistent findings or evidence from a single "Moderate" quality study recommending for or against the intervention.

### DEVELOPMENT GROUP ROSTER

#### **VOTING MEMBERS**

Jonathan Godin, MD, Co-Chair Arthroscopy Association of North America

Ajay Srivastava, MD, FAAOS, Co-Chair American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons

Michael Blankstein, FRCSC, FAAOS American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons

Kathryn Schabel, MD, FAAOS

Justin T. Deen, MD American Association of Hip and Knee Surgeons

#### NON-VOTING MEMBERS

Atul Kamath, MD, FAAOS, Oversight Chair American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons

#### AAOS STAFF

Jayson Murray, MA Managing Director, Clinical Quality and Value, AAOS

Kaitlyn Sevarino, MBA, CAE Director, Clinical Quality and Value, AAOS

Danielle Schulte, MS Manager, Clinical Quality and Value, AAOS

**Tyler Verity** *Medical Research Librarian, Clinical Quality and Value, AAOS* 

Frank Casambre, MPH Manager, Clinical Quality and Value, AAOS Jaime Bellamy, DO, FAAOS Society of Military Orthopaedic Surgeons

Nicolas Piuzzi, MD American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons

**David Christensen, MD** *American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons* 

Sharon Walton, MD, FAAOS American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons

Patrick Donnelly, MPH Statistician, Clinical Quality and Value, AAOS

Jennifer Rodriguez, MBA Quality Development Assistant, Clinical Quality and Value, AAOS

**Elizabeth Weintraub, MPH** *Research Analyst, Clinical Quality and Value, AAOS* 

**Erin Quaco, MS** *Research Analyst, Clinical Quality and Value, AAO* 

Please cite this guideline as:

1

American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons on the Surgical Management of Osteoarthritis of the Knee Clinical Practice Guideline. <u>https://www.aaos.org/smoak2cpg.org</u> Published xx/xx/xxxx View background materials via the <u>SMOAK2 CPG eAppendix 1</u> View data summaries via the <u>SMOAK2 CPG eAppendix 2</u>

#### **OVERVIEW**

This clinical practice guideline is based on a systematic review of published studies examining the surgical management of osteoarthritis (OA) of the knee in skeletally mature patients. It provides recommendations that will help practitioners integrate the current evidence into clinical practice, and it highlights gaps in the literature in need of future research. This guideline is intended to be used by surgeons and clinicians who incorporate surgical management of OA of the knee into their practice. This guideline also serves as an information resource for developers and applied users of clinical practice guidelines.

#### **GOALS AND RATIONALE**

The purpose of this clinical practice guideline is to evaluate the current best evidence associated with surgical management of osteoarthritis (OA) of the knee. Evidence-based medicine (EBM) standards advocate for use of empirical evidence by physicians in their clinical decision-making. To assist with access to the vast resources of information, a systematic review of the literature was conducted between September 2020 and January 2022. It highlights where there is good evidence, where evidence is lacking, and what topics future research will need to target in order to help facilitate evidence-based decision-making in the surgical management of patients with OA of the knee. AAOS staff methodologists assisted the physician/clinician work group in evaluating the existing literature so that they could formulate the following recommendations based on a rigorous systematic process.

Musculoskeletal care is provided in many different settings and by a variety of providers. We created this guideline as an educational tool to guide qualified physicians and clinicians in making treatment decisions that improve the quality and efficacy of care. This guideline should not be construed as including all possible methods of care or excluding acceptable interventions similarly directed at obtaining favorable outcomes. The final decision to use a specific procedure must be made after assessing all concerns presented by the patient and consideration of locality-specific resources.

#### **INTENDED USERS**

This guideline is intended to be used by orthopaedic surgeons and other healthcare providers managing knee OA. It serves as an information resource for medical practitioners. In general, practicing physicians and clinicians do not have the resources required to complete a project of comparable scope and duration involving the evaluation of an extensive literature base. In April 2019, the AAOS adopted the use of the GRADE Evidence-to-Decision Framework into its clinical practice guideline development methodology. This framework enables work group members to incorporate additional factors into the strength of each recommendation and move away from the rigidity of previous AAOS recommendation language stems. The AAOS intends for this guideline to assist treatment providers not only in making shared clinical decisions with their patients, but also in describing to patients and their loved ones why a selected intervention represents the best available course of treatment. This guideline is not intended for use as a benefits determination document. It does not cover allocation of resources, business and ethical considerations, and other factors needed to determine the material value of orthopaedic care. Users of this guideline may also want to consider the appropriate use criteria (AUC) related to the surgical management of knee OA.

#### PATIENT POPULATION

This guideline is intended for use with skeletally mature patients who have been diagnosed by a trained healthcare provider with knee osteoarthritis.

#### SCOPE

The scope of this guideline includes surgical interventions for symptomatic osteoarthritis of the knee as well as operative procedures less invasive than knee replacement (arthroplasty). It does not provide recommendations for patients diagnosed with rheumatoid arthritis, osteoarthritis of other joints, or other inflammatory arthropathies.

#### ETIOLOGY

Osteoarthritis arises from complex biological processes. which starts with abnormal tissue metabolism leading to cartilage degradation. Various cytokinin are responsible for the progressive destruction and remodeling of the joint through the stimulation of matrix-degrading enzymes, including the matrix metalloproteinases. The disease process ultimately involves cartilage, bone, synovium, ligaments, periarticular fat, meniscus, and muscle. Risk factors include trauma, overuse, and genetic predisposition.

#### INCIDENCE AND PREVALENCE

Two hundred and forty million people worldwide have symptomatic, activity-limiting OA. The incidence of knee osteoarthritis in the United States is estimated at 240 persons per 100,000 per year. Approximately 30% of individuals greater than 45 years old have radiographic evidence of knee OA (Zhang 2013). Worldwide prevalence of radiographically confirmed symptomatic knee OA is estimated to be 3.8% overall, increasing with age to over 10% in the population over the age of 60 (Palazzo 2016). Depending on studies, the prevalence of symptomatic radiographic knee OA ranges from 11-18% in women and 6-13% in men (Katz 2021).

#### **BURDEN OF DISEASE**

Osteoarthritis (of any joint) was the primary diagnosis for 23.7 million ambulatory care visits in 2013. Out of an estimated 32.5 million adults in America, 14% of that population suffered from symptomatic knee osteoarthritis between 2008 and 2014 (boneandjointburden.org). Lifetime costs for persons diagnosed with knee OA were \$140,300 in 2015 (Losina 2015). As compared to males with OA, women have more severe radiographic findings and symptoms (Jeffery Katz 2021). Women represent 78% of the patients diagnosed with osteoarthritis between 2008 and 2014. Wage losses due to OA (knee and hip) amount to \$65 billion and direct medical costs exceed \$100 billion (Losina 2015).

#### **EMOTIONAL AND PHYSICAL IMPACT**

A third of patients with OA tend to have multiple comorbidities and have approximately 20% increased mortality as compared with age matched controls, partly due to decreased physical activity. Anxiety and depression are prevalent in approximately 19% of patients with osteoarthritis. Older adults with self-reported osteoarthritis visit their physicians more frequently and experience greater functional limitations than others in the same age group. The aging of the baby boomers, rise in rates of obesity, and greater emphasis on staying active suggest that the social and physical impact of knee osteoarthritis will continue to be widespread.

#### POTENTIAL BENEFITS, HARM, AND CONTRAINDICATIONS

Individuals with osteoarthritis of the knee often complain of joint pain, stiffness, and difficulty with purposeful movement. The aim of treatment is to provide pain relief and improve the patient's functioning. Most interventions are associated with some potential for adverse outcomes, especially if invasive or operative. Because the clinical research does not differentiate between the sexes, possible future research may result in a better understanding of how a patient's sex alters treatment benefits and harms. Contraindications vary widely by procedure. Reducing risks improves treatment efficacy and is accomplished through collaboration between patient and physician.

#### DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE PRESENT AND PREVIOUS GUIDELINES

This updated clinical practice guideline replaces the second edition that was completed in 2015, "Surgical Management of Osteoarthritis of the Knee." This update considered the literature that we previously examined as well as the empirical evidence published since the 2015 guideline. In April 2019, the AAOS adopted the use of the GRADE Evidence-to-Decision Framework into its clinical practice guideline development methodology. This Framework enables work group members to incorporate additional factors into the strength of each recommendation and move away from the rigidity of previous AAOS recommendation language stems. The complete listing of inclusion criteria for this guideline is detailed in the section, "Study Selection Criteria," (eAppendix 1).

### METHODS

The methods used to perform this systematic review were employed to minimize bias and enhance transparency in the selection, appraisal, and analysis of the available evidence. These processes are vital to the development of reliable, transparent, and accurate clinical recommendations. To view the full AAOS clinical practice guideline methodology please visit <u>https://www.aaos.org/quality/researchresources/methodology/.</u>

This clinical practice guideline evaluates the surgical management of osteoarthritis of the knee. The AAOS approach incorporates practicing physicians (clinical experts) and methodologists who are free of potential conflicts of interest relevant to the topic under study, as recommended by clinical practice guideline development experts.

This clinical practice guideline was prepared by the AAOS Surgical Management of Osteoarthritis of the Knee Guideline physician development group (clinical experts) with the assistance of the AAOS Clinical Quality and Value (CQV) Department (methodologists). To develop this clinical practice guideline, the clinical practice quideline development group held an introductory meeting on September 19<sup>th</sup>, 2020, to establish the scope of the clinical practice guideline. As physician experts, the clinical practice guideline development group defined the scope of the clinical practice guideline by creating PICO Questions (i.e., population, intervention, comparison, and outcome) that directed the literature search. The AAOS Medical Librarian created and executed the search (see Appendix III for search strategy).

#### LITERATURE SEARCHES

The systematic review begins with a comprehensive search of the literature. Articles considered were published prior to the start date of the search in a minimum of three electronic databases; PubMed, EMBASE, and the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials. The medical librarian conducts the search using key terms determined from the guideline development group's PICO questions. The initial literature search was

conducted December 4th, 2020 and a final literature search was conducted on September 24<sup>th</sup>, 2021.

A CQV methodologist will review/include only primary literature but will supplement the electronic search with a manual search of the bibliographies of secondary literature sources, such as systematic reviews, as available. The methodologist will then evaluate all recalled articles for possible inclusion based on the study selection criteria and will summarize the evidence for the guideline work group who assist with reconciling possible errors and omissions.

A study attrition diagram is provided in the appendix of each document that details the numbers of identified abstracts, recalled and selected studies, and excluded studies that were evaluated in the CPG. The search strategies used to identify the abstracts is also included in the appendix of each CPG document.

#### **DEFINING THE QUALITY OF EVIDENCE**

The quality of evidence for a recommendation is determined by the quality and quantity of included literature for the statement. Statements with evidence from two or more "High" quality studies are considered to have "High Quality Evidence". Statements with evidence from two or more "Moderate" quality studies, or evidence from a single "High" quality study are considered to have "Moderate Quality Evidence". Statements with evidence from two or more "Low" quality studies or evidence from a single "Moderate" quality study are considered to have "Low Quality Evidence". Statements with evidence from one "Low" quality study or no supporting evidence are considered to have "Very Low Quality Evidence" or "Consensus" respectively.

#### DEFINING THE STRENGTH OF RECOMMENDATION

Judging the quality of evidence is only a steppingstone towards arriving at the strength of a CPG recommendation. The strength of recommendation also takes into account the quality, quantity, and the trade-off between the benefits and harms of a treatment, the magnitude of a treatment's effect, and whether data exists on critical outcomes.

Strength of recommendation expresses the degree of confidence one can have in a recommendation. As such, the strength expresses how possible it is that a recommendation will be overturned by future evidence. It is very difficult for future evidence to overturn a recommendation that is based on many high quality randomized controlled trials that show a large effect. It is much more likely that future evidence will overturn recommendations derived from a few small retrospective comparative studies. Consequently, recommendations based on the former kind of evidence are given a "strong" strength of recommendation and statement based on the latter kind of evidence are presented as options to the practicing clinician, rather than a directional recommendation, with either a "limited" strength or, in the event of no supporting or only conflicting evidence, a "consensus" strength.

#### VOTING ON THE RECOMMENDATIONS

The recommendations and their strength were voted on by the guideline development group members during the final meeting. If disagreement between the guideline development group occurred, there was further discussion to see whether the disagreement(s) could be resolved. Recommendations were approved and adopted in instances where a simple majority (60%) of the auideline development aroup voted to approve; however, the guideline development group had consensus (100% approval) when voting on every recommendation for this guideline. Any recommendation strength upgrade or downgrade based on the Evidence-to-Decision Framework requires a super majority (75%) approval of the work group.

# UNDERSTANDING THE QUALITY OF EVIDENCE AND STRENGTH OF RECOMMENDATION

Statement Strength	Evidence Quality	Statement Description	Strength Visual
Strong	High*	Evidence from two or more "High" quality studies with consistent findings recommending for or against the intervention. Or Rec is upgraded using the EtD framework.	****
Moderate	Moderate*	Evidence from two or more "Moderate" quality studies with consistent findings or evidence from a single "High" quality study recommending for or against the intervention. Or Rec is upgraded or downgraded using the EtD framework.	****
Limited	Low*	Evidence from two or more "Low" quality studies with consistent findings or evidence from a single "Moderate" quality study recommending for or against the intervention. Or Rec is downgraded using the EtD framework.	****
Consensus*	Very Low, or Consensus*	Evidence from one "Low" quality study, no supporting evidence, or Rec is downgraded using the EtD framework. In the absence of sufficient evidence, the guideline work group is making a statement based on their clinical opinion.	****

#### Table I. Level of Evidence Descriptions

\*Unless statement was upgraded or downgraded in strength, using the EtD Framework

#### Table II. Interpreting the Strength of a Recommendation or Option

Strength of Recommendation	Patient Counseling (Time)	Decision Aids	Impact of Future Research
Strong	Least	Least Important, unless the evidence supports no difference between two alternative interventions	Not likely to change
Moderate	Less	Less Important	Less likely to change
Limited	More	Important	Change possible/anticipated
Consensus	Most	Most Important	Impact unknown

#### **REVIEW PERIOD**

Following the final meeting, the CPG draft undergoes a 3-week review period for additional input from external content experts. Written comments are provided on the structured review form. All reviewers are required to disclose their conflicts of interest.

Specialty societies relevant to the topic are solicited for nominations of individual reviewers approximately six weeks before the final meeting. The review period is announced as it approaches, and others interested are able to volunteer to review the draft. The chairs of the guideline work group review the draft of the guideline prior to dissemination.

Some specialty societies (both orthopaedic and non-orthopaedic) ask their evidencebased practice (EBP) committee to provide review of the guideline. The organization is responsible for coordinating the distribution of our materials and consolidating their comments onto one form. The chair of the external EBP committees provides disclosure of their conflicts of interest (COI) and manages the potential conflicts of their members.

Again, the AAOS asks for comments to be assembled into a single response form by the specialty society and for the individual submitting the review to provide disclosure of potentially conflicting interests. The review stage gives external stakeholders an opportunity to provide evidence-based direction for modifications that they believe have been overlooked. Since the draft is subject to revisions until its approval by the AAOS Board of Directors as the final step in the guideline development process, confidentiality of all working drafts is essential.

The CPG is also provided to members of the AAOS Board of Directors (BOD), members of the Research and Quality Council (RQC), members of the Board of Councilors (BOC), and members of the Board of Specialty Societies (BOS) and members of the Committee on Evidence-Based Quality and Value (EBQV) for review and comment. The CPG is automatically forwarded to the AAOS BOD, RQC, and EBQV so that they may review it and provide comment prior to being asked to approve the document. Based on these bodies, over 200 commentators have the opportunity to provide input into each CPG.

The chairs of the guideline work group, the manager of the AAOS CQV unit, and the Director of AAOS CQV draft the initial responses to comments that address methodology. These responses are then reviewed by the chair and co-chair, who respond to questions concerning clinical practice and techniques. All comments received and the initial drafts of the responses are also reviewed by all members of the guideline development group. All proposed changes to recommendation language as a result of the review period are based on the evidence. Final revisions are summarized in a report that is provided alongside the guideline document throughout the remainder of the approval processes and final publication.

The AAOS believes in the importance of demonstrating responsiveness to input received during the review process and welcomes the critiques of external specialty societies. Following final approval of the guideline, all individual responses are posted on our website http://www.aaos.org/quality with a point-by-point reply to each non-editorial comment. Reviewers who wish to remain anonymous notify the AAOS to have their names de-identified; their comments, our responses, and their COI disclosures are still posted.

#### THE AAOS CPG APPROVAL PROCESS

This final clinical practice guideline draft must be approved by the AAOS Committee on Evidence Based Quality and Value, and subsequently the AAOS Research and Quality Council, and the AAOS Board of Directors. These decision-making bodies are described in the Anterior Cruciate Ligament Injury CPG eAppendix. Their charge is to approve or reject its publication by majority vote.

#### **REVISION PLANS**

This clinical practice guideline represents a cross-sectional view of current treatment and

may become outdated as new evidence becomes available. This clinical practice guideline will be revised in accordance with new evidence, changing practice, rapidly emerging treatment options, and new technology. This clinical practice guideline will be updated or withdrawn in five years.

#### **CPG DISSEMINATION PLANS**

The primary purpose of the present document is to provide interested readers with full documentation of the best available evidence for various procedures associated with the topic of this review. Publication of most clinical practice guidelines is announced by an Academy press release, articles authored by the clinical practice guideline development group and published in the Journal of the American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons, and articles published in AAOS *Now*. Most clinical practice guidelines are also distributed at the AAOS Annual Meeting in the Resource Center. he final guideline recommendations and their supporting rationales will be hosted on www.OrthoGuidelines.org.

Selected clinical practice guidelines are disseminated by webinar, the AAOS Learning Management Systems (LMS), Media Briefings, and by distributing them at relevant Continuing Medical Education (CME) courses.

#### STUDY ATTRITION FLOWCHART



Please cite this guideline as:

American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons on the Surgical Management of Osteoarthritis of the Knee Clinical Practice Guideline. <u>https://www.aaos.org/smoak2cpg.org</u> Published xx/xx/xxxx View background materials via the <u>SMOAK2 CPG eAppendix 1</u> View data summaries via the <u>SMOAK2 CPG eAppendix 2</u>

### RECOMMENDATIONS

Recommendations are formed when there is sufficient evidence by which to create a directional statement. This is defined as evidence from two or more high quality studies (i.e., a strong recommendation), two or more moderate quality studies (i.e., a moderate recommendation), or statements resulting in a strong or moderate strength following Evidence to Decision Framework upgrading and/or downgrading.

#### DRAINS

Drains should not be used with total knee arthroplasty because there is no significant difference in complications or outcomes.

#### Quality of Evidence: High

Strength of Recommendation: Moderate \*\*\*\*\* (downgraded)

Evidence from two or more "Moderate" quality studies with consistent findings, or evidence from a single "High" quality study for recommending for or against the intervention. Also, higher strength evidence can be downgraded to limited due to major concerns addressed in the EtD Framework.

#### Rationale

This recommendation has been downgraded due to potential benefits to patients. Four high quality studies (Zhou 2017, Li 2011, Esler 2003, and Omonbude 2010) and two moderate quality studies (Maniar 2019, Jenny 2001) were reviewed. There is no difference in composite functional score between two groups. Zhou (2017) showed that despite an increase in range of motion by 7.1 degrees at discharge and 5.2 degrees at six months in patents with drain, patients without drain after tourniquet-free TKA were associated with less decrease in Hb, less use of hematopoietic medication, earlier time to ambulation, and shorter length of stay in the early postoperative period. Maniar (2019) demonstrated reduced opioid consumption in the first 6 hours but no difference in opioid consumption at 6-24 hours and no difference in patient outcome at 1 year. Elser (2003) showed increased blood loss in patients with drain however there was no statistical difference in the swelling, pain score, time at which flexion was regained, the need for manipulation, or in the incidence of infection. Omonbude (2010) demonstrated that there was increased hematoma in no-drain group which was clinically not significant because it did not result in difference in post-operative hemoglobin. Overall, the studies have been unable to provide evidence to support the routine use of a closed suction drain in TKA.

#### **Benefits/ Harms of Implementation**

Drains may benefit a slight decrease in swelling and increase in range of motion, but it can interfere with early mobilization. There is a possibility of increased manipulation in patients without drains. Patients with drains could result in a longer length of stay leading to increased cost of care.

#### **Outcome Importance**

Placement of drains does not improve functional outcome. The overall benefit does not appear sufficient to advise use.

#### **Cost Effectiveness/ Resource Utilization**

Drain utilization may increase the cost of care due to the possibility of increased length of stay.

#### Acceptability

Literature supports that use of drains does not improve outcome or decrease complications. This recommendation should be acceptable to medical providers. It is important to emphasize that this recommendation applies to primary knee arthroplasty. Physicians should use their judgment in patients with revision knee arthroplasty.

#### Feasibility

There are no significant barriers to implementation of recommendation. Eliminating drain use will decrease cost and improved patient experience.

#### **Future Research**

There is a possibility that slightly better range of motion in patients with drains could decrease manipulation under anesthesia. A well-designed prospective study would be helpful to see if the use of drains could decrease the incidence of arthrofibrosis. Tranexamic acid has been very effective in reducing blood loss after knee arthroplasty. Further research is needed to see if tranexamic acid use alone can reduce the hematoma formation and increase range of motion which will completely eliminate the perceived need for use of the drain.

Cemented femoral and tibial components or cementless femoral and tibial components in knee arthroplasty show similar rates of functional outcomes, complications, and reoperations, and conflicting evidence in comparative studies.

#### Quality of Evidence: High

Strength of Recommendation: Moderate \*\*\*\*\* (downgraded)

Evidence from two or more "Moderate" quality studies with consistent findings, or evidence from a single "High" quality study for recommending for or against the intervention. Also, higher strength evidence can be downgraded to limited due to major concerns addressed in the EtD Framework.

#### Rationale

In general, the body of evidence was notable for heterogeneity in study design, comparative study groups (including cementless, hybrid, and cemented fixation), and confounding results. As such, the recommendation has been downgraded.

There were twelve high quality studies (Demey 2011, Fernandez-Fairen 2013, Kim 2014, Lizaur-Utrilla 2014, Kendrick 2015, Pulido 2015, Van Hamersveld 2017, Nam 2019, Batailler 2020, Hampton 2020, Kim 2020, Murylev 2020) and seventeen low quality studies (Khaw 2002, Carlsson 2005, Baker 2007, Park 2011, Pandit 2013, Bagsby 2016, Kerens 2017, Boyle 2018, Nugent 2019, Manoli 2019, Deroche 2020, Irmola 2020, Lizaur-Utrilla 2020, Mohammad 2020, Gifstad 2021, Silverstein 2021, Quispel 2021) evaluating the use of various combinations of cemented versus cementless fixation of components (tibia, femur, patella) in total knee arthroplasty.

Registry data from the American Joint Replacement Registry (AJRR 2020) has shown that fully cementless fixation was found to have a significant decrease in cumulative percent revision compared to cemented fixation in males ≥65 years of age (HR=0.755. CI 0.631-0.905) and in patients <65 years of age reported to AJRR (HR=0.785, CI 0.664-0.927). Literature comparing complications and revision rates between fully cemented and uncemented fixation included one high quality study (Kim 2020) and nine low quality studies (Bagsby 2016, Kerens 2017, Boyle 2018, Manoli 2019, Nugent 2019, Irmola 2020, Deroche 2020, Mohammad 2020, Quispel 2020). Studies varied with respect to follow-up, ranging from 53 months to 25 years. Irmola (2020) showed a higher rate of all-cause revisions at 5 years in the cementless group, and Nugent (2019) showed higher rates of revision in the cementless group at 10 years. Two studies (Nugent 2019, Mohammad 2020) showed a significantly increased rate of fracture and revision in the cementless group at 5 and 10 years, respectively. Mohammad (2020) also showed higher rates of aseptic loosening in the cemented groups. However, Manoli (2019) and Bagsby (2016) showed higher revision rates with cemented fixation at 90 days and 6 years, respectively. Nevertheless, across comparative groups, no major differences existed between cemented and cementless fixation in any other studies with respect to rates of complications and re-operations, including studies with longer follow up.

Only small differences were seen with respect to outcome measures, depending on the particular comparative groups, length of follow up, and scoring instruments. Three high quality studies (Kim 2020, Hampton 2020, Murylev 2020) showed improved functional scores at 1 year and 25 years postoperatively in the uncemented group. Two low quality studies (Nugent 2019, Deroche 2020) showed better functional scores with cementless fixation at 6 months, 2 years, and 5 years. However, three high quality studies (Kendrick 2015, Van Hamersveld 2017, Nam 2019) and five low quality studies (Kerens 2017, Stempin 2017, Karachalios 2018, Mohammad 2020, Pacoret 2020) showed no significant difference in functional scores between groups at short-, mid-, and long-term follow-up, respectively.

#### **Benefits/ Harms of Implementation**

There are no known harms associated with implementing this recommendation. The decision to use cementless versus cementless fixation may be influenced by individual patient situations. The practitioner should be aware of the advantages and disadvantages of a variety of treatment methods. For example, intra-operative fracture during component insertion or failure of ingrowth may be of concern with certain cementless designs in patients with poor bone quality.

#### **Future Research**

Continued long term comparative studies between modern cemented and cementless component fixation options in knee arthroplasty will help to further define the utility of these component types, durability of fixation, and effect of evolving component designs (e.g., modular and monolithic) on patient-reported outcomes. Certainly, newer fixation materials (e.g., porous metals) should be evaluated in long term follow up. Identifying patient-specific factors that may inform the decision to utilize a particular fixation technique, or to avoid complications associated with particular fixation strategies, is important. Registry data. Long term studies (greater than ten years clinical follow up) should inform durability of specific components and may serve to analyze implant-specific complications and revision risk. Given some variability in the patient-reported outcome measures between treatment groups, particularly in high quality studies, more clinical data may discern subtle differences in clinical outcomes based on the use of cemented or cementless component fixation. Issues of cost and cost-effectiveness should also be incorporated into future clinical studies.

# Cemented femoral and tibial components or hybrid fixation (cementless femur) in total knee arthroplasty show similar functional outcomes and rates of complications and reoperations.

#### Quality of Evidence: High

Strength of Recommendation: Moderate \*\*\*\*\* (downgraded)

Evidence from two or more "Moderate" quality studies with consistent findings, or evidence from a single "High" quality study for recommending for or against the intervention. Also, higher strength evidence can be downgraded to limited due to major concerns addressed in the EtD Framework.

#### Rationale

In general, the body of evidence was notable for heterogeneity in study design and comparative study groups (including cementless, hybrid, and cemented fixation). As such, the recommendation has been downgraded.

There were twelve high quality studies (Demey 2011, Fernandez-Fairen 2013, Kim 2014, Lizaur-Utrilla 2014, Kendrick 2015, Pulido 2015, Van Hamersveld 2017, Nam 2019, Batailler 2020, Hampton 2020, Kim 2020, Murylev 2020) and seventeen low quality (Khaw 2002, Carlsson 2005, Baker 2007, Park 2011, Pandit 2013, Bagsby 2016, Kerens 2017, Boyle 2018, Nugent 2019, Manoli 2019, Deroche 2020, Irmola 2020, Lizaur-Utrilla 2020, Mohammad 2020, Gifstad 2021, Silverstein 2021, Quispel 2021) evaluating the use of various combinations of cemented versus cementless fixation of components (tibia, femur, patella) in total knee arthroplasty.

One high quality (Batailler 2020) and four low quality studies (Nugent 2019, Irmola 2020, Lizaur-Utrilla 2020, Quispel 2021) specifically compared cemented and hybrid fixation. Nugent (2019) showed significantly better functional outcomes and lower rates of revision with all cemented fixation, and Lizaur-Utrilla (2020) showed significantly better functional outcomes and lower rates of revision with hybrid fixation. Additional low quality studies showed no significant difference in revision rates between the two fixation types. Like the cemented versus cementless comparisons, only small differences were seen with respect to outcome measures, depending on the particular study comparative groups, length of follow up, and scoring instruments.

#### **Benefits/ Harms of Implementation**

There are no known harms associated with implementing this recommendation. The decision to use cementless versus cementless fixation may be influenced by particular patient situations. The practitioner should be aware of the advantages and disadvantages of particular treatments methods. For example, intra-operative fracture during component insertion or failure of ingrowth may be of concern with certain cementless designs in patients with poor bone quality.

#### **Future Research**

Continued long term comparative studies between modern cemented and cementless component fixation options in knee arthroplasty will help to further define the utility of these component types, durability of fixation, and effect of evolving component designs (e.g., modular and monolithic) on patient-reported outcomes. Certainly, newer fixation materials (e.g., porous metals) should be evaluated in long term follow up. Identifying patient-specific factors that may inform the decision to utilize a particular fixation technique, or to avoid complications associated with particular fixation strategies, is important. Registry data and long-term studies (greater than ten years clinical follow up) should inform durability of particular components and may serve to analyze implant-specific complications and revision risk. Given some variability in the patient-reported outcome measures between treatment groups, particularly in high quality studies, more clinical data may discern subtle differences in clinical outcomes based on the use of

cemented or cementless component fixation. Issues of cost and cost-effectiveness should also be incorporated into future clinical studies.

The practitioner can use unicompartmental arthroplasty vs total knee arthroplasty for patients with predominantly medial compartment osteoarthritis, as evidence reports improved patient reported and functional outcomes in the short term; however, long-term rates of revision in unicompartmental knee arthroplasty may be higher than total knee arthroplasty.

#### Quality of Evidence: High

Strength of Recommendation: Moderate \*\*\*\*\* (downgraded)

Evidence from two or more "Moderate" quality studies with consistent findings, or evidence from a single "High" quality study for recommending for or against the intervention. Also, higher strength evidence can be downgraded to limited due to major concerns addressed in the EtD Framework.

#### Rationale

This recommendation has been downgraded due to differing outcomes at long term versus short term. Unicompartmental knee arthroplasty (UKA) provides similar or higher patient-reported outcome measure scores of pain, function, and performance compared to total knee arthroplasty (TKA) at short to mid-term follow up when performed for the appropriate indication of isolated unicompartmental osteoarthritis. Among this patient population, UKA was also found to be associated with a higher forgotten joint score. Notably, performing UKA in this population is associated with the advantage of shorter operative time, shorter hospital stay, lower intraoperative estimated blood loss, lower postoperative transfusions, greater postoperative range of motion, higher level of activity at time of discharge, and mitigated overall minor and major 30-day complication rates. Some long-term outcomes in favor of TKA were observed; Kulshrestha (2017) found functional outcomes in favor of TKA at 2 years, Ellis (2021) found TKA was associated with less disease progression, and van der List (2016, 2017) found that 3-year post op WOMAC scores favored TKA patients.

#### **Benefits/ Harms of Implementation**

Performing UKA in an appropriately selected population affords the advantages of mitigated invasiveness, shorter operative time, length of stay, greater preservation of bone stock, knee biomechanics that are more aligned with those of the native knee and similar or superior pain and function metrics compared to TKA. Conversely, the main concern is the higher revision rates, especially at in mid-to-long term follow-up. It should also be noted that UKA to TKA conversions have been observed to be inferior in outcome versus primary total knee arthroplasty (Pearse 2012).

#### **Cost Effectiveness/ Resource Utilization**

Short term metrics indicate superior cost-effectiveness for UKA compared to TKA in appropriately selected patients. Such a difference stems from the shorter operative time, length of hospital stay, and perioperative complications in UKA versus TKA while affording similar improvement in patient-reported pain, activity and functional outcomes. A recent study (Shankar 2016) demonstrated that hospital direct costs were lower for UKA (\$7893 vs. \$11,156; p < 0.001) as were total costs (hospital direct costs plus overhead; \$11,397 vs. \$16,243; p < 0.001). Supply costs and implant costs were similarly lower for UKA (\$701 vs. \$781; p < 0.001, and \$3448 vs. \$5006; p < 0.001). This advantage extended up to the 5-year follow up according to a recent randomized controlled trial. Further investigations are required to evaluate long term cost effectiveness.

#### Acceptability and Feasibility

Overall, UKA has fair acceptability and feasibility among surgeons and patients. American Joint Replacement Registry (AJRR) data indicates diminishing rates reaching 2.7% of all primary knee arthroplasties reported to AJRR for 2017. However, such rates rebounded with numbers increasing to 4.2% in 2020 (AJRR 2020).

#### **Future Research**

Recent AJRR data highlighting revision risk curves show that when stratified by sex, males 65 years and above had UKA revision rates that were comparable to their TKA counterparts. Conversely, females of the same age group had statistically and clinically significant higher rates of revision UKA up to 108 months compared to TKA. Such sex-based difference after age adjustment warrants further research into factors influencing UKA survivorship including activity levels, bone quality and other patient determinants. This will aid in identifying the optimal patient subset for which UKA would be recommended for greatest survivorship and functional benefit.

#### Additional References:

Pearse, A. J., Hooper, G. J., Rothwell, A. G., & Frampton, C. (2012). Osteotomy and unicompartmental knee arthroplasty converted to total knee arthroplasty: data from the New Zealand Joint Registry. *The Journal of Arthroplasty*, *27*(10), 1827-1831.

Shankar S, Tetreault MW, Jegier BJ, Andersson GB, Della Valle CJ. A cost comparison of unicompartmental and total knee arthroplasty. *Knee*. 2016;23(6):1016-1019. doi:10.1016/j.knee.2015.11.012

Peripheral nerve blockades for total knee arthroplasty lead to decreased postoperative pain and opioid requirements with no difference in complications or outcomes.

#### Quality of Evidence: High

#### Strength of Recommendation: Strong

Evidence from two or more "High" quality studies with consistent findings for recommending for or against the intervention.

#### Rationale

There were nine high quality studies (Chan 2012, Liu 2014, Sahin 2014, Hinarejos 2016, Ortiz-Gomez 2017, Biswas 2018, Leung 2018, Rousseau-Saines 2018, Dimaculangan 2019) and one low quality study (Wyatt 2015) evaluating whether the use of peripheral nerve blockade reduces complications or improves outcomes in adult patients undergoing knee arthroplasty compared to no peripheral nerve block use. The included literature investigated Femoral Nerve Block (Chan 2012, Sahin 2014, Hinarejos 2016, Ortiz-Gomez 2017, Dimaculangan 2019, Wyatt 2015), Adductor Nerve Block (Leung 2018, Rousseau-Saines 2018, Ortiz-Gomez 2017), Sciatic Block (Liu 2014, Hinarejos 2016), and Lumbar Plexus Block (Liu 2014).

Four high quality studies (Chan 2012, Liu 2014, Hinarejos 2016, Rousseau-Saines 2018) demonstrated significantly lower VAS pain scores, and three high quality studies (Biswas 2018, Rousseau-Saines 2018, Dimaculangan 2019) demonstrated significantly lower opioid requirements during the postoperative period when peripheral nerve blockade was utilized compared to parenteral opioids alone.

Three high quality (Sahin 2014, Biswas 2018, Rousseau-Saines 2018) studies demonstrated no difference in adverse effects (nausea, vomiting, pruritis, urinary retention) between peripheral nerve blockade and no block.

Two high quality (Leung 2018, Dimaculangan 2019) studies and one low quality (Wyatt 2015) study showed no significant difference in early postoperative range of motion compared to no block. However, one high quality study (Chan 2012) demonstrated significantly better overall range-of-motion and a reduction in opioid-related side effects with the use of peripheral nerve blockade when compared to no peripheral nerve block use. Another high quality study (Liu 2014) demonstrated that peripheral nerve block use improved the Quality of Recovery (e.g., Emotive, Nociceptive and Cognitive domains) during the immediate postoperative period.

#### **Benefits/ Harms of Implementation**

The risks associated with peripheral nerve blockade may include bleeding, infection, and associated neural injury. Although rare, these potential risks need to be balanced with the documented benefits of peripheral nerve blockade. Depending upon clinical circumstances, peripheral nerve blockade may also be associated with postoperative motor weakness. Under these conditions, care must be taken to minimize the risk of patient falls or delayed mobilization during the hospitalization.

#### **Future Research**

Additional prospective studies may be needed to evaluate the long-term (>24-hour) analgesic benefits of peripheral nerve blockade, as well as their impact on functional outcomes. In addition, higher quality studies are also needed to compare specific peripheral nerve block techniques and to compare other modalities of perioperative analgesia (e.g., periarticular injection, neuraxial anesthesia). Future studies comparing the effectiveness of a single perioperative peripheral nerve block versus continuous infusion should be performed for standard outcomes. The scope of this guideline does not include the

combination of. Future guidelines should investigate the combination of PNB and Periarticular Local Infiltration (PAI) / Periarticular Block (PAB), as it was not included in the scope of this guideline.

# Periarticular injections used in total knee arthroplasty lead to decreased postoperative pain and opioid requirements.

#### Quality of Evidence: High

#### Strength of Recommendation: Strong

Evidence from two or more "High" quality studies with consistent findings for recommending for or against the intervention.

#### Rationale

We reviewed eight randomized clinical trials that represented the best available evidence. All studies were randomized clinical trials of high quality. These articles assess the ability of a periarticular block (PAB) to reduce postoperative pain after a TKA. One study (Affas 2011) looked at PAB and a femoral block. Three studies (Busch 2006, Fitz 2021, Ikeuchi 2013) looked at PAB compared to control. One study (Chia 2013) looked at adding varying amounts of corticosteroids to the PAB. One study (Kulkarni 2019) looked at PAB and adductor canal block. Two studies (Ukai 2020 and Tsukada 2014) looked at PAB and epidurals. Compared with epidural analgesia, periarticular injection offers better postoperative pain relief, earlier recovery of knee flexion angle, and lower incidence of nausea. PAI achieves better pain control as compared to ACB in patients undergoing unilateral TKA. Overall pain scores were low in the study involving local infiltration catheters and PAB (Fitz 2020). An intraarticular pain catheter in conjunction with a multimodal approach with intra-operative PAB after TKA does not improve 48-hour pain scores or opioid consumption compared with PAI alone in this randomized controlled trial. The study involving varying levels of corticosteroids assessed two different doses of triamcinolone acetate (N = 42 in each group) added to local anesthetic in TKA for osteoarthritis (Chia 2013). There were no significant differences in pain scores or ROM between the control and corticosteroid groups. Differences in secondary outcomes were also non-significant. Peri-articular corticosteroids do not appear to be of benefit in TKA. In a study involving periarticular injection versus no injection (Busch 2006), the patients who had received the injection used significantly less patient-controlled analgesia over the first twentyfour hours after the surgery. These patients also had favorable patient satisfaction and pain during activity scores in the post-anesthetic-care unit and four hours post operatively. Affas (2011) showed periarticular infiltration led to slightly lower average pain at rest compared to continuous femoral block. Both LIA and femoral block provide good analgesia after TKA. LIA may be considered superior to femoral block since it is cheaper and easier to perform.

#### **Benefits/ Harms of Implementation**

There is significant benefit with pain control with the use of PAB.

#### **Outcome Importance**

The outcome of PAB versus no PAB, as well as other forms of anesthesia, is better.

#### **Cost Effectiveness / Resource Utilization**

Several high quality studies show cost effectiveness and ease of performing with PAB compared with other forms of anesthesia such as femoral nerve block.

#### Acceptability

The recommendation comes with high acceptability. There is a low risk to benefit ratio. There are several high quality studies showing the benefit and cost effectiveness of PABs.

#### Feasibility

The feasibility with this recommendation is high. PAB has been shown to be easier to perform compared to other forms of analgesia.

#### **Future Research**

Future research would include comparing different types of PABs. Future guidelines should investigate the combination of Peripheral Nerve Block (PNB) and Periarticular Local Infiltration (PAI) / Periarticular Block (PAB), as it was not included in the scope of this guideline.

In patients with no known contraindications, tranexamic acid (TXA) should be used because its use decreases postoperative blood loss, postoperative drain collection, and reduces the necessity of postoperative transfusions following total knee arthroplasty (TKA).

#### Quality of Evidence: High

#### Strength of Recommendation: Strong

Evidence from two or more "High" quality studies with consistent findings for recommending for or against the intervention.

#### Rationale

Intravenous administration of tranexamic acid significantly reduces blood loss, drainage collection, and transfusion requirement postoperatively. Ten high quality studies (Sahin 2019, Lacko 2017, Sun 2017, Tzatzairis 2016, Drosos 2016, Aguilera 2015, Xianhua 2019, Keyhani 2016, Seo 2013, Molloy 2007) have demonstrated reduced blood loss postoperatively.

Intra-articular injection of tranexamic acid reduces blood loss postoperatively. Ten high quality studies (Lei 2020, Sahin 2019, Wong 2015, Yang 2015, Li 2018, Seo 2013, Sa Ngasoongsong 2013, Sarzaeem, 2014, Antinolfi, 2013, Gautam, 2011) demonstrated reduced blood loss postoperatively after intraarticular injection of tranexamic acid. Three high quality studies (Digas 2015, Oztas 2015, Sa-Ngasoongsong 2011) demonstrated reduced post-operative drainage in addition to reduced blood loss. Studies published by Seo (2013), Digas (2015) and Lacko (2017) demonstrated reduced blood transfusion.

Five high quality studies have reported reduced blood loss after topical use of Tranexamic acid. However, the term Intra-articular versus topical was used interchangeably. Drosos (2016) and Georgiadis (2013) used a topical administration technique in which the drug was poured into the joint. Tzatzairis (2016), Aguilera (2015) and Keyhani (2016) described their use as topical, but the drug was injected into the joint space.

#### **Benefits/ Harms of Implementation**

Reduction in blood loss and blood transfusion will improve patient outcome. However, there are statistically non-significant reports of complication of deep vein thrombosis by three high quality studies (Yang 2015, Oztas 2015, Seo 2013). Yang (2015) and Seo (2013) also reported increased pulmonary embolism. There were reports of wound healing (Wang 2015) and would hematoma (Yang 2015). All the reports of complications were either statistically insignificant high-quality studies or low-quality studies. Therefore, the benefit of TXA administration outweighs the risk.

#### **Outcome Importance**

Reduction in blood loss and blood transfusion is a significant benefit directly to patients. In addition, health care settings will benefit from the savings and efficiency of avoiding additional care.

#### **Cost Effectiveness / Resource Utilization**

While the cost of different administration routes of TXA (oral versus IV versus topical or IA) differ, TXA is economical and has low resource utilization in in-patient and outpatient settings.

#### Acceptability

TXA use, with the resulting reduction in blood loss and blood transfusion, is desirable and acceptable.

#### Feasibility

TXA has been used extensively and is deemed feasible.

#### **Future Research**

Contraindication for tranexamic acid in TKA has not yet been very well defined and should be the subject of future research. Tranexamic acid is still an FDA "off label" that can be used in arthroplasty. FDA contraindications for TXA's approved usages include patients with acquired defective color vision, patients with subarachnoid hemorrhage, patients with active intravascular clotting, and in patients with hypersensitivity to tranexamic acid (accessdata.fda.gov). Most of the studies have used thromboembolic disorders, cerebrovascular conditions, and cardiovascular disorder as an exclusion criterion.

# There is no difference in outcomes, function, or pain between navigation and conventional techniques.

#### Quality of Evidence: High

Strength of Recommendation: Moderate \*\*\*\*\* (downgraded)

Evidence from two or more "Moderate" quality studies with consistent findings, or evidence from a single "High" quality study for recommending for or against the intervention. Also, higher strength evidence can be downgraded to limited due to major concerns addressed in the EtD Framework.

#### Rationale

This recommendation has been downgraded due to unique patient populations and associated costs. The advantages of surgical navigation remain unclear with a majority of studies for outcomes, function, and pain showing no difference when compared to conventional TKA. Seven high quality studies for KSS (Yu 2020, Kim 2018, Kim 2017, Todesca 2017, Blyth 2015, Yan 2015, Tsuda 2021), six high quality studies for WOMAC (Hsu 2019, Kim 2018, Kim 2017, Todesca 2017, Cip 2014, Seon 2009), three high quality studies for OKS (Yu 2020, Yan 2015, Tsuda 2021), eight high quality studies for KSS Function (Selvanayagam 2019, Kim 2018, Kim 2017, Todesca 2017, Blyth 2015, Yan 2015, Tsuda 2021, Thiengwittayaporn 2013), six high quality studies for range of motion (Kim 2018, Kim 2017, Blyth 2015, Yan 2015, Cip 2014, Seon 2009), and four high quality studies for pain (Blyth 2015, Kim 2018, Kim 2017, Hsu 2019) showed no difference between surgical navigation and conventional TKA.

The studies comparing blood loss were heterogenous and reporting methods varied, or details were not given. Two high quality studies (Hsu 2019, Ikawa 2017) were in favor of surgical navigation and two high quality studies (Thiengwittayaporn 2013, Kim 2007) showed no difference for blood loss. There were limited studies regarding complications with two high quality studies (Thiengwittayaporn 2013, Blakeney 2011) showing no difference. Lastly, there was one high quality study (Kim 2018) with a 15-year follow up that showed no difference in radiographic parameters, aseptic loosening, or survivorship between surgical navigation and conventional TKA.

As far as operative time, the majority of studies were in favor of conventional TKA. Four high quality studies (Tsuda 2021, Lutzner 2008, Chin 2005, Blakeney 2011) showed longer operative times and one high quality study (Ikawa 2017) showed longer femoral resection time with surgical navigation.

\*KSS = Knee Society Score, WOMAC = Western Ontario and McMaster University osteoarthritis Index, OKS = Oxford Knee Score

#### **Benefits/ Harms of Implementation**

Potential benefits for surgical navigation are for specific cases of deformity correction due to trauma or previous retained hardware where conventional instruments cannot be used. Although navigation may result in fewer outliers, increased operative times may lead to increased costs. Furthermore, reliance on computer technology increases the potential to having to abort in the presence of a malfunction.

#### **Outcome Importance**

The outcomes between surgical navigation and conventional instruments showed no difference.

#### **Cost Effectiveness / Resource Utilization**

Current studies show increased cost to use surgical navigation. However, newer, more cost-effective techniques have been developed and newer studies may change their cost effectiveness and utilization.
#### Acceptability

The recommendation comes with varying acceptability. Some surgeons may prefer to use surgical navigation even though the outcomes are no different from conventional instruments.

#### Feasibility

Since there are a number of studies that report no difference in outcomes between surgical navigation and conventional instruments, it may be more feasible to work the downsides of using the technology. Specifically, work on improving efficiency to decrease operating room times and using more costeffective technology.

#### **Future Research**

Since there are multiple studies showing no difference in patient outcomes, the desired benefit would be to show if better alignment reduces loosening and improves survivorship long term with large, randomized studies.

There is no difference in postoperative functional scores between patients with a BMI < 30 and obese patients (BMI 30-39.9); however, there may be increased risk of complications in morbidly obese patients (≥40), in particular, surgical site infections.

#### Quality of Evidence: High

#### Strength of Recommendation: Strong

Evidence from two or more "High" quality studies with consistent findings for recommending for or against the intervention.

#### Rationale

Several high-quality studies were reviewed which investigated the relationship between BMI and patient outcomes after surgical management of knee osteoarthritis. The average pre-operative objective knee society score was 55.88 (range-34 to 74) which improved to 71.84 (range-51 to 89) at six weeks and to 92.79 (range-71 to 100) at six months. Following this improvement, the scores remained steady at the last follow up with mean score being 93.01 (range-72 to 100) (Agarwala 2018, Benjamin 2001).

The functional knee scores before surgery averaged 52.91(range-30 to 75). The score at six weeks were 62.33 (range-35 to 85) which improved significantly at six months to 80.63 (range- 45 to 100). The scores at the last follow up remained the same as the 12 months follow up (Agarwala 2018). During follow-up, 2.1% patients had SSI (Ahmed 2016). No significant difference between the obese and non-obese groups (Amin 2006).

Regarding the Oxford Knee Score, wound complications were significantly higher (p < 0.001) at a rate of 17% patient with a BMI of 40 and greater compared with 9% in patients with a BMI of less than 40. (Baker 2012). As BMI increased, knee flexion degree, KOOS and Lysholm scores also decreased significantly (Basdelioglu 2021). At baseline, gait velocity and knee ROM were significantly lower in obese patients compared with those in the nonobese group, and obese patients were more symptomatic than nonobese patients, and their improvement was significantly higher (WOMAC scores) (Bonneyfor 2021).

While readmission rates were higher in obese patients (Sloan 2020, Basdelioglu 2021), there was no difference in outcomes in obese patients undergoing bilateral total knee arthroplasty (Ogur 2020).

There was also an increase in complications such as infections and bleeding (Shih 2004).

#### **Benefits/ Harms of Implementation**

While there is a significant benefit of pain improvement and function in obese patients who undergo TKA, there is increased risk of SSIs. Regarding implant-specific considerations, the practitioner should consult implant manufacturers' guidelines before surgery, as they may caution against the use of particular implants in patients with high BMI.

#### **Outcome Importance**

The outcome of TKA in non-morbidly obese patients is comparable to non-obese patients with excellent post-operative objective and functional scores. However, the risk of SSIs may increase in obese patients after TKA.

#### **Cost Effectiveness / Resource Utilization**

Several high-quality studies show that there is an increased risk of SSIs in obese patients after TKA. Several studies also highlighted increased length of stay and use of resources such as antibiotics and the need for consulting services which may increase the cost.

#### Acceptability

The recommendation comes with varying acceptability. Some surgeons may feel some loss of autonomy with clinical decision making when deciding who is indicated for surgery.

#### Feasibility

There have been a number of high-quality studies showing comparable postoperative functional outcomes between non-obese and obese patients. As such, it may be more feasible for surgeons to consider the overall health of the patient. If the patient has several risk factors that may contribute to a poor outcome, then it may be more reasonable to better optimize this patient before surgery. If the patient has only one risk factor such as obesity, delaying surgery may cause further functional issues and poor quality of life.

#### **Future Research**

Future research should include more studies on functional outcomes in obese patients.

Optimization of perioperative glucose control (<126mg/dl) after total knee arthroplasty should be attempted in diabetic patients and non-diabetic patients with hyperglycemia, as it can lead to less favorable postoperative outcomes and higher complication rates.

#### Quality of Evidence: High

Strength of Recommendation: Strong

Evidence from two or more "High" quality studies with consistent findings for recommending for or against the intervention.

#### Rationale

There is one high quality study (Reategui 2017) concluding that postoperative hyperglycemia control reduces the postoperative complications in patients who have undergone TKA. Patients were classified as non-diabetic patients (group 1), diabetic patients (group 2) and patients with stress hyperglycemia (group 3). The last two groups were recommended assessments by a primary care physician (PCP). After one year follow up the groups were compared with respect to incidence of postoperative complications. The groups were also compared regarding the decrease or increase of HbA1c levels with the incidence of complications. Patients that consulted their PCP presented lower medical complication rates than those who did not. Surgical site infection and mechanical complication were increased. A decrease of HbA1c value was related to less medical systemic complications. There are two high quality studies (Ojemolon 2020, Teo 2018) and one low quality study (Zhang 2011) assessing patients with diabetes and outcomes after TKA. Zhang (2011) shows patients with uncontrolled diabetes HGB A1C >8 having a lower KSS and WOMAC score, however, there is no difference between their mental component scores and patient satisfaction. Additionally, they also reported lower ROM and SF-36 scores. In Teo (2018), patients with diabetes have a lower Oxford, KSS, and SF-36 score. There was no difference in range of motion, length of hospitalization stay, infection risk, and patient satisfaction. Diabetic patients also had a 50% reduction in body mass index after TKA compared to 36% in nondiabetic patients. Oiemolon (2018) reviewed NSQIP data of diabetic patients and non-diabetic patients which showed lower complication rates in diabetic patients in areas such as infection, DVT, PE, sepsis, pneumonia, and MI.

#### **Benefits/ Harms of Implementation**

The risks associated with performing total knee arthroplasty on patients with poorly controlled diabetes may include higher surgical complications such as SSIs and mechanical complications. These patients also tend to have lower functional scores. These increased complications may require further financial resources to treat them.

#### **Outcome Importance**

Patients with uncontrolled diabetes may have a higher rate of complications after total knee arthroplasty.

#### **Cost Effectiveness / Resource Utilization**

Several high-quality studies show that there is an increased risk of SSIs in patients with uncontrolled diabetes after TKA. Several studies also highlighted increased use of resources such as antibiotics and the need for consulting services which may increase the cost.

#### Acceptability

The recommendation comes with varying acceptability.

#### Feasibility

Since there have been a number of studies showing increase complications in patients with uncontrolled diabetes, it is reasonable to better optimize this patient before surgery to decrease risk.

#### **Future Research**

Additional prospective studies are needed to evaluate functional outcomes in patients with controlled and uncontrolled diabetes.

### Evidence reports that there is no difference in outcomes, function, pain, or blood transfusions between the use of tourniquets and nonuse of tourniquets.

#### Quality of Evidence: High

#### Strength of Recommendation: Strong

Evidence from two or more "High" quality studies with consistent findings for recommending for or against the intervention.

#### Rationale

There were multiple studies evaluating pain and tourniquet use with six high quality studies (Ozkunt 2018, Liu 2017, Yi 2021, Hamawanadi 2021, Liu 2014, Ledin 2012) showing increased pain in the immediate postoperative period. Additionally, there is moderate evidence to support avoidance of using a tourniquet in order to decrease opioid consumption (Hamawanadi 2021, Kheir 2018, Nicolaiciuc 2019).

Studies regarding outcomes were heterogenous and inconclusive. Two high quality studies (Ozkunt 2018, Hamawanadi 2021) did not favor using a tourniquet and two high quality studies (Ayik 2019, Liu 2017) showed no significant difference for KSS. Four high quality studies showed no significant difference in motion, including total ROM (Ayik 2019, Liu 2017), flexion (Goel 2019, Alexandersson 2019), or extension (Alexandersson 2019).

Five high quality studies (Ledin 2012, Harsten 2015, Mori 2016, Goel 2019, Hamawanadi 2021) were in favor of using a tourniquet to reduce blood loss. However, there were different methods of blood loss calculation, and two studies (Ledin 2012, Mori 2016) did not use tranexamic acid (TXA). Three high quality studies (Goel 2019, Liu 2017, Hamawanadi 2021) showed no difference for deep vein thrombosis, moderate evidence (Alexandersson 2019, Yi 2021, Hamawanadi 2021) showed no difference for length of stay, and there were not enough high quality studies to show a difference for quadricep strength, wound complications, or operating time.

\*KSS = Knee Society Score, ROM = range of motion

#### **Benefits/ Harms of Implementation**

Surgeons should take care to balance the advantages and disadvantages of using a tourniquet. Reported advantages of using a tourniquet include dry field, shorter operative time, better visibility, reduced blood loss, dry bone surfaces for better cement interdigitation and implant survivorship. Adverse effects include ischemia, quadricep muscle damage, increased swelling and stiffness, nerve compression, injury to calcified vessels, and potential for deep venous thrombosis (DVT). If a tourniquet is used, it is recommended to keep the surgical time down to decrease the risk for DVT.

#### **Outcome Importance**

The outcomes for TKA with tourniquet versus no tourniquet were equivalent. While there are limited studies in young patient populations, this recommendation may be used with caution in surgeon practices with younger patient populations as the use of tourniquet may cause increased quadricep pain and weakness.

#### **Cost Effectiveness / Resource Utilization**

This recommendation likely does not affect cost-effectiveness or resource utilization for a majority of surgeons. However, surgeons who desire to improve their efficiency may consider using it to decrease operating room time.

#### Acceptability

Surgeons will likely find the cumulative study results and recommendation acceptable.

#### Feasibility

There are a number of studies showing comparable outcomes with or without tourniquet use. It would be feasible for surgeons to consider the patient's history when making a decision about using a tourniquet. Specific considerations would include a history of DVT, lower extremity vascular stents, and poor bone quality if cementing implants.

#### **Future Research**

There is a gap in the literature regarding the long term effect of tourniquet use and quadricep strength in younger patients. As the operative age continues to decrease and activity and expectations after total knee arthroplasty continue to increase, future studies should focus on this group of patients.

## Evidence reports that there is no difference between patellar surfacing or non-patellar resurfacing in total knee arthroplasty.

#### Quality of Evidence: High

#### Strength of Recommendation: Strong

Evidence from two or more "High" quality studies with consistent findings for recommending for or against the intervention.

#### Rationale

Several high quality studies with contradictory results conclude that patellar resurfacing and non-patellar resurfacings are both viable options. Nine high quality studies reported equivalent functional outcomes with resurfaced versus non-resurfaced patellae using the KSS function (Raaij 2021 Aunan 2016, Dong 2018, Kaseb 2018, Roberts 2015), range of motion (Kaseb, 2018, Roberts 2015, Thiengwittayaporn 2019), stiffness (Aunan 2016), KOOS-ADL (Raaij 2021, Dong 2018, Aunan 2016, Ali 2016), KOOS Symptoms (Aunan 2016, Ali 2016, Kang 2019), KSS stairs (Roberts 2015), and Feller patellofemoral scores (Koh 2019). Such equivalence was furthered by one additional moderate quality study (Kaseb 2019) and three low quality studies (Albrecht 2016, Hsu 2006, Chun 2017). Only three high quality studies suggested improvement in certain function metrics among patients with patellar resurfacing, including the KSS function score (Ha 2019), active range of motion (Roberts 2015), and total patellar score (Thiengwittayaporn 2019).

Five high quality analyses reported no difference in pain metrics including VAS pain (Kaseb 2018, Ali 2016, Koh 2019), Kujala anterior knee pain scale (Kaseb 2018), anterior knee pain as a symptom (Dong 2018, Thiengwittayaporn 2019), continued pain (Koh 2019), and the feller patellofemoral score for anterior knee pain (Koh 2019) among patients with and without patellar resurfacing after TKA. Similarly, one low quality study supported equivalent pain metrics among patients with resurfaced versus non-resurfaced patellae after TKA (Chun 2017). KOOS-Pain with resurfaced versus non-resurfaced patellae was analyzed in two high quality studies with contradictory findings (Raaij 2021, Aunan 2016). One high-quality study suggested better anterior pain at rest and while walking in the non-resurfaced cohort (Roberts 2015). Finally, two high quality studies (Raaij 2021, Ali 2016) reported similar KOOS-QoL and KOOS-Sports scores regardless of patellar management while one study (Aunan 2016) reported superior KOOS-QoL and -Sport scores among patellar resurfacing patients.

Composite knee scores demonstrated a similar pattern of conflicting findings, with the majority of studies describing equivalent outcomes according to WOMAC (Kaseb 2018, Chun 2017), HSS (Kaseb 2018, Chun 2017), KOOS total (Kaseb 2018, Kaseb 2019), and the Feller patellofemoral scores (Dong 2018, Koh 2019). In contrast, the KSS total score was found to be higher among patients who underwent patellar resurfacing according to three (Dong 2018, Aunan 2016, Ha 2019) of seven high quality studies, while the remaining studies reported no difference based on patellar management (Raaij 2021, Kaseb 2018, Roberts 2015, Thiengwittayaporn 2019).

Four high-quality studies highlighted that adverse event rates were similar regardless of patellar management, including total revisions (Dong 2018), infection (Aunan 2016), crepitus (Dong 2018, Thiengwittayaporn 2019, Koh 2019), patellar fracture, and quadricep tendon rupture (Aunan 2016).

#### **Benefits/ Harms of Implementation**

Patellar resurfacing may be associated with improvement in certain patient-reported outcome scores such as KOOS-Pain, QoL, and Sports. However, such improvement is inconsistent and remains substantially disputed. In contrast, despite their relatively low incidence, potential complications of patellar resurfacing include but are not limited to loss of bone stock, increased future revision complexity,

patellar fracture, avascular necrosis, and extensor mechanism violation, which may be catastrophic in the setting of primary elective TKA.

#### **Outcome Importance**

Reoperation, long term anterior knee pain, and patient satisfaction.

#### Cost Effectiveness / Resource Utilization

Unsurfaced patella poses the benefits of a faster surgery, avoiding potential complications of patellar resurfacing, and decreased cost compared to resurfacing. However, such potential benefits need to be balanced against the potential risk of requiring resurfacing at a later date, and revision rates.

#### Acceptability

Literature is conflicted regarding patellar management after primary elective TKA. As such, providerpreference based patellar management should be acceptable to medical providers. It is important to emphasize that this recommendation applies to primary knee arthroplasty. Physicians should exercise caution based on anatomic and bone-stock variability among patients as well as the patient-specific activity level, age and risk of future revision.

#### Feasibility

There are no significant barriers to implementation of recommendation. Elimination of patellar resurfacing may reduce operative time, blood loss and additional expenses.

#### **Future Research**

Large multicenter prospective RCT or cohort studies to assess indications for selective patellar resurfacing.

Cruciate retaining (CR) and posterior stabilized (PS) total knee arthroplasty (TKA) designs have similarly efficacious/favorable postoperative outcomes.

#### Quality of Evidence: High

#### Strength of Recommendation: Strong

Evidence from two or more "High" quality studies with consistent findings for recommending for or against the intervention.

#### Rationale

Use of CR designs has increased annually since 2016 with lower revision rates compared to PS, but there are multiple strong studies to support no difference in ROM, function, or outcomes. Vertullo (2017) noted higher risk of revision after PS in comparison to CR. Three high quality studies for total ROM (van den Boom 2020, Kawakami 2015, Tanzer 2002), four high quality studies for flexion (van den Boom 2020, Kawakami 2015, Chaudhary 2008, Tanzer 2002), and two high quality studies for extension (Kawakami 2015, Chaudhary 2008) showed no difference between CR and PS. With respect to function, four high quality studies showed no difference in WOMAC Function (van den Boom 2020, Dowsey 2020, Beaupre 2016, Chaudhary 2008) and two high quality studies showed no difference in WOMAC stiffness (Dowsey 2020, Beaupre 2016) between CR and PS.

Two high quality studies showed no difference in KSS and WOMAC scores (van den Boom 2020, Dowsey 2020) and SF-36 General Health (van den Boom 2020, Beaupre 2016). However, one high quality study favored PS for KSS (Ozturk 2016). Four high quality studies showed no difference in WOMAC Pain scores (van den Boom 2020, Dowsey 2020, Beaupre 2016, Chaudhary 2008) and one high quality study showed no difference in VAS (Ozturk 2016).

\*ROM = range of motion, KSS = Knee Society Score, WOMAC = Western Ontario and McMaster University osteoarthritis Index, OKS = Oxford Knee Score, VAS = visual analogue score

#### **Benefits/ Harms of Implementation**

There are no known harms with this recommendation. Surgeons should be aware of the advantages and disadvantages of particular types of femoral implant designs. For example, difference in removal of bone and risk of intraoperative fracture during component insertion due to box size for PS versus CR.

#### **Outcome Importance**

The studies show CR and PS are comparable with excellent ROM, function, and outcomes.

#### **Cost Effectiveness / Resource Utilization**

There are no reported cost differences or resource utilization for CR versus PS.

#### Acceptability

This recommendation will likely be acceptable for surgeons as the decision to use CR or PS is up to them and they will have similar outcomes with either choice.

#### Feasibility

It is feasible that surgeons will continue to make the decision to use CR or PS in their practice based on similar outcomes between the two types of femoral implants.

#### **Future Research**

There have been a multitude of studies on CR versus PS femoral implants, but future research may focus on amount of bone loss and difficulty of revision TKA after CR versus PS. Additionally, emerging techniques outside the CR or PS classifications should be investigated through high-quality study designs.

The practitioner should not use patient specific technology (e.g., guides, cutting blocks) because there is no significant difference in patient outcomes, function, or pain as compared to conventional total knee arthroplasty (TKA). Additionally, it does not reduce operating time, blood loss, length of stay, and/or complications.

#### Quality of Evidence: High

### Strength of Recommendation: Strong

Evidence from two or more "High" quality studies with consistent findings for recommending for or against the intervention.

#### Rationale

\*Note: patient specific implants (femoral and tibial components) were not addressed in this review of the literature.

There are inconsistencies in high quality studies with respect to patient outcomes with the majority of studies showing no difference between patient specific and standard instrumentation. Six high quality studies for the KSS (Yan 2015, Schotanus 2019, Abane 2015, Boonen 2016, Stolarczyk 2018, Kosse 2018), six high quality studies for the OKS (Yan 2015, Turgeon 2019, Abane 2018, Abane 2015, Huijbregts 2016, Boonen 2016), four high quality studies for EG-5D VAS (Schotanus 2019, Teeter 2019, Van Leeuwen 2017, Boonen 2016), two high quality studies for EG-5D (Schotanus 2019, Boonen 2016), and three high quality studies showed no difference in VAS Satisfaction (Turgeon 2019, Kosse 2018, Kotela 2015). The study with the longest follow up of 5 years showed no significant differences in survival or patient outcomes between patient specific and standard instrumentation (Schotanus 2019).

With respect to patient function and pain, there were multiple high quality studies that also showed no difference between patient specific and standard instrumentation. Seven high quality studies for KSS Function (Yan 2015, Teeter 2019, Abane 2018, Abane 2015, Maus 2018, Stolarczyk 2018, Kosse 2018), three high quality studies for UCLA activity score (Turgeon 2019, Teeter 2019, Kosse 2018), two high quality studies for SF-12 Physical (Teeter 2019, Huijbregts 2016), and two high quality studies for KOOS ADL and KOOS Symptoms (Van Leeuwen 2017, Kosse 2018), and there was also no difference in ROM (Yan 2015, Sun 2020, Van Leeuwen 2017). Multiple high-quality studies for VAS (Schotanus 2019, Turgeon 2019, Boonen 2016, Stolarczyk 2018, Kosse 2018, Kotela 2015) showing no difference compared to standard instrumentation.

There were multiple inconsistencies in the studies that analyzed operative time comparing patient specific to standard instrumentation. Six high quality studies showed no difference (Yan 2015, Turgeon 2019, Van Leeuwen 2017, Huijbregts 2016, Silva 2020, Maus 2018), four high quality studies favored standard instrumentation (Teeter 2019, Sun 2020, Stolarczyk 2018, Roh 2013), and three high quality studies favored patient specific instrumentation (Boonen 2013, Pfitzner 2014, Vide 2017).

The reporting and results for blood loss were heterogenous and inconsistent with studies using blood transfusion, hemoglobin, and blood loss. One high quality study (Vide 2017) was in favor of patient specific technology and two high quality studies showed no difference (Silva 2020, Kotela 2015) for blood transfusion. Two high quality studies showed no difference (Van Leeuwen 2017, Vide 2017) and one high quality study was in favor of standard technology (Silva 2020) for hemoglobin levels. Two high quality studies showed no difference (Stolarczyk 2018, Kotela 2015) and one high quality study was in favor of patient specific technology (Sun 2020) for blood loss.

There is strong evidence to support patient specific instrumentation does not affect length of stay or complications. Five high quality studies showed no difference compared to conventional instrumentation (Turgeon 2019, Van Leeuwen 2017, Silva 2020, Maus 2018, Kotela 2015). There is strong evidence to support no difference in total revisions, infection, and manipulation under anesthesia (MUA) for patient specific versus standard instrumentation; two high quality studies for total revisions (Schotanus 2019, Boonen 2016), three high quality studies for infection (Huijbregts 2016, Silva 2020, Boonen 2016), and two high quality studies for MUA (Huijbregts 2016, Boonen 2016).

\*KSS = Knee Society Score, OKS = Oxford Knee Score, WOMAC = Western Ontario and McMaster University osteoarthritis Index, EG-5D = EuroQol, VAS = visual analogue score, UCLA = University of California and Los Angeles, KOOS = Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score, ROM = range of motion

#### **Benefits/ Harms of Implementation**

Proposed benefits of patient specific instrumentation lie in improved accuracy of alignment which could benefit long-term outcomes as malalignment of components is a known major cause of failure and revision. Patient specific instrumentation is useful for rare circumstances when intramedullary instrumentation cannot be utilized. Radiation from CT scan is a potential harm with using patient specific instrumentation.

#### **Outcome Importance**

The use of patient specific instrumentation does not improve outcomes, but anecdotally outcomes may be better for surgeons who use it routinely instead of standard instrumentation.

#### **Cost Effectiveness / Resource Utilization**

Patient specific instrumentation requires increased cost for hardware/software and advanced imaging (MRI or CT). Seldomly, the MRI may not pass the manufacturer's protocol rendering the study unusable. Template planning and fabrication takes extra time for the patient specific instrumentation that may decrease its usefulness. Conversely, potential cost savings could exist if its use reduces instruments and sterilization costs, reduced processing, and reduction in hospital storage of implants.

#### Acceptability

A small number of surgeons continue to use patient specific instrumentation. Those who are accustomed to using them, may find these results unacceptable and will continue to use them.

#### Feasibility

It is feasible to abandon patient specific instrumentation technology, however some surgeons who still use it in their workflow may find it difficult to return to standard instrumentation.

#### **Future Research**

Future research should focus on reduction of outliers in alignment and the long-term effects of patient specific versus conventional instrumentation. Additionally, large studies comparing patient specific to conventional off-the-shelf implants would be beneficial.

## There is no difference in composite/functional outcomes or complications between kinematic or mechanical alignment principles in total knee arthroplasty.

#### Quality of Evidence: High

#### Strength of Recommendation: Strong

Evidence from two or more "High" quality studies with consistent findings for recommending for or against the intervention.

#### Rationale

Six high quality studies (Young 2020, MacDessi 2020, Matsumoto 2017, Yoe 2019, McEwen 2020, Sarzaeem 2021) included to see if there is any difference between kinematic versus mechanical principle of total knee arthroplasty. Composite scores like WOMAC Total, HSS Total, KOOS Total, Oxford Knee Score, Forgotten Joint Score, SF-36, and other scores were evaluated. Patient functional scores like KSS Function, Range of Motion, WOMAC function, WOMAC Stiffness, Flexion and Extension, KOOS ADL, SF 36 and many other scores were compared.

Among composite scores, two high quality studies (MacDessi 2020, McEwen 2020) show equivalent KOOS total scores, two high quality studies (Young 2020, McEwen 2020) show equivalent Oxford knee scores, three high quality studies (Young 2020, MacDessi 2020, McEwen 2020) demonstrate equivalent forgotten joint scores.

Functional scores show similar results to composite scores. Two high quality studies (Young 2020, Yoe 2019) show equivalent KSS function. Two high quality studies (MacDessi 2020, McEwen 2020) show equivalent extension, KOOS ADL, KOOS symptoms scores, KOOS QoL, KOOS Sports and KOOS Pain scores between two groups.

#### **Benefits/ Harms of Implementation**

There is no significant benefit of kinematic principle which often utilizes more resources than the mechanical alignment knee.

#### **Outcome Importance**

Composite and functional scores are equivalent in both groups.

#### **Cost Effectiveness / Resource Utilization**

Kinematic knee could be performed without specialized navigation or convinced computer-assisted programs. However, five out of six high quality studies included in the discussion utilized navigation, robotic, or computer assistance programs which add to the cost of care. There does not appear to be any benefit of extra cost in this scenario.

#### Acceptability

The acceptability of this recommendation is high. There are several high-quality studies showing equivalent outcome scores.

#### Feasibility

The feasibility of this recommendation is high. Some institutions may not have access to resources such as computer assistance or navigation. Since several high-quality studies show no difference in outcomes, the increased use of resources is of no benefit.

#### **Future Research**

Future research should include the use of kinematic and mechanical alignment and its limitations with varying degrees of deformity.

Cessation of preoperative opioids should be attempted for total knee arthroplasty (TKA), as preoperative opioid use demonstrates decreased postoperative functional scores and increased pain scores and complications.

#### Quality of Evidence: Low

**Strength of Recommendation:** Moderate  $\star \star \star \star$  (Upgraded)

Evidence from one or more "Low" quality studies with consistent findings or evidence from a single "Moderate" quality study recommending for or against the intervention. Also, lower strength evidence can be upgraded to moderate due to concerns addressed in the EtD Framework.

#### Rationale

This recommendation has been upgraded due to increased risk of opioid overdose postoperatively. We reviewed various prospective and retrospective studies that represented the best available evidence. All articles provided low-quality evidence. These articles assess use of preoperative opioid use in patients and the outcomes after TKA. Two studies looked at tramadol use preop (Driesman 2019, Wilson 2021). When comparing patients who took tramadol preoperatively to patients who were opiate naïve, patients that used tramadol trended toward significantly less improvement in functional outcomes in terms of the Knee Disability Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (Driesman 2019). While tramadol-only use has lower risk than traditional opioids, tramadol-only use preceding TKA is associated with increased rates of readmission, wound complication, and revision surgery (Wilson 2021). Several studies looked at opioid use preop compared to patients that were opioid naïve. Patients on opioids preop had lower WOMAC, VAS scores and physical function scores at 1 year compared to opioid naïve patients (Goplen 2021). One study looked at revision total knee arthroplasty (Ingall 2021). Patients who are actively taking opioids at the time of revision TKA report significantly lower preoperative and postoperative outcome scores, PROMIS and KOOS scores. Kim (2019) found that after adjusting for baseline risk profiles, including comorbidities and frailty, continuous opioid users had a higher risk of revision operations and opioid overdose at 30 days post-TKA but not of in-hospital or 30-day mortality. A retrospective study done in approximately 30,000 patients showed that the use of preop opioids was a predictor of revision total knee arthroplasty (Starr 2018). There is consistent evidence to show poorer outcomes in patients that are on preop opioids compared to opioid naïve patients.

#### **Benefits/ Harms of Implementation**

There is significant benefit with limiting use of opioids preop. One study (Kim 2019) showed an increase in opioid overdose at 30 days post TKA.

#### **Outcome Importance**

The outcome of patients that are on preop opioids prior to TKA tend to be poorer than opioid naïve patients undergoing TKA.

#### **Cost Effectiveness / Resource Utilization**

Studies show increase in revision TKA, and longer hospital stays in patients on preop opioids which would not be cost effective.

#### Acceptability

The acceptability of this recommendation is high. There are several studies that show the benefits of limiting opioid use preoperatively.

#### Feasibility

The feasibility of this recommendation varies. It may be difficult for some surgeons to control opioid use in patients preoperatively if they are not the primary prescribers and if patients have pain sources other than their knee.

#### **Future Research**

Future research should include collaborative studies or efforts with pain management providers and/or primary care physicians. There is consistent evidence to show poorer outcomes in patients that are on preop opioids compared to opioid naïve patients. However, there is the need for more higher quality level one studies. Ethical considerations regarding level one studies must be considered as it would require patients to be blinded and randomized to narcotic use preoperatively and carries the risk of long-term addiction.

### **OPTIONS**

Low quality evidence, no evidence, or conflicting supporting evidence have resulted in the following statements for patient interventions to be listed as options for the specified condition. Future research may eventually cause these statements to be upgraded to strong or moderate recommendations for treatment.

# CEMENTLESS FIXATION: ALL CEMENTLESS COMPONENTS VS. HYBRID FIXATION (CEMENTLESS TIBIAL COMPONENT)

## All cementless components or hybrid fixation (cementless femur) in total knee arthroplasty show similar functional outcomes and rates of complications and reoperations.

#### Quality of Evidence: Moderate

Strength of Option: Limited **\*\*** (downgraded)

Evidence from two or more "Moderate" quality studies with consistent findings, or evidence from a single "High" quality study for recommending for or against the intervention. Also, higher strength evidence can be downgraded to limited due to major concerns addressed in the EtD Framework.

#### Rationale

In general, the body of evidence was notable for heterogeneity in study design, comparative study groups (including cementless, hybrid, and cemented fixation), and confounding results. As such, the recommendation has been downgraded.

There were twelve high quality studies (Demey 2011, Fernandez-Fairen 2013, Kim 2014, Lizaur-Utrilla 2014, Kendrick 2015, Pulido 2015, Van Hamersveld 2017, Nam 2019, Batailler 2020, Hampton 2020, Kim 2020, Murylev 2020) and seventeen low quality (Khaw 2002, Carlsson 2005, Baker 2007, Park 2011, Pandit 2013, Bagsby 2016, Kerens 2017, Boyle 2018, Nugent 2019, Manoli 2019, Deroche 2020, Irmola 2020, Lizaur-Utrilla 2020, Mohammad 2020, Gifstad 2021, Silverstein 2021, Quispel 2021) studies evaluating the use of various combinations of cemented versus cementless fixation of components (tibia, femur, patella) in total knee arthroplasty.

Three low quality studies (Nugent 2019, Irmola 2020, Quispel 2021) compared cementless and hybrid fixation. Irmola (2020) and Nugent (2019) showed significantly lower total revision rates in the hybrid group, but no difference in specific indications. Nugent (2019) showed statistically significantly better Oxford knee scores at 6 months postoperative in the hybrid fixation group, but no difference at 5 and 10 years.

Only one study (Irmola 2020) compared hybrid fixation to inverse hybrid (cemented femoral component and uncemented tibial component), finding no difference in revisions at 5 years.

#### **Future Research**

Continued long-term comparative studies between modern cemented and cementless component fixation options in knee arthroplasty will help to further define the utility of these component types, durability of fixation, and effect of evolving component designs (e.g., modular and monolithic) on patient-reported outcomes. Certainly, newer fixation materials (e.g., porous metals) should be evaluated in long-term follow-up. Identifying patient-specific factors that may inform the decision to utilize a particular fixation technique, or to avoid complications associated with particular fixation strategies, is important. Registry data and long-term studies (greater than ten years clinical follow up) should inform durability of particular components and may serve to analyze implant-specific complications and revision risk. Given some variability in the reported patient-reported outcome measures between treatment groups in particular high-quality studies, more clinical data may discern subtle differences in clinical outcomes

based on the use of cemented or cementless component fixation. Issues of cost and cost-effectiveness should also be incorporated into future clinical studies.

## The practitioner could use unicompartmental knee arthroplasty or tibial osteotomy for the treatment of knee osteoarthritis.

#### Quality of Evidence: Moderate

Strength of Option: Limited **\*\***\*\*\* (downgraded)

Evidence from two or more "Moderate" quality studies with consistent findings, or evidence from a single "High" quality study for recommending for or against the intervention. Also, higher strength evidence can be downgraded to limited due to major concerns addressed in the EtD Framework.

#### Rationale

This statement was downgraded due to the quality of evidence in support of UKA. There is limited high quality evidence to support the use of UKA versus HTO in early, unicompartmental OA. All included literature examined these procedures for the treatment of medial unicompartmental knee osteoarthritis. One high quality study (Stukenborg-Colsman 2001) of patients with predominantly medial compartment osteoarthritis demonstrated equivalent postoperative complication rates, implant survivorship, and knee society scores among UKA versus HTO recipients. Such equivalence in postoperative adverse event rates was supported by four additional low quality studies (Watanabe 2021, Rodkey 2021, Tuncay 2015, Petersen 2016) which found similar rates of total revision, infection, deep venous thrombosis, hematoma formation, implant loosening (but not aseptic loosening), mechanical symptoms and arthritis progression. Conversely, one moderate quality study (Shaofei 2017) and four low quality studies (Petersen 2016, Ziqi 2020, Kim 2019, Jeon 2017) reported superior early pain, physical function, and/or quality of life metrics with UKA compared to HTO.

Overall, there is considerable overlap in indications for UKA and HTO, based on patient's age range, levels of activity demands/expectations, and clinical presentation of unicompartmental osteoarthritis. Furthermore, TKA represents the revision option for both treatments and yields satisfactory functional outcomes and survivorship. A recent meta-analysis (Cao 2018) reported that UKA patients have lower revision rates, mitigated minor and major complications, and less postoperative pain compared to their HTO counterparts. However, such results are ascertained from the compilation and pooled analysis of relatively low-quality evidence. In contrast, HTO patients attain a greater range of motion; nevertheless, this advantage may not be of clinical significance given the satisfactory ROM attained using UKA. Both modalities offer a similar postoperative knee function score, walking velocity, and mid-term revision rates. It is critical to highlight those outcomes and survivorship of both surgical modalities are heavily modified by surgeon experience and technique, in addition to implant design for UKA. Advances such as robotic UKA may offer a venue for further improvement in survivorship.

#### **Benefits/ Harms of Implementation**

Performing UKA in an appropriately selected population affords the advantages of lower revision rates, mitigated minor and major complications, and less postoperative pain compared to their HTO counterparts. However, such advantages are contingent upon surgeon experience and implant design; thereby rendering the potential for failure (i.e., higher revision and lower mid-to long term survivorship) among less experienced substantially higher. Nevertheless, the introduction of robotic UKA may mitigate, in part, the inter-surgeon variability.

#### Cost Effectiveness / Resource Utilization

Owing to the lower costs and near-comparability of outcomes, HTO affords higher cost-effectiveness compared to UKA especially in 50 to 60-year-old patient with medial unicompartmental knee osteoarthritis (Kamaruzaman 2017). Specifically, Markov model using a probabilistic willingness-to-pay (WTP) threshold sensitivity analysis demonstrated that a \$50,000 per QALY, HTO was cost effective in

57% of the time compared to 19% in UKA. At a WTP threshold of \$100,000/QALY, HTO was costeffective 43% of time versus 26% for UKA. HTO and UKA are associated with 14.62, and 14.63, estimated discounted QALYs, respectively. Conversely, discounted total direct medical costs were \$20,436 for HTO versus \$24,637 for UKA (in 2012 U.S.D). The incremental cost-effectiveness ratio (ICER) was \$420,100 per QALY for unicompartmental knee arthroplasty.

#### Acceptability and Feasibility

Overall, UKA has fair acceptability and feasibility among surgeons and patients. AJRR data indicates diminishing rates reaching 2.7% of all primary knee arthroplasties reported to AJRR for 2017. However, such rates rebounded with numbers increasing to 4.2% in 2020 (American Joint Replacement Registry 2020 Annual Report).

#### **Future Research**

Further research into long-term cost-effectiveness using both surgical modalities is required, especially in delineating indications and patient selection. Such investigational venues should account for costs and outcomes of conversion TKA after each modality; specifically, the incidence of infection, early failure, and patient reported outcomes after the conversion surgery. This is critical given that TKA is the final common pathway after either procedure which may be considered less invasive "temporizing measures" in a substantial subset of the young osteoarthritis patient population until TKA is eventually performed. Further research is also warranted into the comparative utilization rates of each procedure over the last decade and their respective projected volumes.

In the absence of reliable evidence, it is the opinion of the workgroup that simultaneous bilateral total knee arthroplasty (TKA) could be performed vs. staged (>90 days) bilateral TKA in appropriately selected patients but should be performed with caution and should be avoided with patients who are at high risk of cardiopulmonary complications.

#### Quality of Evidence: Low

**Strength of Option:** Consensus **\*** (downgraded)

Description: Evidence there is no supporting evidence, or limited level evidence was downgraded due to major concerns addressed in the EtD framework. In the absence of reliable evidence, the guideline work group is making a recommendation based on their clinical opinion.

#### Rationale

This recommendation has been downgraded due to the potential harms to the patient. In the limited evidence available, no difference in overall complication rates for patients who underwent bilateral simultaneous TKA versus stage TKA is found. Several studies demonstrate lower hemoglobin (Feng 2019) in simultaneous TKA group, but there are mixed findings regarding increased blood transfusion (Feng 2019, Wan 2021, Bohn 2016). Some studies found increased risk of PE with bilateral simultaneous TKA (Hadley 2017, Bohn 2016) though others found no difference in the compared groups (Goyal 2020, Arslan 2018, Zhao 2015). When specifically comparing bilateral simultaneous versus staged (excluding unilateral TKA), no mortality difference has been reported (Yoon 2010).

In the limited evidence available, findings are consistent that there are no functional outcome differences between simultaneous and staged bilateral TKA (Goyal 2020, Feng 2019).

Advantages to simultaneous bilateral TKA include cost savings (Wan 2021), decreased overall length of stay (Feng, 2020, Wan 2021, Kahlenberg 2021, Siedlecki 2018).

#### **Benefits/ Harms of Implementation**

Bilateral simultaneous TKA may be preferred for some patients with bilateral advanced knee osteoarthritis. While bilateral simultaneous TKA has shown to have increased risks when compared to unilateral TKA in recent literature (Warren 2021), multiple low-quality studies (Poultisides 2015, Seol 2016, Bohm 2016) have found adverse effects, including total adverse effects, in favor bilateral simultaneous TKA in comparison to bilateral staged TKA.

#### **Cost Effectiveness / Resource Utilization**

Limited evidence suggests bilateral simultaneous total knee arthroplasty is cost saving.

#### **Future Research**

This recommendation is based on limited evidence from retrospective studies and limited power prospective series, and analyses thereof. Well-designed large prospective or randomized trials will further the understanding of specific criteria for patients choosing between either staged or simultaneous bilateral total knee arthroplasty.

### Smoking cessation should be attempted before total knee arthroplasty, as a history of smoking may result in higher complications, lower functional scores, higher pain scores, and SSIs.

#### Quality of Evidence: Low

#### 

Description: Evidence there is no supporting evidence, or limited level evidence was downgraded due to major concerns addressed in the EtD framework. In the absence of reliable evidence, the guideline work group is making a recommendation based on their clinical opinion.

#### Rationale

This recommendation has been downgraded for imprecision. There is one low quality study (An, 2021) assessing female patients who are heavy smokers compared to no smoking and mild smokers and their outcomes after total knee arthroplasty. The study reports lower HSS, ROM and SF-Physical. The study also demonstrated higher SSIs and higher pain scores in the heavy smoking group. Even though, our total knee arthroplasty specific inclusion criteria led to limited evidence, it is a widely accepted in the total knee arthroplasty literature that smoking is an independent risk factor.

#### **Benefits/ Harms of Implementation**

The risks associated with performing total knee arthroplasty on patients with heavy smoking history may include lower functional scores and higher SSIs which may increase the financial resources needed to manage some of these issues.

#### **Outcome Importance**

Patients who smoke have higher rates of complications after total knee arthroplasty.

#### **Cost Effectiveness / Resource Utilization**

Smoking has been shown to increase complications such as SSIs which would increase use of resources such as antibiotics and the need for consulting services which may increase the cost.

#### Acceptability

The recommendation comes with high acceptability.

#### Feasibility

Since smoking may increase complications in patients after total knee arthroplasty, it is reasonable to achieve smoking cessation before surgery.

#### **Future Research**

Additional prospective studies are needed to evaluate functional outcomes and surgical complications long term. Further studies in smoking cessation preoperatively and its effects on outcomes should also be undertaken.

### Discharge to home, with or without home services, is associated with fewer adverse events compared to discharge to acute rehabilitation facility or skilled nursing facility.

#### Quality of Evidence: Low

#### Strength of Option: Limited \*\*\*

Description: Evidence from one or more "Low" quality studies with consistent findings or evidence from a single "Moderate" quality study recommending for or against the intervention.

#### Rationale

There were no high quality and three low quality studies (Naylor 2017, McLawhorn 2017, Padgett 2018) evaluating whether discharge to an acute rehabilitation facility or skilled nursing facility improve outcomes and/ or decrease complications compared with discharge to home, with or without home services.

McLawhorn (2017) showed fewer adverse events and readmissions with home discharge. Padgett (2018) demonstrated a higher length of stay with home discharge, but no difference in adverse events. Naylor (2017) showed significantly better functional scores with home discharge. In the absence of reliable, comparative studies a consensus recommendation was made by the workgroup.

It is worth noting that literature comparing costs associated with discharge disposition was not included for analysis.

#### **Benefits/ Harms of Implementation**

There are no known harms associated with implementing this recommendation. The decision to discharge a patient to home versus post-acute care facility should be made with consideration of patient's medical complexity and postoperative function. The practitioner should be aware of the advantages and disadvantages of specific discharge disposition.

#### **Future Research**

Higher-quality studies are needed to compare outcomes associated with discharge disposition following total knee arthroplasty.

Of note, Christensen (2020) showed significantly better VAS Pain, strength, and functional testing at onemonth post-op with immediate outpatient physical therapy as compared to immediate home physical therapy followed by outpatient therapy. While this was not included in the recommendation, this may be another opportunity for further research.

## Evidence suggests no significant difference in function, outcomes, or complications in the short term between robotic assisted and conventional total knee arthroplasty (TKA).

#### Quality of Evidence: High

#### Strength of Option: Limited **\*\*** (downgraded)

Description: Evidence there is no supporting evidence, or limited level evidence was downgraded due to major concerns addressed in the EtD framework. In the absence of reliable evidence, the guideline work group is making a recommendation based on their clinical opinion.

#### Rationale

This statement was downgraded due to the varying treatments (robots used) between studies. One high quality study (Kim, 2020) evaluating robotic assisted total knee arthroplasty (TKA) with conventional technique found no clinical benefits to robotic surgery, but another higher quality study (Cho, 2019) demonstrates improved accuracy and fewer outliers with robotics.

However, numerous low-quality studies demonstrate improved outcomes with robotic assisted TKA. King (2020) favored MAKO robotic assisted TKA to conventional jig technique for MUA. Jeon (2019) favored ROBODOC to conventional technique for periprosthetic fracture at 9 years with decreased outliers. Shaw (2021) favors robotic technique for 90-day revisions. Kayani (2018) finds robotic assisted TKA had benefits over conventional technology in early post op VAS pain and improved early functional recovery and discharge. Bollars (2020) image free robot decreased outliers. Hamilton (2021) robotic group had earlier discharge and more likely to go home. King (2020) showed MAKO robotic assisted TKA lead to shorter length of stay and reduction in pain. However, average procedure time was nine minutes longer in this group. While Liow (2014, 2017) showed that ROBODOC lead to similar short term clinical outcomes, the robotic assisted group showed better restore joint line and mechanical axis. Twoyear outcomes showed subtle improvements with robotic assisted TKA. Marchand (2021) showed MAKO robotic assisted TKA improved two-vear functional scores and lower aseptic revision rates. Marchand (2017) showed MAKO robotic assisted TKA improved short term pain, physical function, and total satisfaction. In Mitchell's (2021) retrospective review, robotics demonstrated significant early clinical benefits with reduced length of stay, opioids, and re-admission. Park (2007) showed that ROBODOC improved accuracy, despite the risk of higher complications with inexperienced practitioners. Song's (2013) ROBODOC procedure reduced outliers and lead to better balancing. Yang (2017) showed that ROBODOC reduced outliers and radiolucency. Bendich (2021) showed lower re-admission rate with robotic assisted TKA.

#### **Benefits/ Harms of Implementation**

Robotic assisted surgery may require preoperative imagery exposing the patient to radiation and its potential harm.

#### **Outcome Importance**

Practitioners should carefully examine the presented evidence during decision making, especially in the presence of robotic assisted surgery's growing popularity for TKA.

#### **Cost Effectiveness / Resource Utilization**

Robotic assisted surgery, although more expensive, may cut cost by improving pain, decreased LOS, and readmissions. Long term outcomes may reduce revision burden.

#### Feasibility

This recommendation faces no feasibility challenge.

#### **Future Research**

Recent studies of this new and constantly improving and evolving technology show improved early shortterm outcomes and pain scores. Novel robotic technologies will need to conduct long term randomized controlled trials to demonstrate clinical advantage (i.e., safety and efficacy) over conventional surgical techniques.

## Evidence suggests no significant difference in function, outcomes, or complications in the short term between robotic assisted and conventional unicompartmental knee arthroplasty.

#### Quality of Evidence: High

#### Strength of Option: Limited **\*\*** (downgraded)

Description: Evidence there is no supporting evidence, or limited level evidence was downgraded due to major concerns addressed in the EtD framework. In the absence of reliable evidence, the guideline work group is making a recommendation based on their clinical opinion.

#### Rationale

This statement was downgraded due to the varying treatments (robots used) between studies. Robotic technology is a significantly broad term. They can be broadly divided into haptic versus non-haptic robot. Haptic robot like MAKO assist surgeons in preparation of bone while others are an alignment guide.

Blyth (2017) demonstrated improved early functional score in robotic group. However, the study included Mako fixed bearing in robotic group and the Oxford mobile bearing knee in manual group. Pain score was significant up to eight weeks. It's unclear if they used a tourniquet in one or both groups which may account for the difference in pain score. Few other low-quality studies (Clement 2020, Crizer 2021, Kayani 2019) demonstrated improvement in early functional score.

Zhang (2016) showed significantly better component alignment and no difference in functional score between robotic and traditional groups. In this study, coronal mechanical axis (CMA) was significantly better in robotic UKA than traditional UKA group. At 24-month follow-up, rate of outliers of 3° varus or valgus were 50% less in robotic group. Two low-quality studies (Batailler 2019, Park 2019) has shown improve the alignment in robotic group.

A moderate quality study by Gilmour (2018), there is no difference between two groups. Two other lowquality study (Hansen 2018, Wong 2019) demonstrated no difference in outcome or alignment.

Improvement in alignment and functional outcome is predominantly reported in low-quality studies. It is possible that these improvements will be demonstrated by high-quality studies in future. High quality long-term studies are needed to see if haptic technology results in better outcome.

### APPENDICES

#### Appendix I: References

Introduction References

- 1. Diagnosis and treatment of hip and knee osteoarthritis: A review. Jeffrey N. Katz, MD, MSc, Kaetlyn R. Arant, BA, Richard F. Loeser, MD. JAMA. 2021 February 09; 325(6): 568–578.
- 2. Anxiety and depression in patients with osteoarthritis: impact and management challenges. Anirudh Sharma, Prtha Kudesia, Qian Shi, Rajiv Gandhi
- 3. Epidemiology of OA. Tuhina Neogi, MD, PhD, FRCPC and Yuqing Zhang, DSc. Rheum Dis Clin North Am. 2013 Feb; 39(1): 1–19.
- 4. Risk factors and burden of osteoarthritis. Clémence Palazzo, Christelle Nguyen, Marie-Martine Lefevre-Colau, François Rannou, Serge Poiraudeau. Annals of Physical and Rehabilitation Medicine. Volume 59, Issue 3, June 2016, Pages 134-138
- 5. boneandjointburden.org. Marc C. Hochberg, MD, Miriam G. Cisternas, MA, Sylvia I. Watkins-Castillo, PhD
- Lifetime medical costs of knee osteoarthritis management in the United States: impact of extending indications for total knee arthroplasty. Elena Losina , A David Paltiel, Alexander M Weinstein, Edward Yelin, David J Hunter, Stephanie P Chen, Kristina Klara, Lisa G Suter, Daniel H Solomon, Sara A Burbine, Rochelle P Walensky, Jeffrey N Katz. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken) 2015 Feb;67(2):203-15.
- American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons Management of Osteoarthritis of the Knee (non-Arthroplasty) Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guideline. <u>https://www.aaos.org/oak3cpg</u>. Published 8/31/2021.

#### Rationale References

- 8. Kamaruzaman H, Kinghorn P, Oppong R. Cost-effectiveness of surgical interventions for the management of osteoarthritis: a systematic review of the literature. BMC Musculoskelet Disord. 2017;18(1):183. doi:10.1186/s12891-017-1540-2
- 9. American Joint Replacement Registry (AJRR): 2020 Annual Report. Rosemont, Am Acad Orthop Surg (AAOS), 2020.
- Cao Z, Mai X, Wang J, Feng E, Huang Y. Unicompartmental Knee Arthroplasty vs High Tibial Osteotomy for Knee Osteoarthritis: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. J Arthroplasty. 2018;33(3):952-959. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2017.10.025

### **Included Literature References**

- Abane, L., Anract, P., Boisgard, S., Descamps, S., Courpied, J. P., Hamadouche, M. A comparison of patient-specific and conventional instrumentation for total knee arthroplasty: a multicentre randomised controlled trial. Bone & Joint Journal 2015; 1: 56-63
- 12. Abane, L., Zaoui, A., Anract, P., Lefevre, N., Herman, S., Hamadouche, M. Can a Single-Use and Patient-Specific Instrumentation Be Reliably Used in Primary Total Knee Arthroplasty? A Multicenter Controlled Study. Journal of Arthroplasty 2018; 7: 2111-2118
- 13. Affas, F., Nygårds, E. B., Stiller, C. O., Wretenberg, P., Olofsson, C. Pain control after total knee arthroplasty: a randomized trial comparing local infiltration anesthesia and continuous femoral block. Acta Orthopaedica 2011; 4: 441-7
- 14. Agarwala, S., Wagh, Y. S., Vijayvargiya, M. Is obesity a contraindication for simultaneous bilateral total knee arthroplasty? A prospective case-control study. Sicotj 2020; 0: 42
- Aglietti, P., Baldini, A., Vena, L. M., Abbate, R., Fedi, S., Falciani, M. Effect of tourniquet use on activation of coagulation in total knee replacement. Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research 2000; 371: 169-177
- 16. Aguilera, X., Martínez-Zapata, M. J., Hinarejos, P., Jordán, M., Leal, J., González, J. C., Monllau, J. C., Celaya, F., Rodríguez-Arias, A., Fernández, J. A., Pelfort, X., Puig-Verdie, L. Topical and intravenous tranexamic acid reduce blood loss compared to routine hemostasis in total knee arthroplasty: a multicenter, randomized, controlled trial. Archives of Orthopaedic and Trauma Surgery 2015; 7: 1017-1025
- 17. Ahmed, W., Lakdawala, R. H., Mohib, Y., Qureshi, A., Rashid, R. H. Does obesity affects early infection after total knee arthroplasty. A comparison of obese vs non obese patients. JPMA Journal of the Pakistan Medical Association 2016; 0: S96-S98
- Al-Dadah, O., Hawes, G., Chapman-Sheath, P. J., Tice, J. W., Barrett, D. S. Unicompartmental vs. segmental bicompartmental vs. total knee replacement: comparison of clinical outcomes. Knee Surgery & Related Research 2020; 1: 47
- 19. Albrecht, D. C., Ottersbach, A. Retrospective 5-year analysis of revision rate and functional outcome of TKA with and without patella implant. Orthopedics 2016; 3: S5-S31
- 20. Alexandersson, M., Wang, E. Y., Eriksson, S. A small difference in recovery between total knee arthroplasty with and without tourniquet use the first 3 months after surgery: a randomized controlled study. Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy 2019; 4: 1035-1042
- 21. Ali, A., Lindstrand, A., Nilsdotter, A., Sundberg, M. Similar patient-reported outcomes and performance after total knee arthroplasty with or without patellar resurfacing: A randomized study of 74 patients with 6 years of follow-up. Acta Orthopaedica 2016; 3: 274-279
- 22. Amin, A. K., Clayton, R. A., Patton, J. T., Gaston, M., Cook, R. E., Brenkel, I. J. Total knee replacement in morbidly obese patients. Results of a prospective, matched study. Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery - British Volume 2006; 10: 1321-6
- Amin, A. K., Patton, J. T., Cook, R. E., Brenkel, I. J. Does obesity influence the clinical outcome at five years following total knee replacement for osteoarthritis?. Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery - British Volume 2006; 3: 335-40
- 24. An, V. V. G., Twiggs, J., Leie, M., Fritsch, B. A. Kinematic alignment is bone and soft tissue preserving compared to mechanical alignment in total knee arthroplasty. Knee 2019; 2: 466-476
- 25. An, X., Wang, J., Shi, W., Ma, R., Li, Z., Lei, M., Liu, Y., Lin, F. The Effect of Passive Smoking on Early Clinical Outcomes After Total Knee Arthroplasty Among Female Patients. Risk Management & Healthcare Policy 2021; 0: 2407-2419
- 26. Antinolfi, P., Innocenti, B., Caraffa, A., Peretti, G., Cerulli, G. Post-operative blood loss in total knee arthroplasty: knee flexion versus pharmacological techniques. Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy 2014; 11: 2756-62

- 27. Arslan, A., Utkan, A., Ozkurt, B. Are unilateral or staged bilateral total knee arthroplasty really safer than simultaneously bilateral TKA, or is it a myth?. Acta Orthopaedica Belgica 2018; 2: 192-202
- 28. Aunan, E., Naess, G., Clarke-Jenssen, J., Sandvik, L., Kibsgard, T. J. Patellar resurfacing in total knee arthroplasty: functional outcome differs with different outcome scores: A randomized, double-blind study of 129 knees with 3 years of follow-up. Acta Orthopaedica 2016; 2: 158-64
- 29. Aunan, E., Næss, G., Clarke-Jenssen, J., Sandvik, L., Kibsgard, T. J. Patellar resurfacing in total knee arthroplasty: Functional outcome differs with different outcome scores. Acta Orthopaedica 2016; 2: 158-164
- 30. Ayik, O., Demirel, M., Birisik, F., Ersen, A., Balci, H. I., Sahinkaya, T., Batibay, S. G., Ozturk, I. The Effects of Tourniquet Application in Total Knee Arthroplasty on the Recovery of Thigh Muscle Strength and Clinical Outcomes. The Journal of Knee Surgery 2020; 0: 19
- 31. Bagsby, D. T., Issa, K., Smith, L. S., Elmallah, R. K., Mast, L. E., Harwin, S. F., Mont, M. A., Bhimani, S. J., Malkani, A. L. Cemented vs Cementless Total Knee Arthroplasty in Morbidly Obese Patients. Journal of Arthroplasty 2016; 8: 1727-1731
- 32. Bagsby, D. T., Samujh, C. A., Vissing, J. L., Empson, J. A., Pomeroy, D. L., Malkani, A. L. Tranexamic Acid Decreases Incidence of Blood Transfusion in Simultaneous Bilateral Total Knee Arthroplasty. Journal of Arthroplasty 2015; 12: 2106-2109
- 33. Baker, P. N., Khaw, F. M., Kirk, L. M., Esler, C. N., Gregg, P. J. A randomised controlled trial of cemented versus cementless press-fit condylar total knee replacement: 15-year survival analysis. Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery British Volume 2007; 12: 1608-14
- 34. Baker, P., Petheram, T., Jameson, S., Reed, M., Gregg, P., Deehan, D. The association between body mass index and the outcomes of total knee arthroplasty. Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery -American Volume 2012; 16: 1501-8
- 35. Barker, K. L., Hannink, E., Pemberton, S., Jenkins, C. Knee Arthroplasty Patients Predicted Versus Actual Recovery: What Are Their Expectations About Time of Recovery After Surgery and How Long Before They Can Do the Tasks They Want to Do?. Archives of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation 2018; 11: 2230-2237
- 36. Barker, T., Rogers, V. E., Brown, K. B., Henriksen, V. T., Rasmussen, G. L. Tourniquet use during total knee arthroplasty does not modulate the neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio, pain, or activity. 2017; 3: 283-287
- 37. Barrack, R. L., Bertot, A. J., Wolfe, M. W., Waldman, D. A., Milicic, M., Myers, L. Patellar resurfacing in total knee arthroplasty. A prospective, randomized, double-blind study with five to seven years of follow-up. Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery American Volume 2001; 9: 1376-81
- Barrack, R. L., Wolfe, M. W., Waldman, D. A., Milicic, M., Bertot, A. J., Myers, L. Resurfacing of the patella in total knee arthroplasty. A prospective, randomized, double-blind study. Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery - American Volume 1997; 8: 1121-31
- 39. Barrett, W. P., Mason, J. B., Moskal, J. T., Dalury, D. F., Oliashirazi, A., Fisher, D. A. Comparison of radiographic alignment of imageless computer-assisted surgery vs conventional instrumentation in primary total knee arthroplasty. Journal of Arthroplasty 2011; 8: 1273-1284.e1
- 40. Basdelioglu, K. Effects of body mass index on outcomes of total knee arthroplasty. European journal of orthopaedic surgery & traumatologie 2020; 0: 07
- 41. Batailler, C., Malemo, Y., Demey, G., Kenney, R., Lustig, S., Servien, E. Cemented vs Uncemented Femoral Components: A Randomized, Controlled Trial at 10 Years Minimum Follow-Up. Journal of Arthroplasty 2020; 8: 2090-2096
- 42. Batailler, C., White, N., Ranaldi, F. M., Neyret, P., Servien, E., Lustig, S. Improved implant position and lower revision rate with robotic-assisted unicompartmental knee arthroplasty. Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy 2019; 4: 1232-1240
- 43. Batra, S., Malhotra, R., Kumar, V., Srivastava, D. N., Backstein, D., Pandit, H. Superior patient satisfaction in medial pivot as compared to posterior stabilized total knee arthroplasty: a prospective randomized study. Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy 2020; 0: 05
- 44. Baumann, F., Bahadin, O., Krutsch, W., Zellner, J., Nerlich, M., Angele, P., Tibesku, C. O. Proprioception after bicruciate-retaining total knee arthroplasty is comparable to

unicompartmental knee arthroplasty. Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy 2017; 6: 1697-1704

- 45. Beaupré, L. A., al-Yamani, M., Huckell, J. R., Johnston, D. W. Hydroxyapatite-coated tibial implants compared with cemented tibial fixation in primary total knee arthroplasty. A randomized trial of outcomes at five years. Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery American Volume 2007; 10: 2204-11
- 46. Beaupre, L. A., Sharifi, B., Johnston, D. W. C. A Randomized Clinical Trial Comparing Posterior Cruciate-Stabilizing vs Posterior Cruciate-Retaining Prostheses in Primary Total Knee Arthroplasty: 10-Year Follow-Up. Journal of arthroplasty. (no pagination), 2016 2016; 0:
- Bendich, I., Kapadia, M., Alpaugh, K., Diane, A., Vigdorchik, J., & Westrich, G. (2021). Trends of Utilization and 90-Day Complication Rates for Computer-Assisted Navigation and Robotic Assistance for Total Knee Arthroplasty in the United States From 2010 to 2018. Arthroplasty today, 11, 134-139.
- Benjamin, J., Tucker, T., Ballesteros, P. Is obesity a contraindication to bilateral total knee arthroplasties under one anesthetic?. Clinical Orthopaedics & Related Research 2001; 392: 190-5
- 49. Beyer, F., Pape, A., Lutzner, C., Kirschner, S., Lutzner, J. Similar outcomes in computer-assisted and conventional total knee arthroplasty: ten-year results of a prospective randomized study. BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders 2021; 1: 707
- 50. Biazzo, A., Masia, F., Verde, F. Bilateral unicompartmental knee arthroplasty: one stage or two stages?. Musculoskeletal Surgery 2019; 3: 231-236
- 51. Biswas, A., Perlas, A., Ghosh, M., Chin, K., Niazi, A., Pandher, B., Chan, V. Relative Contributions of Adductor Canal Block and Intrathecal Morphine to Analgesia and Functional Recovery After Total Knee Arthroplasty: a Randomized Controlled Trial. Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine 2018; 2: 154-160
- 52. Blakeney, W. G., Khan, R. J., Wall, S. J. Computer-assisted techniques versus conventional guides for component alignment in total knee arthroplasty: a randomized controlled trial. Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery American Volume 2011; 15: 1377-84
- 53. Blevins, J. L., Carroll, K. M., Burger, J. A., Pearle, A. D., Bostrom, M. P., Haas, S. B., Sculco, T. P., Jerabek, S. A., Mayman, D. J. Postoperative outcomes of total knee arthroplasty compared to unicompartmental knee arthroplasty: A matched comparison. Knee 2020; 2: 565-571
- 54. Blyth, M. J. G., Anthony, I., Rowe, P., Banger, M. S., MacLean, A., Jones, B. Robotic armassisted versus conventional unicompartmental knee arthroplasty: Exploratory secondary analysis of a randomised controlled trial. Bone & Joint Research 2017; 11: 631-639
- 55. Blyth, M. J., Smith, J. R., Anthony, I. C., Strict, N. E., Rowe, P. J., Jones, B. G. Electromagnetic navigation in total knee arthroplasty-a single center, randomized, single-blind study comparing the results with conventional techniques. Journal of Arthroplasty 2015; 2: 199-205
- 56. Bohm, E. R., Molodianovitsh, K., Dragan, A., Zhu, N., Webster, G., Masri, B., Schemitsch, E., Dunbar, M. Outcomes of unilateral and bilateral total knee arthroplasty in 238,373 patients. Acta Orthopaedica 2016; 0: 24-30
- Bohm, E., Zhu, N., Gu, J., de Guia, N., Linton, C., Anderson, T., Paton, D., Dunbar, M. Does adding antibiotics to cement reduce the need for early revision in total knee arthroplasty?. 2014; 1: 162-8
- Bollars, P., Boeckxstaens, A., Mievis, J., Kalaai, S., Schotanus, M. G. M., Janssen, D. Preliminary experience with an image-free handheld robot for total knee arthroplasty: 77 cases compared with a matched control group. European Journal of Orthopaedic Surgery and Traumatology 2020; 4: 723-729
- Bonnefoy-Mazure, A., Martz, P., Armand, S., Sagawa, Y., Jr., Suva, D., Turcot, K., Miozzari, H. H., Lubbeke, A. Influence of Body Mass Index on Sagittal Knee Range of Motion and Gait Speed Recovery 1-Year After Total Knee Arthroplasty. Journal of Arthroplasty 2017; 8: 2404-2410

- 60. Boonen, B., Schotanus, M. G., Kerens, B., van der Weegen, W., Hoekstra, H. J., Kort, N. P. No difference in clinical outcome between patient-matched positioning guides and conventional instrumented total knee arthroplasty two years post-operatively: a multicentre, double-blind, randomised controlled trial. Bone & Joint Journal 2016; 7: 939-44
- Boonen, B., Schotanus, M. G., Kerens, B., van der Weegen, W., van Drumpt, R. A., Kort, N. P. Intra-operative results and radiological outcome of conventional and patient-specific surgery in total knee arthroplasty: a multicentre, randomised controlled trial. Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy 2013; 10: 2206-12
- 62. Bordini, B., Stea, S., Cremonini, S., Viceconti, M., De Palma, R., Toni, A. Relationship between obesity and early failure of total knee prostheses. BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders 2009; 0: 29
- 63. Bourne, R. B., Rorabeck, C. H., Vaz, M., Kramer, J., Hardie, R., Robertson, D. Resurfacing versus not resurfacing the patella during total knee replacement. 1995; 321: 156-61
- 64. Boyle, K. K., Nodzo, S. R., Ferraro, J. T., Augenblick, D. J., Pavlesen, S., Phillips, M. J. Uncemented vs Cemented Cruciate Retaining Total Knee Arthroplasty in Patients With Body Mass Index Greater Than 30. Journal of Arthroplasty 2018; 4: 1082-1088
- 65. Bradshaw, A. R., Monoghan, J., Campbell, D. Oral tranexamic acid reduces blood loss in total knee replacement arthroplasty. Current Orthopaedic Practice 2012; 3: 209-212
- Broberg, J. S., Ndoja, S., MacDonald, S. J., Lanting, B. A., Teeter, M. G. Comparison of Contact Kinematics in Posterior-Stabilized and Cruciate-Retaining Total Knee Arthroplasty at Long-Term Follow-Up. Journal of Arthroplasty 2020; 1: 272-277
- 67. Burn, E., Sanchez-Santos, M. T., Pandit, H. G., Hamilton, T. W., Liddle, A. D., Murray, D. W., Pinedo-Villanueva, R. Ten-year patient-reported outcomes following total and minimally invasive unicompartmental knee arthroplasty: a propensity score-matched cohort analysis. Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy 2018; 5: 1455-1464
- 68. Burnett, R. S., Haydon, C. M., Rorabeck, C. H., Bourne, R. B. Patella resurfacing versus nonresurfacing in total knee arthroplasty: results of a randomized controlled clinical trial at a minimum of 10 years' followup. 2004; 428: 12-25
- Busch, C. A., Shore, B. J., Bhandari, R., Ganapathy, S., MacDonald, S. J., Bourne, R. B., Rorabeck, C. H., McCalden, R. W. Efficacy of periarticular multimodal drug injection in total knee arthroplasty. A randomized trial. Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery - American Volume 2006; 5: 959-63
- 70. Calliess, T., Bauer, K., Stukenborg-Colsman, C., Windhagen, H., Budde, S., Ettinger, M. PSI kinematic versus non-PSI mechanical alignment in total knee arthroplasty: a prospective, randomized study. Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy 2017; 6: 1743-1748
- Campbell, D. G., Duncan, W. W., Ashworth, M., Mintz, A., Stirling, J., Wakefield, L., Stevenson, T. M. Patellar resurfacing in total knee replacement: a ten-year randomised prospective trial. Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery - British Volume 2006; 6: 734-9
- 72. Cankaya, D., Ozkurt, B., Aydin, C., Tabak, A. Y. No difference in blood loss between posteriorcruciate-ligament-retaining and posterior-cruciate-ligament-stabilized total knee arthroplasties. Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy 2014; 8: 1865-9
- 73. Cao, G., Chen, G., Huang, Q., Huang, Z., Alexander, P. G., Lin, H., Xu, H., Zhou, Z., Pei, F. The efficacy and safety of tranexamic acid for reducing blood loss following simultaneous bilateral total knee arthroplasty: a multicenter retrospective study. BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders 2019; 1: 325
- 74. Cao, G., Chen, G., Yang, X., Huang, Q., Huang, Z., Xu, H., Alexander, P. G., Zhou, Z., Pei, F. Obesity does not increase blood loss or incidence of immediate postoperative complications during simultaneous total knee arthroplasty: A multicenter study. Knee 2020; 3: 963-969
- 75. Carli, F., Clemente, A., Asenjo, J. F., Kim, D. J., Mistraletti, G., Gomarasca, M., Morabito, A., Tanzer, M. Analgesia and functional outcome after total knee arthroplasty: periarticular infiltration vs continuous femoral nerve block. British Journal of Anaesthesia 2010; 2: 185-95
- 76. Carlsson, A., Björkman, A., Besjakov, J., Onsten, I. Cemented tibial component fixation performs better than cementless fixation: a randomized radiostereometric study comparing porous-coated,

hydroxyapatite-coated and cemented tibial components over 5 years. Acta Orthopaedica 2005; 3: 362-9

- 77. Casper, D. S., Fleischman, A. N., Papas, P. V., Grossman, J., Scuderi, G. R., Lonner, J. H. Unicompartmental Knee Arthroplasty Provides Significantly Greater Improvement in Function than Total Knee Arthroplasty Despite Equivalent Satisfaction for Isolated Medial Compartment Osteoarthritis. Journal of Arthroplasty 2019; 8: 1611-1616
- 78. Catani, F., Leardini, A., Ensini, A., Cucca, G., Bragonzoni, L., Toksvig-Larsen, S., Giannini, S. The stability of the cemented tibial component of total knee arthroplasty: posterior cruciateretaining versus posterior-stabilized design. Journal of Arthroplasty 2004; 6: 775-82
- 79. Chan, M. H., Chen, W. H., Tung, Y. W., Liu, K., Tan, P. H., Chia, Y. Y. Single-injection femoral nerve block lacks preemptive effect on postoperative pain and morphine consumption in total knee arthroplasty. Acta Anaesthesiol Taiwan 2012; 2: 54-8
- 80. Chang, M. J., So, S., Park, C. D., Seo, J. G., Moon, Y. W. Long-term follow-up and survivorship of single-radius, posterior-stabilized total knee arthroplasty. Journal of Orthopaedic Science 2018; 1: 92-96
- 81. Chareancholvanich, K., Narkbunnam, R., Pornrattanamaneewong, C. A prospective randomised controlled study of patient-specific cutting guides compared with conventional instrumentation in total knee replacement. Bone & Joint Journal 2013; 3: 354-9
- 82. Chareancholvanich, K., Siriwattanasakul, P., Narkbunnam, R., Pornrattanamaneewong, C. Temporary clamping of drain combined with tranexamic acid reduce blood loss after total knee arthroplasty: a prospective randomized controlled trial. BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders 2012; 0: 124
- Charoencholvanich, K., Siriwattanasakul, P. Tranexamic acid reduces blood loss and blood transfusion after TKA: a prospective randomized controlled trial. Clinical Orthopaedics & Related Research 2011; 10: 2874-80
- 84. Chaudhary, R., Beaupré, L. A., Johnston, D. W. Knee range of motion during the first two years after use of posterior cruciate-stabilizing or posterior cruciate-retaining total knee prostheses. A randomized clinical trial. Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery American Volume 2008; 12: 2579-86
- 85. Chawla, L., Bandekar, S. M., Dixit, V., P, A., Krishnamoorthi, A., Mummigatti, S. Functional outcome of patellar resurfacing vs non resurfacing in Total Knee Arthoplasty in elderly: A prospective five year follow-up study. Journal of Arthroscopy and Joint Surgery 2019; 1: 65-69
- Chen, C. H., Chou, M. Y., Wang, C. C., Hsieh, M. K., Huang, H. L., Liu, C. Y., Zeng, Z. P., Renn, J. H., Lu, Y. C. Comparison of clinical results for patients undergoing unilateral total knee replacement with or without tranexamic acid. Journal of Clinical Gerontology and Geriatrics 2017; 3: 79-82
- 87. Chen, J. Y., Rikhraj, I. S., Zhou, Z., Tay, D. K., Chin, P. L., Chia, S. L., Lo, N. N., Yeo, S. J. Can tranexamic acid and hydrogen peroxide reduce blood loss in cemented total knee arthroplasty?. Archives of Orthopaedic & Trauma Surgery 2014; 7: 997-1002
- 88. Chen, J. Y., Yeo, S. J., Yew, A. K., Tay, D. K., Chia, S. L., Lo, N. N., Chin, P. L. The radiological outcomes of patient-specific instrumentation versus conventional total knee arthroplasty. Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy 2014; 3: 630-5
- 89. Chia, S. K., Wernecke, G. C., Harris, I. A., Bohm, M. T., Chen, D. B., Macdessi, S. J. Periarticular steroid injection in total knee arthroplasty: a prospective, double blinded, randomized controlled trial. Journal of Arthroplasty 2013; 4: 620-3
- 90. Chin, P. L., Yang, K. Y., Yeo, S. J., Lo, N. N. Randomized control trial comparing radiographic total knee arthroplasty implant placement using computer navigation versus conventional technique. Journal of Arthroplasty 2005; 5: 618-26
- 91. Chinachoti, T., Lungnateetape, A., Raksakietisak, M. Periarticular infiltration of 0.25% bupivacaine on top of femoral nerve block and intrathecal morphine improves quality of pain control after total knee arthroplasty: a randomized double-blind placebo controlled clinical trial. Journal of the Medical Association of Thailand 2012; 12: 1536-42

- 92. Chisari, E., Yu, A. S., Yayac, M., Krueger, C. A., Lonner, J. H., Courtney, P. M. Despite Equivalent Medicare Reimbursement, Facility Costs for Outpatient Total Knee Arthroplasty Are Higher Than Unicompartmental Knee Arthroplasty. Journal of Arthroplasty 2021; 7: S141-S144.e1
- Chiu, F. Y., Lin, C. F. Antibiotic-impregnated cement in revision total knee arthroplasty. A prospective cohort study of one hundred and eighty-three knees. Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery - American Volume 2009; 3: 628-33
- 94. Chiu, F. Y., Lin, C. F., Chen, C. M., Lo, W. H., Chaung, T. Y. Cefuroxime-impregnated cement at primary total knee arthroplasty in diabetes mellitus. A prospective, randomised study. Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery - British Volume 2001; 5: 691-5
- 95. Cho, K. J., Seon, J. K., Jang, W. Y., Park, C. G., Song, E. K. Robotic versus conventional primary total knee arthroplasty: clinical and radiological long-term results with a minimum follow-up of ten years. International Orthopaedics 2019; 6: 1345-1354
- 96. Cho, K. Y., Kim, K. I., Song, S. J., Bae, D. K. Does cruciate-retaining total knee arthroplasty show better quadriceps recovery than posterior-stabilized total knee arthroplasty? - Objective measurement with a dynamometer in 102 knees. CiOS Clinics in Orthopedic Surgery 2016; 4: 379-385
- 97. Cho, M. R., Jun, C. M., Song, S. K., Choi, W. K. Natural course of hemoglobin level after total knee arthroplasty and the benefit of tranexamic acid injection in the joint. Medicine 2021; 35: e27097
- 98. Christensen, J. C., Paxton, R. J., Baym, C., Forster, J. E., Dayton, M. R., Hogan, C. A., Stevens-Lapsley, J. E. Benefits of direct patient discharge to outpatient physical therapy after total knee arthroplasty. Disability & Rehabilitation 2020; 5: 660-666
- 99. Chua, H. S., Whitehouse, S. L., Lorimer, M., De Steiger, R., Guo, L., Crawford, R. W. Mortality and Implant Survival With Simultaneous and Staged Bilateral Total Knee Arthroplasty Experience From the Australian Orthopaedic Association National Joint Replacement Registry. Journal of Arthroplasty 2018; 10: 3167-3173
- 100. Chun, K. C., Lee, S. H., Baik, J. S., Kook, S. H., Han, J. K., Chun, C. H. Clinical and radiological results of cruciate-retaining total knee arthroplasty with the NexGen R-CR system: comparison of patellar resurfacing versus retention with more than 14 years of follow-up. Journal of Orthopaedic Surgery 2017; 1: 144
- 101. Cip, J., Widemschek, M., Luegmair, M., Sheinkop, M. B., Benesch, T., Martin, A. Conventional versus computer-assisted technique for total knee arthroplasty: a minimum of 5year follow-up of 200 patients in a prospective randomized comparative trial. Journal of Arthroplasty 2014; 9: 1795-802
- 102. Clark, C. R., Rorabeck, C. H., MacDonald, S., MacDonald, D., Swafford, J., Cleland, D. Posterior-stabilized and cruciate-retaining total knee replacement: a randomized study. 2001; 392: 208-12
- 103. Clement, N. D., Bell, A., Simpson, P., Macpherson, G., Patton, J. T., Hamilton, D. F. Robotic-assisted unicompartmental knee arthroplasty has a greater early functional outcome when compared to manual total knee arthroplasty for isolated medial compartment arthritis. Bone & Joint Research 2020; 1: 15-22
- 104. Clement, N. D., Lin, C. M. A., McCone, E., Weir, D. J., Deehan, D. J. Depression Is Not Independently Associated with a Clinically Worse Functional Improvement but Associated with a Lower Reported Satisfaction Rate after Total Knee Arthroplasty. The Journal of Knee Surgery 2020; 0: 17
- Collins, J. E., Donnell-Fink, L. A., Yang, H. Y., Usiskin, I. M., Lape, E. C., Wright, J., Katz, J. N., Losina, E. Effect of Obesity on Pain and Functional Recovery Following Total Knee Arthroplasty. Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery American Volume 2017; 21: 1812-1818
- 106. Cool, C. L., Needham, K. A., Khlopas, A., Mont, M. A. Revision Analysis of Robotic Arm-Assisted and Manual Unicompartmental Knee Arthroplasty. Journal of Arthroplasty 2019; 5: 926-931

- 107. Crizer, M. P., Haffar, A., Battenberg, A., McGrath, M., Sutton, R., Lonner, J. H. Robotic Assistance in Unicompartmental Knee Arthroplasty Results in Superior Early Functional Recovery and Is More Likely to Meet Patient Expectations. Advances in Orthopaedics 2021; 0: 4770960
- 108. Daniilidis, K., Yao, D., Gosheger, G., Berssen, C., Budny, T., Dieckmann, R., Holl, S. Does BMI influence clinical outcomes after total knee arthroplasty?. Technology & Health Care 2016; 3: 367-75
- 109. Decking, R., Markmann, Y., Fuchs, J., Puhl, W., Scharf, H. P. Leg axis after computernavigated total knee arthroplasty: a prospective randomized trial comparing computer-navigated and manual implantation. Journal of Arthroplasty 2005; 3: 282-8
- 110. Demey, G., Servien, E., Lustig, S., Aït Si Selmi, T., Neyret, P. Cemented versus uncemented femoral components in total knee arthroplasty. Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy 2011; 7: 1053-9
- 111. Deroche, E., Martres, S., Ollivier, M., Gadeyne, S., Wein, F., Gunepin, F. X., Remy, F., Badet, R., Lustig, S., Ia, Sfhg Excellent outcomes for lateral unicompartmental knee arthroplasty: Multicenter 268-case series at 5 to 23 years' follow-up. Orthopaedics & traumatology, surgery & research 2020; 5: 907-913
- 112. Di Martino, A., Bordini, B., Barile, F., Ancarani, C., Digennaro, V., Faldini, C. Unicompartmental knee arthroplasty has higher revisions than total knee arthroplasty at long term follow-up: a registry study on 6453 prostheses. Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy 2020; 0: 01
- 113. Digas, G., Koutsogiannis, I., Meletiadis, G., Antonopoulou, E., Karamoulas, V., Bikos, Ch Intra-articular injection of tranexamic acid reduce blood loss in cemented total knee arthroplasty. European journal of orthopaedic surgery & traumatologie 2015; 7: 1181-8
- 114. Dimaculangan, D., Chen, J. F., Borzio, R. B., Jauregui, J. J., Rasquinha, V. J., Maheshwari, A. V. Periarticular injection and continuous femoral nerve block versus continuous femoral nerve block alone on postoperative opioid consumption and pain control following total knee arthroplasty: Randomized controlled trial. Journal of Clinical Orthopaedics and Trauma 2019; 1: 81-86
- 115. Dong, Y., Li, T., Zheng, Z., Xiang, S., Weng, X. Adding Patella Resurfacing After Circumpatellar Electrocautery Did Not Improve the Clinical Outcome in Bilateral Total Knee Arthroplasty in Chinese Population: A Prospective Randomized Study. Journal of Arthroplasty 2018; 4: 1057-1061
- 116. Dossett, H. G., Estrada, N. A., Swartz, G. J., LeFevre, G. W., Kwasman, B. G. A randomised controlled trial of kinematically and mechanically aligned total knee replacements: two-year clinical results. Bone & Joint Journal 2014; 7: 907-13
- 117. Dowsey, M. M., Gould, D. J., Spelman, T., Pandy, M. G., Choong, P. F. A Randomized Controlled Trial Comparing a Medial Stabilized Total Knee Prosthesis to a Cruciate Retaining and Posterior Stabilized Design: A Report of the Clinical and Functional Outcomes Following Total Knee Replacement. Journal of Arthroplasty 2020; 6: 1583-1590.e2
- 118. Driesman, A., Kaplan, D., Feng, J. E., Waren, D. P., Vigdorchik, J., Meere, P., Fernandez-Madrid, I., Slover, J., Macaulay, W. Tramadol in Knee Osteoarthritis: Does Preoperative Use Affect Patient-Reported Outcomes After Total Knee Arthroplasty?. Journal of Arthroplasty 2019; 8: 1662-1666
- 119. Drosos, G. I., Ververidis, A., Valkanis, C., Tripsianis, G., Stavroulakis, E., Vogiatzaki, T., Kazakos, K. A randomized comparative study of topical versus intravenous tranexamic acid administration in enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) total knee replacement. Journal of Orthopaedics 2016; 3: 127-131
- 120. Duchman, K. R., Gao, Y., Pugely, A. J., Martin, C. T., Callaghan, J. J. Differences in shortterm complications between unicompartmental and total knee arthroplasty: a propensity score matched analysis. Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery - American Volume 2014; 16: 1387-94

- 121. Dutton, A. Q., Yeo, S. J., Yang, K. Y., Lo, N. N., Chia, K. U., Chong, H. C. Computerassisted minimally invasive total knee arthroplasty compared with standard total knee arthroplasty. A prospective, randomized study. Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery - American Volume 2008; 1: 2-9
- 122. Ellis, R. T., Nettrour, J. F., Keeney, J. A. TKA is More Durable Than UKA for Morbidly Obese Patients: A Two-Year Minimum Follow-Up Study. Journal of Arthroplasty 2021; 6: 1933-1941
- 123. Esler, C. N., Blakeway, C., Fiddian, N. J. The use of a closed-suction drain in total knee arthroplasty. A prospective, randomised study. Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery - British Volume 2003; 2: 215-7
- 124. Fabre-Aubrespy, M., Ollivier, M., Pesenti, S., Parratte, S., Argenson, J. N. Unicompartmental Knee Arthroplasty in Patients Older Than 75 Results in Better Clinical Outcomes and Similar Survivorship Compared to Total Knee Arthroplasty. A Matched Controlled Study. Journal of Arthroplasty 2016; 12: 2668-2671
- 125. Farhan-Alanie, M. M., Lee, Y., Underwood, M., Metcalfe, A., Wilkinson, M. J., Price, A. J., Warwick, J., Wall, P. D. H. Effect of tourniquet use on the risk of revision in total knee replacement surgery: An analysis of the National Joint Registry Data Set. BMJ Open 2021; 6:
- 126. Feng, S., Yang, Z., Sun, J. N., Zhu, L., Wang, S., Guo, K. J., Chen, X. Y., Zha, G. C. Comparison of the therapeutic effect between the simultaneous and staged unicompartmental knee arthroplasty (UKA) for bilateral knee medial compartment arthritis. BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders 2019; 1: 340
- 127. Fernandez-Fairen, M., Hernández-Vaquero, D., Murcia, A., Torres, A., & Llopis, R. (2013). Trabecular metal in total knee arthroplasty associated with higher knee scores: a randomized controlled trial. Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research®, 471(11), 3543-3553.
- 128. Fisher, D. A., Dalury, D. F., Adams, M. J., Shipps, M. R., Davis, K. Unicompartmental and total knee arthroplasty in the over 70 population. Orthopedics 2010; 9: 668
- 129. Fitz, W., Lichstein, P. M., Trainor, S., Collins, J., Yeung, C. M., McGill, R. Intraarticular Pain Catheter Is Not a Necessary Modality for Postoperative Pain Control After Total Knee Arthroplasty. Arthroplasty Today 2021; 0: 43-46
- 130. Foreman, C. W., Callaghan, J. J., Brown, T. S., Elkins, J. M., Otero, J. E. Total Joint Arthroplasty in the Morbidly Obese: How Body Mass Index >=40 Influences Patient Retention, Treatment Decisions, and Treatment Outcomes. Journal of Arthroplasty 2020; 1: 39-44
- 131. Francois, E. L., Abdel, M. P., Sousa, P. L., Chapman, D. M., Miller, M. J., Dalury, D. F., Berry, D. J. Incidence of Patella Baja Before and After Primary Total Knee Arthroplasty Based on Body Mass Index. Orthopedics 2019; 2: 90-94
- 132. Gautam, P. L., Katyal, S., Yamin, M., Singh, A. Effect of tranexamic acid on blood loss and transfusion requirement in total knee replacement in the Indian population: A case series. Indian Journal of Anaesthesia 2011; 6: 590-593
- 133. George, J., Piuzzi, N. S., Ng, M., Sodhi, N., Khlopas, A. A., Mont, M. A. Association Between Body Mass Index and Thirty-Day Complications After Total Knee Arthroplasty. Journal of Arthroplasty 2018; 3: 865-871
- 134. Georgiadis, A. G., Muh, S. J., Silverton, C. D., Weir, R. M., Laker, M. W. A prospective double-blind placebo controlled trial of topical tranexamic acid in total knee arthroplasty. Journal of Arthroplasty 2013; 8: 78-82
- 135. Giesinger, J. M., Loth, F. L., MacDonald, D. J., Giesinger, K., Patton, J. T., Simpson, Ahrw, Howie, C. R., Hamilton, D. F. Patient-reported outcome metrics following total knee arthroplasty are influenced differently by patients' body mass index. Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy 2018; 11: 3257-3264
- 136. Gifstad, T., Nordskar, J. J., Egeberg, T., Wik, T. S., Winther, S. B. Cementless unicompartmental knee arthroplasty results in higher pain levels compared to the cemented technique: a prospective register study. Knee surgery, sports traumatology, arthroscopy : official journal of the ESSKA 2021; 0:
- 137. Gildone, A., Manfredini, M., Biscione, R., Faccini, R. Patella resurfacing in posterior stabilised total knee arthroplasty: a follow-up study in 56 patients. Acta Orthopaedica Belgica 2005; 4: 445-51
- Gilmour, A., MacLean, A. D., Rowe, P. J., Banger, M. S., Donnelly, I., Jones, B. G., Blyth, M. J. G. Robotic-Arm-Assisted vs Conventional Unicompartmental Knee Arthroplasty. The 2-Year Clinical Outcomes of a Randomized Controlled Trial. Journal of Arthroplasty 2018; 7: S109-S115
- 139. Goel, R., Rondon, A. J., Sydnor, K., Blevins, K., O'Malley, M., Purtill, J. J., Austin, M. S. Tourniquet Use Does Not Affect Functional Outcomes or Pain After Total Knee Arthroplasty: A Prospective, Double-Blinded, Randomized Controlled Trial. Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery -American Volume 2019; 20: 1821-1828
- 140. Goh, G. S., Bin Abd Razak, H. R., Tay, D. K., Chia, S. L., Lo, N. N., Yeo, S. J. Unicompartmental Knee Arthroplasty Achieves Greater Flexion With No Difference in Functional Outcome, Quality of Life, and Satisfaction vs Total Knee Arthroplasty in Patients Younger Than 55 Years. A Propensity Score-Matched Cohort Analysis. Journal of Arthroplasty 2018; 2: 355-361
- 141. Goh, G. S., Zeng, G. J., Tay, D. K., Lo, N. N., Yeo, S. J., Liow, M. H. L. Patients With Parkinson's Disease Have Poorer Function and More Flexion Contractures After Total Knee Arthroplasty. Journal of Arthroplasty 2021; 7: 2325-2330
- 142. Good, L., Peterson, E., Lisander, B. Tranexamic acid decreases external blood loss but not hidden blood loss in total knee replacement. British Journal of Anaesthesia 2003; 5: 596-9
- 143. Good, R. P., Snedden, M. H., Schieber, F. C., Polachek, A. Effects of a preoperative femoral nerve block on pain management and rehabilitation after total knee arthroplasty. 2007; 10: 554-7
- 144. Goplen, C. M., Kang, S. H., Randell, J. R., Jones, C. A., Voaklander, D. C., Churchill, T. A., Beaupre, L. A. Effect of preoperative long-term opioid therapy on patient outcomes after total knee arthroplasty: an analysis of multicentre population-based administrative data. Canadian Journal of Surgery 2021; 2: E135-E143
- 145. Gotz, J., Beckmann, J., Sperrer, I., Baier, C., Dullien, S., Grifka, J., Koeck, F. Retrospective comparative study shows no significant difference in postural stability between cruciate-retaining (CR) and cruciate-substituting (PS) total knee implant systems. International Orthopaedics 2016; 7: 1441-6
- 146. Goyal, T., Azam, M. Q., Syed, A., Paul, S. Simultaneous single-stage versus two-staged bilateral total knee arthroplasty: a prospective comparative study. International Orthopaedics 2020; 7: 1305-1310
- 147. Guerreiro, J. P. F., Badaro, B. S., Balbino, J. R. M., Danieli, M. V., Queiroz, A. O., Cataneo, D. C. Application of Tranexamic Acid in Total Knee Arthroplasty - Prospective Randomized Trial. The open orthopaedics journal 2017; 0: 1049-1057
- 148. Guler, O., Mahirogullari, M., Isyar, M., Piskin, A., Yalcin, S., Mutlu, S., Sahin, B. Comparison of quadriceps muscle volume after unilateral total knee arthroplasty with and without tourniquet use. Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy 2016; 8: 2595-605
- 149. Gurunathan, U., Pym, A., Anderson, C., Marshall, A., Whitehouse, S. L., Crawford, R. W. Higher body mass index is not a risk factor for in-hospital adverse outcomes following total knee arthroplasty. Journal of Orthopaedic Surgery 2018; 3: 2309499018802429
- 150. Guzel, Y., Gurcan, O. T., Golge, U. H., Dulgeroglu, T. C., Metineren, H. Topical tranexamic acid versus autotransfusion after total knee arthroplasty. Journal of Orthopaedic Surgery 2016; 2: 179-82
- 151. Ha, C., Wang, B., Li, W., Sun, K., Wang, D., Li, Q. Resurfacing versus not-resurfacing the patella in one-stage bilateral total knee arthroplasty: a prospective randomized clinical trial. International Orthopaedics 2019; 11: 2519-2527
- 152. Hadley, S., Day, M., Schwarzkopf, R., Smith, A., Slover, J., Zuckerman, J. Is Simultaneous Bilateral Total Knee Arthroplasty (BTKA) as Safe as Staged BTKA?. 2017; 4: E224-E229

- 153. Hakim, J., Volpin, G., Amashah, M., Alkeesh, F., Khamaisy, S., Cohen, M., Ownallah, J. Long-term outcome of total knee arthroplasty in patients with morbid obesity. International Orthopaedics 2020; 1: 95-104
- 154. Hamawandi, S. A., Amin, H. I., Al-Humairi, A. K. Effects of the Use of Tourniquet in Total Knee Arthroplasty on the Clinical and Functional Outcomes with 5 Years of Follow-up: A Randomized Controlled Trial. The Journal of Knee Surgery 2021; 0: 14
- 155. Hamilton, D. A., Ononuju, U., Nowak, C., Chen, C., Darwiche, H. Differences in Immediate Postoperative Outcomes Between Robotic-Assisted TKA and Conventional TKA. Arthroplasty Today 2021; 0: 57-62
- 156. Hamilton, W. G., Parks, N. L., Saxena, A. Patient-specific instrumentation does not shorten surgical time: a prospective, randomized trial. Journal of Arthroplasty 2013; 8: 96-100
- 157. Hampton, M., Mansoor, J., Getty, J., Sutton, P. M. Uncemented tantalum metal components versus cemented tibial components in total knee arthroplasty: 11- to 15-year outcomes of a single-blinded randomized controlled trial. Bone & Joint Journal 2020; 8: 1025-1032
- 158. Han, S. B., Kyung, H. S., Seo, I. W., Shin, Y. S. Better clinical outcomes after unicompartmental knee arthroplasty when comparing with high tibial osteotomy. Medicine 2017; 50: e9268
- 159. Hanly, R. J., Marvi, S. K., Whitehouse, S. L., Crawford, R. W. Morbid Obesity in Total Knee Arthroplasty: Joint-Specific Variance in Outcomes for Operative Time, Length of Stay, and Readmission. Journal of Arthroplasty 2017; 9: 2712-2716
- 160. Hansen, D. C., Kusuma, S. K., Palmer, R. M., Harris, K. B. Robotic guidance does not improve component position or short-term outcome in medial unicompartmental knee arthroplasty. Journal of Arthroplasty 2014; 9: 1784-9
- 161. Hanusch, B. C., O'Connor, D. B., Ions, P., Scott, A., Gregg, P. J. Effects of psychological distress and perceptions of illness on recovery from total knee replacement. Bone & Joint Journal 2014; 2: 210-6
- 162. Harsten, A., Bandholm, T., Kehlet, H., Toksvig-Larsen, S. Tourniquet versus no tourniquet on knee-extension strength early after fast-track total knee arthroplasty; a randomized controlled trial. Knee 2015; 2: 126-30
- 163. Harsten, A., Kehlet, H., Toksvig-Larsen, S. Recovery after total intravenous general anaesthesia or spinal anaesthesia for total knee arthroplasty: A randomized trial. British Journal of Anaesthesia 2013; 3: 391-399
- 164. Hauer, G., Sadoghi, P., Bernhardt, G. A., Wolf, M., Ruckenstuhl, P., Fink, A., Leithner, A., Gruber, G. Greater activity, better range of motion and higher quality of life following unicompartmental knee arthroplasty: a comparative case-control study. Archives of Orthopaedic & Trauma Surgery 2020; 2: 231-237
- 165. Hegde, C., Wasnik, S., Kulkarni, S., Pradhan, S., Shetty, V. Simultaneous bilateral computer assisted total knee arthroplasty: the effect of intravenous or intraarticular tranexamic acid. Journal of Arthroplasty 2013; 10: 1888-91
- 166. Held, M. B., Gazgalis, A., Neuwirth, A. L., Shah, R. P., Cooper, H. J., Geller, J. A. Imageless robotic-assisted total knee arthroplasty leads to similar 24-month WOMAC scores as compared to conventional total knee arthroplasty: a retrospective cohort study. Knee surgery, sports traumatology, arthroscopy : official journal of the ESSKA 2021; 0:
- Hiippala, S. T., Strid, L. J., Wennerstrand, M. I., Arvela, J. V., Niemelä, H. M., Mäntylä, S. K., Kuisma, R. P., Ylinen, J. E. Tranexamic acid radically decreases blood loss and transfusions associated with total knee arthroplasty. Anesthesia & Analgesia 1997; 4: 839-44
- Hinarejos, P., Capurro, B., Santiveri, X., Ortiz, P., Leal, J., Pelfort, X., Torres-Claramunt, R., Sanchez-Soler, J., Monllau, J. C. Local infiltration analgesia adds no clinical benefit in pain control to peripheral nerve blocks after total knee arthroplasty. Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy 2016; 10: 3299-3305
- 169. Hino, K., Oonishi, Y., Kutsuna, T., Watamori, K., Iseki, Y., Kiyomatsu, H., Watanabe, S., Miura, H. Preoperative varus-valgus kinematic pattern throughout flexion persists more strongly

after cruciate-retaining than after posterior-stabilized total knee arthroplasty. Knee 2016; 4: 637-41

- 170. Hirschmann, M. T., Testa, E., Amsler, F., Friederich, N. F. The unhappy total knee arthroplasty (TKA) patient: higher WOMAC and lower KSS in depressed patients prior and after TKA. Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy 2013; 10: 2405-11
- 171. Horst, P. K., Barrett, A. A., Huddleston, J. I., 3rd, Maloney, W. J., Goodman, S. B., Amanatullah, D. F. Total Knee Arthroplasty Has A Positive Effect on Patients With Low Mental Health Scores. Journal of Arthroplasty 2020; 1: 112-115
- 172. Hsu, R. W., Hsu, W. H., Shen, W. J., Hsu, W. B., Chang, S. H. Comparison of computerassisted navigation and conventional instrumentation for bilateral total knee arthroplasty: The outcomes at mid-term follow-up. Medicine 2019; 47: e18083
- Huang, Y. H., Lin, C., Yang, J. H., Lin, L. C., Mou, C. Y., Chiang, K. T., Lee, M. G., Chang, H. F., Chang, H. L., Su, W., Yeh, S. J., Chang, H., Wang, C. C., Su, S. L. No difference in the functional improvements between unilateral and bilateral total knee replacements. BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders 2018; 1: 87
- 174. Huijbregts, H. J., Khan, R. J., Fick, D. P., Hall, M. J., Punwar, S. A., Sorensen, E., Reid, M. J., Vedove, S. D., Haebich, S. Component alignment and clinical outcome following total knee arthroplasty: a randomised controlled trial comparing an intramedullary alignment system with patient-specific instrumentation. Bone & Joint Journal 2016; 8: 1043-9
- 175. Ikawa, T., Takemura, S., Kim, M., Takaoka, K., Minoda, Y., Kadoya, Y. Usefulness of an accelerometer-based portable navigation system in total knee arthroplasty. Bone & Joint Journal 2017; 8: 1047-1052
- 176. Ikeuchi, M., Kamimoto, Y., Izumi, M., Sugimura, N., Takemura, M., Fukunaga, K., Yokoyama, M., Tani, T. Local infusion analgesia using intra-articular double lumen catheter after total knee arthroplasty: a double blinded randomized control study. Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy 2013; 12: 2680-4
- 177. Ingall, E., Klemt, C., Melnic, C. M., Cohen-Levy, W. B., Tirumala, V., Kwon, Y. M. Impact of Preoperative Opioid Use on Patient-Reported Outcomes after Revision Total Knee Arthroplasty: A Propensity Matched Analysis. The Journal of Knee Surgery 2021; 0: 15
- 178. Iosifidis, M., Iliopoulos, E., Neofytou, D., Sakorafas, N., Andreou, D., Alvanos, D., Kyriakidis, A. The Rotaglide mobile-bearing total knee arthroplasty: no difference between cemented and hybrid implantation. Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy 2014; 8: 1843-8
- 179. Iriuchishima, T., Ryu, K. A Comparison of Rollback Ratio between Bicruciate Substituting Total Knee Arthroplasty and Oxford Unicompartmental Knee Arthroplasty. The Journal of Knee Surgery 2018; 6: 568-572
- 180. Irmola, T., Ponkilainen, V., Makela, K. T., Robertsson, O., W. Dahl A, Furnes, O., Fenstad, A. M., Pedersen, A. B., Schroder, H. M., Eskelinen, A., Niemelainen, M. J. Association between fixation type and revision risk in total knee arthroplasty patients aged 65 years and older: a cohort study of 265,877 patients from the Nordic Arthroplasty Register Association 2000-2016. Acta Orthopaedica 2020; 0: 1-6
- 181. Ishida, K., Tsumura, N., Kitagawa, A., Hamamura, S., Fukuda, K., Dogaki, Y., Kubo, S., Matsumoto, T., Matsushita, T., Chin, T., Iguchi, T., Kurosaka, M., Kuroda, R. Intra-articular injection of tranexamic acid reduces not only blood loss but also knee joint swelling after total knee arthroplasty. International Orthopaedics 2011; 11: 1639-45
- 182. Ishii, Y., Noguchi, H., Takeda, M., Sato, J., Toyabe, S. Prediction of range of motion 2 years after mobile-bearing total knee arthroplasty: PCL-retaining versus PCL-sacrificing. Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy 2011; 12: 2002-8
- 183. Issa, K., Pierce, T. P., Harwin, S. F., Scillia, A. J., Festa, A., Mont, M. A. No Decrease in Knee Survivorship or Outcomes Scores for Patients With HIV Infection Who Undergo TKA. Clinical Orthopaedics & Related Research 2017; 2: 465-471

- 184. Iwai, T., Tsuji, S., Tomita, T., Sugamoto, K., Hideki, Y., Hamada, M. Repeat-dose intravenous tranexamic acid further decreases blood loss in total knee arthroplasty. International Orthopaedics 2013; 3: 441-5
- 185. Jang, B., Kao, M., Bohm, M. T., Harris, I. A., Chen, D. B., MacDessi, S. J. Intra-articular injection of tranexamic acid to reduce blood loss after total knee arthroplasty. Journal of Orthopaedic Surgery 2014; 2: 146-9
- 186. Jansen, K., Beckert, M., Deckard, E. R., Ziemba-Davis, M., Meneghini, R. M. Satisfaction and Functional Outcomes in Unicompartmental Compared with Total Knee Arthroplasty: Radiographically Matched Cohort Analysis. JB & JS Open Access 2020; 3: Jul-Sep
- 187. Järvenpää, J., Kettunen, J., Soininvaara, T., Miettinen, H., Kröger, H. Obesity has a negative impact on clinical outcome after total knee arthroplasty. Scandinavian Journal of Surgery: SJS 2012; 3: 198-203
- 188. Jawhar, A., Skeirek, D., Stetzelberger, V., Kollowa, K., Obertacke, U. No effect of tourniquet in primary total knee arthroplasty on muscle strength, functional outcome, patient satisfaction and health status: a randomized clinical trial. Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy 2020; 4: 1045-1054
- 189. Jenny, J. Y., Boeri, C., Lafare, S. No drainage does not increase complication risk after total knee prosthesis implantation: a prospective, comparative, randomized study. Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy 2001; 5: 299-301
- 190. Jensen, C. B., Petersen, P. B., Jorgensen, C. C., Kehlet, H., Troelsen, A., Gromov, K., Lundbeck Foundation Centre for Fast-track, Hip, Knee Replacement Collaborative, Group Length of Stay and 90-Day Readmission/Complication Rates in Unicompartmental Versus Total Knee Arthroplasty: A Propensity-Score-Matched Study of 10,494 Procedures Performed in a Fast-Track Setup. Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery - American Volume 2021; 12: 1063-1071
- 191. Jeon, S. W., Kim, K. I., Song, S. J. Robot-Assisted Total Knee Arthroplasty Does Not Improve Long-Term Clinical and Radiologic Outcomes. Journal of Arthroplasty 2019; 8: 1656-1661
- 192. Jeon, Y. S., Ahn, C. H., Kim, M. K. Comparison of HTO with articular cartilage surgery and UKA in unicompartmental OA. Journal of Orthopaedic Surgery 2017; 1: 2309499016684092
- Jeremic, D. V., Massouh, W. M., Sivaloganathan, S., Rosali, A. R., Haaker, R. G., Riviere, C. Short-term follow-up of kinematically vs. mechanically aligned total knee arthroplasty with medial pivot components: A case-control study. Orthopaedics & traumatology, surgery & research 2020; 5: 921-927
- 194. Jin, Q. H., Lee, W. G., Song, E. K., Jin, C., Seon, J. K. Comparison of Long-Term Survival Analysis Between Open-Wedge High Tibial Osteotomy and Unicompartmental Knee Arthroplasty. Journal of Arthroplasty 2020; 0: 11
- 195. Kadic, L., Boonstra, M. C., D. E. Waal Malefijt MC, Lako, S. J., V. A. N. Egmond J, Driessen, J. J. Continuous femoral nerve block after total knee arthroplasty?. Acta Anaesthesiologica Scandinavica 2009; 7: 914-20
- 196. Kahlenberg, C. A., Krell, E. C., Sculco, T. P., Katz, J. N., Nguyen, J. T., Figgie, M. P., Sculco, P. K. Differences in time to return to work among patients undergoing simultaneous versus staged bilateral total knee arthroplasty. Bone & Joint Journal 2021; 6: 108-112
- 197. Kalairajah, Y., Simpson, D., Cossey, A. J., Verrall, G. M., Spriggins, A. J. Blood loss after total knee replacement: effects of computer-assisted surgery. Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery -British Volume 2005; 11: 1480-2
- 198. Kamenaga, T., Nakano, N., Takayama, K., Tsubosaka, M., Takashima, Y., Kikuchi, K., Fujita, M., Kuroda, Y., Hashimoto, S., Hayashi, S., Niikura, T., Kuroda, R., Matsumoto, T. Comparison of plantar pressure distribution during walking and lower limb alignment between modified kinematically and mechanically aligned total knee arthroplasty. Journal of Biomechanics 2021; 0: 110379
- 199. Kandil, A., Werner, B. C., Gwathmey, W. F., Browne, J. A. Obesity, morbid obesity and their related medical comorbidities are associated with increased complications and revision rates after unicompartmental knee arthroplasty. Journal of Arthroplasty 2015; 3: 456-60

- 200. Karaaslan, F., Karaoglu, S., Mermerkaya, M. U., Baktir, A. Reducing blood loss in simultaneous bilateral total knee arthroplasty: combined intravenous-intra-articular tranexamic acid administration. A prospective randomized controlled trial. Knee 2015; 2: 131-5
- 201. Karachalios, T., Komnos, G., Amprazis, V., Antoniou, I., Athanaselis, S. A 9-Year Outcome Study Comparing Cancellous Titanium-Coated Cementless to Cemented Tibial Components of a Single Knee Arthroplasty Design. Journal of Arthroplasty 2018; 12: 3672-3677
- Kaseb, M. H., Mortazavi, J., Ayati Firoozabadi, M., Toofan, H. Comparison between Patellar Resurfacing and Retention in Total Knee Arthroplasty Regarding the Postoperative Satisfaction of Patients and Patellar Crepitus. Archives of Bone & Joint Surgery 2019; 5: 441-444
- 203. Kaseb, M. H., Tahmasebi, M. N., Mortazavi, S. J., Sobhan, M. R., Nabian, M. H. Comparison of Clinical Results between Patellar Resurfacing and Non-resurfacing in Total Knee Arthroplasty: A Short Term Evaluation. Archives of Bone & Joint Surgery 2018; 2: 124-129
- 204. Katakam, A., Bragdon, C. R., Chen, A. F., Melnic, C. M., Bedair, H. S. Elevated Body Mass Index Is a Risk Factor for Failure to Achieve the Knee Disability and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score-Physical Function Short Form Minimal Clinically Important Difference Following Total Knee Arthroplasty. Journal of Arthroplasty 2021; 5: 1626-1632
- 205. Kawakami, Y., Matsumoto, T., Takayama, K., Ishida, K., Nakano, N., Matsushita, T., Kuroda, Y., Patel, K., Kuroda, R., Kurosaka, M. Intermediate-Term Comparison of Posterior Cruciate-Retaining Versus Posterior-Stabilized Total Knee Arthroplasty Using the New Knee Scoring System. Orthopedics 2015; 12: e1127-32
- 206. Kayani, B., Konan, S., Tahmassebi, J., Pietrzak, J. R. T., Haddad, F. S. Robotic-arm assisted total knee arthroplasty is associated with improved early functional recovery and reduced time to hospital discharge compared with conventional jig-based total knee arthroplasty: a prospective cohort study. Bone & Joint Journal 2018; 7: 930-937
- 207. Kayani, B., Konan, S., Tahmassebi, J., Rowan, F. E., Haddad, F. S. An assessment of early functional rehabilitation and hospital discharge in conventional versus robotic-arm assisted unicompartmental knee arthroplasty: a prospective cohort study. Bone & Joint Journal 2019; 1: 24-33
- 208. Kendrick, B. J., Kaptein, B. L., Valstar, E. R., Gill, H. S., Jackson, W. F., Dodd, C. A., Price, A. J., Murray, D. W. Cemented versus cementless Oxford unicompartmental knee arthroplasty using radiostereometric analysis: a randomised controlled trial. Bone & Joint Journal 2015; 2: 185-91
- Kerens, B., Schotanus, M. G. M., Boonen, B., Boog, P., Emans, P. J., Lacroix, H., Kort, N.
  P. Cementless versus cemented Oxford unicompartmental knee arthroplasty: early results of a non-designer user group. Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy 2017; 3: 703-709
- 210. Keyhani, S., Esmailiejah, A. A., Abbasian, M. R., Safdari, F. Which Route of Tranexamic Acid Administration is More Effective to Reduce Blood Loss Following Total Knee Arthroplasty?. Arch Bone Jt Surg 2016; 1: 65-9
- 211. Khatod, M., Inacio, M. C., Bini, S. Short-term outcomes of unresurfaced patellas in total knee arthroplasty. The Journal of Knee Surgery 2013; 2: 105-8
- 212. Khaw, F. M., Kirk, L. M., Morris, R. W., Gregg, P. J. A randomised, controlled trial of cemented versus cementless press-fit condylar total knee replacement. Ten-year survival analysis. Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery British Volume 2002; 5: 658-66
- 213. Kheir, M. M., Ziemba-Davis, M., Dilley, J. E., Hood, M. J., Meneghini, R. M. Tourniquetless Total Knee Arthroplasty With Modern Perioperative Protocols Decreases Pain and Opioid Consumption in Women. Journal of Arthroplasty 2018; 11: 3455-3459
- 214. Kim, G. W., Jin, Q. H., Lim, J. H., Song, E. K., Seon, J. K. No difference of survival between cruciate retaining and substitution designs in high flexion total knee arthroplasty. Scientific Reports 2021; 1: 6537

- 215. Kim, J. H., Cho, M. R., Kim, S. O., Kim, J. E., Lee, D. K., Roh, W. S. A comparison of femoral/sciatic nerve block with lateral femoral cutaneous nerve block and combined spinal epidural anesthesia for total knee replacement arthroplasty. 2012; 5: 448-53
- 216. Kim, M. S., Koh, I. J., Choi, Y. J., Lee, J. Y., In, Y. Differences in Patient-Reported Outcomes Between Unicompartmental and Total Knee Arthroplasties: A Propensity Score-Matched Analysis. Journal of Arthroplasty 2017; 5: 1453-1459
- 217. Kim, M. S., Koh, I. J., Sohn, S., Jeong, J. H., In, Y. Unicompartmental knee arthroplasty is superior to high tibial osteotomy in post-operative recovery and participation in recreational and sports activities. International Orthopaedics 2019; 11: 2493-2501
- Kim, S. C., Jin, Y., Lee, Y. C., Lii, J., Franklin, P. D., Solomon, D. H., Franklin, J. M., Katz, J. N., Desai, R. J. Association of Preoperative Opioid Use With Mortality and Short-term Safety Outcomes After Total Knee Replacement. JAMA Network Open 2019; 7: e198061
- 219. Kim, T. W., Lee, J. I., Choi, H. G., Yoo, H. J., Kim, K. T., Lee, Y. S. Comparison of the Radiologic, Morphometric, and Clinical Outcomes between Kinematically and Mechanically Aligned Total Knee Arthroplasty: A Propensity Matching Study. The Journal of Knee Surgery 2021; 0: 03
- 220. Kim, T. W., Lee, S. M., Seong, S. C., Lee, S., Jang, J., Lee, M. C. Different intraoperative kinematics with comparable clinical outcomes of ultracongruent and posterior stabilized mobile-bearing total knee arthroplasty. Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy 2016; 9: 3036-3043
- 221. Kim, Y. H., Kim, J. S., Hong, K. S., Kim, Y. J., Kim, J. H. Prevalence of fat embolism after total knee arthroplasty performed with or without computer navigation. Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery American Volume 2008; 1: 123-8
- 222. Kim, Y. H., Kim, J. S., Yoon, S. H. Alignment and orientation of the components in total knee replacement with and without navigation support: a prospective, randomised study. Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery British Volume 2007; 4: 471-6
- 223. Kim, Y. H., Park, J. W., Jang, Y. S. The 22 to 25-Year Survival of Cemented and Cementless Total Knee Arthroplasty in Young Patients. Journal of Arthroplasty 2020; 0: 06
- 224. Kim, Y. H., Park, J. W., Kim, J. S. 2017 Chitranjan S. Ranawat Award: Does Computer Navigation in Knee Arthroplasty Improve Functional Outcomes in Young Patients? A Randomized Study. Clinical Orthopaedics & Related Research 2018; 1: 6-15
- 225. Kim, Y. H., Park, J. W., Kim, J. S. The Clinical Outcome of Computer-Navigated Compared with Conventional Knee Arthroplasty in the Same Patients: A Prospective, Randomized, Double-Blind, Long-Term Study. Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery - American Volume 2017; 12: 989-996
- 226. Kim, Y. H., Park, J. W., Lim, H. M., Park, E. S. Cementless and cemented total knee arthroplasty in patients younger than fifty five years. Which is better?. International Orthopaedics 2014; 2: 297-303
- 227. Kim, Y. H., Yoon, S. H., Park, J. W. Does Robotic-assisted TKA Result in Better Outcome Scores or Long-Term Survivorship Than Conventional TKA? A Randomized, Controlled Trial. Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research 2020; 2: 266-275
- 228. Kim, Y. T., Kang, M. W., Lee, J. K., Lee, Y. M., Kim, J. I. Combined use of topical intraarticular tranexamic acid and rivaroxaban in total knee arthroplasty safely reduces blood loss, transfusion rates, and wound complications without increasing the risk of thrombosis. BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders 2018; 1:
- 229. King, C. A., Jordan, M., Bradley, A. T., Wlodarski, C., Tauchen, A., Puri, L. Transitioning a Practice to Robotic Total Knee Arthroplasty Is Correlated with Favorable Short-Term Clinical Outcomes-A Single Surgeon Experience. The Journal of Knee Surgery 2020; 0: 16
- Kinoshita, T., Hino, K., Kutsuna, T., Watamori, K., Miura, H. Rotational Soft-Tissue Balance Is Highly Correlated with Rotational Kinematics in Total Knee Arthroplasty. The Journal of Knee Surgery 2021; 0: 15

- 231. Kizilkurt, T., Bayram, S., Ekinci, M., Ayik, Ö, Ergin, Ö N., Öztürk, I Comparing the effect of tourniquet and tranexamic acid on the tibial cement mantle thickness in total knee arthroplasty. European Journal of Orthopaedic Surgery and Traumatology 2021; 0:
- 232. Knifsund, J., Niinimaki, T., Nurmi, H., Toom, A., Keemu, H., Laaksonen, I., Seppanen, M., Liukas, A., Pamilo, K., Vahlberg, T., Aarimaa, V., Makela, K. T. Functional results of total-knee arthroplasty versus medial unicompartmental arthroplasty: two-year results of a randomised, assessor-blinded multicentre trial. BMJ Open 2021; 6: e046731
- 233. Koh, D. T. S., Woo, Y. L., Yew, A. K. S., Yeo, S. J. Kinematic aligned femoral rotation leads to greater patella tilt but similar clinical outcomes when compared to traditional femoral component rotation in total knee arthroplasty. A propensity score matched study. Knee surgery, sports traumatology, arthroscopy : official journal of the ESSKA 2021; 4: 1059-1066
- 234. Koh, I. J., Kang, Y. G., Chang, C. B., Do, S. H., Seong, S. C., Kim, T. K. Does periarticular injection have additional pain relieving effects during contemporary multimodal pain control protocols for TKA?: A randomised, controlled study. Knee 2012; 4: 253-9
- 235. Koh, I. J., Kim, M. S., Sohn, S., Song, K. Y., Choi, N. Y., In, Y. Patients undergoing total knee arthroplasty using a contemporary patella-friendly implant are unaware of any differences due to patellar resurfacing. Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy 2019; 4: 1156-1164
- 236. Kooner, S., Kubik, J., Mahdavi, S., Piroozfar, S. G., Khong, H., Mohan, K., Batuyong, E., Sharma, R. Do psychiatric disorders affect patient reported outcomes and clinical outcomes post total hip and knee arthroplasty?. SAGE Open Medicine 2021; 0: 20503121211012254
- 237. Kosse, N. M., Heesterbeek, P. J. C., Schimmel, J. J. P., van Hellemondt, G. G., Wymenga, A. B., Defoort, K. C. Stability and alignment do not improve by using patient-specific instrumentation in total knee arthroplasty: a randomized controlled trial. Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy 2018; 6: 1792-1799
- 238. Kotela, A., Lorkowski, J., Kucharzewski, M., Wilk-Franczuk, M., Sliwinski, Z., Franczuk, B., Legosz, P., Kotela, I. Patient-Specific CT-Based Instrumentation versus Conventional Instrumentation in Total Knee Arthroplasty: A Prospective Randomized Controlled Study on Clinical Outcomes and In-Hospital Data. BioMed Research International 2015; 0: 165908
- 239. Kulkarni, M. M., Dadheech, A. N., Wakankar, H. M., Ganjewar, N. V., Hedgire, S. S., Pandit, H. G. Randomized Prospective Comparative Study of Adductor Canal Block vs Periarticular Infiltration on Early Functional Outcome After Unilateral Total Knee Arthroplasty. Journal of Arthroplasty 2019; 10: 2360-2364
- 240. Kulshrestha, V., Datta, B., Kumar, S., Mittal, G. Outcome of Unicondylar Knee Arthroplasty vs Total Knee Arthroplasty for Early Medial Compartment Arthritis: A Randomized Study. Journal of Arthroplasty 2017; 5: 1460-1469
- 241. Kuo, F. C., Lin, P. C., Lu, Y. D., Lee, M. S., Wang, J. W. Chronic Kidney Disease Is an Independent Risk Factor for Transfusion, Cardiovascular Complication, and Thirty-Day Readmission in Minimally Invasive Total Knee Arthroplasty. Journal of Arthroplasty 2017; 5: 1630-1634
- 242. Kuo, L. T., Lin, S. J., Chen, C. L., Yu, P. A., Hsu, W. H., Chen, T. H. Chronic kidney disease is associated with a risk of higher mortality following total knee arthroplasty in diabetic patients: a nationwide population-based study. Oncotarget 2017; 59: 100288-100295
- 243. Lacko, M., Cellar, R., Schreierova, D., Vasko, G. Comparison of intravenous and intraarticular tranexamic acid in reducing blood loss in primary total knee replacement. Eklem hastaliklari ve cerrahisi = Joint Diseases & Related Surgery 2017; 2: 64-71
- 244. Laende, E. K., Astephen Wilson, J. L., Mills Flemming, J., Valstar, E. R., Richardson, C. G., Dunbar, M. J. Equivalent 2-year stabilization of uncemented tibial component migration despite higher early migration compared with cemented fixation: an RSA study on 360 total knee arthroplasties. Acta Orthopaedica 2019; 2: 172-178
- 245. Laende, E. K., Richardson, C. G., Dunbar, M. J. A randomized controlled trial of tibial component migration with kinematic alignment using patient-specific instrumentation versus

View background materials via the <u>SMOAK2 CPG eAppendix 1</u> View data summaries via the <u>SMOAK2 CPG eAppendix 2</u> mechanical alignment using computer-assisted surgery in total knee arthroplasty. Bone & Joint Journal 2019; 8: 929-940

- 246. Laende, E. K., Richardson, C. G., Dunbar, M. J. Predictive value of short-term migration in determining long-term stable fixation in cemented and cementless total knee arthroplasties. Bone & Joint Journal 2019; 7: 55-60
- 247. Laoruengthana, A., Rattanaprichavej, P., Rasamimongkol, S., Galassi, M., Weerakul, S., Pongpirul, K. Intra-Articular Tranexamic Acid Mitigates Blood Loss and Morphine Use After Total Knee Arthroplasty. A Randomized Controlled Trial. Journal of Arthroplasty 2019; 5: 877-881
- 248. Lau, H. P., Yip, K. M., Jiang, C. C. Regional nerve block for total knee arthroplasty. Journal of the Formosan Medical Association 1998; 6: 428-30
- Ledin, H., Aspenberg, P., Good, L. Tourniquet use in total knee replacement does not improve fixation, but appears to reduce final range of motion. Acta Orthopaedica 2012; 5: 499-503
- 250. Lee, S. H., Cho, K. Y., Khurana, S., Kim, K. I. Less blood loss under concomitant administration of tranexamic acid and indirect factor Xa inhibitor following total knee arthroplasty: a prospective randomized controlled trial. Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy 2013; 11: 2611-7
- 251. Lee, W. C., Kwan, Y. H., Yeo, S. J. Severe Bilateral Fixed Flexion Deformity-Simultaneous or Staged Total Knee Arthroplasty?. Journal of Arthroplasty 2016; 1: 128-31
- 252. Lei, Y., Xie, J., Huang, Q., Huang, W., Pei, F. Additional benefits of multiple-dose tranexamic acid to anti-fibrinolysis and anti-inflammation in total knee arthroplasty: a randomized controlled trial. Archives of Orthopaedic and Trauma Surgery 2020; 8: 1087-1095
- 253. Leiss, F., Gotz, J. S., Maderbacher, G., Zeman, F., Meissner, W., Grifka, J., Benditz, A., Greimel, F. Pain management of unicompartmental (UKA) vs. total knee arthroplasty (TKA) based on a matched pair analysis of 4144 cases. Scientific Reports 2020; 1: 17660
- 254. Leung, P., Dickerson, D. M., Denduluri, S. K., Mohammed, M. K., Lu, M., Anitescu, M., Luu, H. H. Postoperative continuous adductor canal block for total knee arthroplasty improves pain and functional recovery: A randomized controlled clinical trial. Journal of Clinical Anesthesia 2018; 0: 46-52
- 255. Li, C., Nijat, A., Askar, M. No clear advantage to use of wound drains after unilateral total knee arthroplasty: a prospective randomized, controlled trial. Journal of Arthroplasty 2011; 4: 519-22
- 256. Li, H., Gu, S., Song, K., Liu, Y., Wang, J., Wang, J., Yin, Q. The influence of obesity on clinical outcomes following primary total knee arthroplasty: A prospective cohort study. Knee 2020; 3: 1057-1063
- 257. Li, Q., Xiao, J., Zhu, H., Zheng, S., Shi, Z. Effects of tranexamic acid administration on recovery after unilateral total knee arthroplasty. Farmacia 2018; 4: 718-724
- 258. Li, Z. J., Zhao, M. W., Zeng, L. Additional Dose of Intravenous Tranexamic Acid after Primary Total Knee Arthroplasty Further Reduces Hidden Blood Loss. Chinese Medical Journal 2018; 6: 638-642
- 259. Liddle, A. D., Pandit, H., Judge, A., Murray, D. W. Patient-reported outcomes after total and unicompartmental knee arthroplasty: a study of 14,076 matched patients from the National Joint Registry for England and Wales. Bone & Joint Journal 2015; 6: 793-801
- Liebensteiner, M., Koglberger, P., Ruzicka, A., Giesinger, J. M., Oberaigner, W., Krismer, M. Unicondylar vs. total knee arthroplasty in medial osteoarthritis: a retrospective analysis of registry data and functional outcome. Archives of Orthopaedic & Trauma Surgery 2020; 4: 545-549
- Lin, P. C., Hsu, C. H., Chen, W. S., Wang, J. W. Does tranexamic acid save blood in minimally invasive total knee arthroplasty?. Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research 2011; 7: 1995-2002
- 262. Lin, S. Y., Chen, C. H., Fu, Y. C., Huang, P. J., Chang, J. K., Huang, H. T. The efficacy of combined use of intraarticular and intravenous tranexamic acid on reducing blood loss and transfusion rate in total knee arthroplasty. Journal of Arthroplasty 2015; 5: 776-780

- 263. Lindberg-Larsen, M., Jorgensen, C. C., Husted, H., Kehlet, H. Early morbidity after simultaneous and staged bilateral total knee arthroplasty. Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy 2015; 3: 831-7
- 264. Lindberg-Larsen, M., Pitter, F. T., Husted, H., Kehlet, H., Jorgensen, C. C., Lundbeck Foundation Centre for Fast-Track, Hip, Knee Replacement Collaborative, Group Simultaneous vs staged bilateral total knee arthroplasty: a propensity-matched case-control study from nine fasttrack centres. Archives of Orthopaedic & Trauma Surgery 2019; 5: 709-716
- 265. Liow, M. H. L., Goh, G. S., Wong, M. K., Chin, P. L., Tay, D. K., Yeo, S. J. Roboticassisted total knee arthroplasty may lead to improvement in quality-of-life measures: a 2-year follow-up of a prospective randomized trial. Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy 2017; 9: 2942-2951
- 266. Liow, M. H., Xia, Z., Wong, M. K., Tay, K. J., Yeo, S. J., Chin, P. L. Robot-assisted total knee arthroplasty accurately restores the joint line and mechanical axis. A prospective randomised study. Journal of Arthroplasty 2014; 12: 2373-7
- 267. Liu, D., Graham, D., Gillies, K., Gillies, R. M. Effects of tourniquet use on quadriceps function and pain in total knee arthroplasty. 2014; 4: 207-13
- 268. Liu, J., Ma, C., Elkassabany, N., Fleisher, L. A., Neuman, M. D. Neuraxial anesthesia decreases postoperative systemic infection risk compared with general anesthesia in knee arthroplasty. Anesthesia & Analgesia 2013; 4: 1010-6
- 269. Liu, J., Yuan, W., Wang, X., Royse, C. F., Gong, M., Zhao, Y., Zhang, H. Peripheral nerve blocks versus general anesthesia for total knee replacement in elderly patients on the postoperative quality of recovery. Clinical Interventions In Aging 2014; 0: 341-50
- 270. Liu, K. L., Chen, I. H., Wen, S. H. Low dose tranexamic acid reduces blood transfusion rate after total knee arthroplasty: A population-based study in Taiwan. Journal of the Formosan Medical Association 2017; 1: 24-31
- 271. Liu, P. L., Li, D. Q., Zhang, Y. K., Lu, Q. S., Ma, L., Bao, X. Z., Zhang, M. Effects of Unilateral Tourniquet Used in Patients Undergoing Simultaneous Bilateral Total Knee Arthroplasty. Orthopaedic Audio-Synopsis Continuing Medical Education [Sound Recording] 2017; 2: 180-185
- 272. Liu, W., Yang, C., Huang, X., Liu, R. Tranexamic Acid Reduces Occult Blood Loss, Blood Transfusion, and Improves Recovery of Knee Function after Total Knee Arthroplasty: A Comparative Study. The Journal of Knee Surgery 2018; 3: 239-246
- 273. Liu, Z. T., Fu, P. L., Wu, H. S., Zhu, Y. Patellar reshaping versus resurfacing in total knee arthroplasty - Results of a randomized prospective trial at a minimum of 7 years' follow-up. Knee 2012; 3: 198-202
- 274. Lizaur-Utrilla, A., Gonzalez-Parreno, S., Miralles-Munoz, F. A., Lopez-Prats, F. A. Tenyear mortality risk predictors after primary total knee arthroplasty for osteoarthritis. Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy 2015; 6: 1848-55
- 275. Lizaur-Utrilla, A., Miralles-Muñoz, F. A., Lopez-Prats, F. A. Similar survival between screw cementless and cemented tibial components in young patients with osteoarthritis. Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy 2014; 7: 1585-90
- 276. Lizaur-Utrilla, A., Miralles-Munoz, F. A., Ruiz-Lozano, M., Gonzalez-Parreno, S., Alonso-Montero, C., Lopez-Prats, F. A. Better clinical outcomes and overall higher survival with hybrid versus cemented primary total knee arthroplasty: a minimum 15 years follow-up. Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy 2020; 0: 28
- 277. Lum, Z. C., Lombardi, A. V., Hurst, J. M., Morris, M. J., Adams, J. B., Berend, K. R. Early outcomes of twin-peg mobile-bearing unicompartmental knee arthroplasty compared with primary total knee arthroplasty. Bone & Joint Journal 2016; 10: 28-33
- 278. Lützner, J., Günther, K. P., Kirschner, S. Functional outcome after computer-assisted versus conventional total knee arthroplasty: a randomized controlled study. Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy 2010; 10: 1339-44

- 279. Lützner, J., Krummenauer, F., Wolf, C., Günther, K. P., Kirschner, S. Computer-assisted and conventional total knee replacement: a comparative, prospective, randomised study with radiological and CT evaluation. Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery - British Volume 2008; 8: 1039-44
- 280. Lyu, S. R., Hsu, C. C., Hung, J. P. Medial abrasion syndrome: a neglected cause of persistent pain after knee arthroplasty. Journal of Orthopaedic Surgery 2021; 1: 61
- 281. Ma, T., Tu, Y. H., Xue, H. M., Wen, T., Cai, M. W. Clinical Outcomes and Risks of Singlestage Bilateral Unicompartmental Knee Arthroplasty via Oxford Phase III. Chinese Medical Journal 2015; 21: 2861-5
- 282. MacDessi, S. J., Griffiths-Jones, W., Chen, D. B., Griffiths-Jones, S., Wood, J. A., Diwan, A. D., Harris, I. A. Restoring the constitutional alignment with a restrictive kinematic protocol improves quantitative soft-tissue balance in total knee arthroplasty: a randomized controlled trial. Bone & Joint Journal 2020; 1: 117-124
- 283. Manalo, J. P. M., Castillo, T., Hennessy, D., Peng, Y., Schurko, B., Kwon, Y. M. Preoperative opioid medication use negatively affect health related quality of life after total knee arthroplasty. Knee 2018; 5: 946-951
- 284. Maniar, R. N., Maniar, P. R., Singhi, T., Gangaraju, B. K. WHO Class of Obesity Influences Functional Recovery Post-TKA. Clinics in Orthopedic Surgery 2018; 1: 26-32
- 285. Maniar, R. N., Pradhan, P., Bhatnagar, N., Maniar, A., Bidwai, R., Bindal, P. Role of Suction Drain after Knee Arthroplasty in the Tranexamic Acid Era: A Randomized Controlled Study. Clinics in Orthopedic Surgery 2019; 1: 73-81
- 286. Manoli, A., 3rd, Markel, J. F., Pizzimenti, N. M., Markel, D. C. Early Results of a Modern Uncemented Total Knee Arthroplasty System. Orthopedics 2019; 6: 355-360
- 287. Manzotti, A., Confalonieri, N., Pullen, C. Unicompartmental versus computer-assisted total knee replacement for medial compartment knee arthritis: a matched paired study. International Orthopaedics 2007; 3: 315-9
- 288. Mao, Z., Yue, B., Wang, Y., Yan, M., Dai, K. A comparative, retrospective study of periarticular and intra-articular injection of tranexamic acid for the management of postoperative blood loss after total knee arthroplasty. BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders 2016; 1: 438
- 289. Marchand, K. B., Moody, R., Scholl, L. Y., Bhowmik-Stoker, M., Taylor, K. B., Mont, M. A., Marchand, R. C. Results of Robotic-Assisted Versus Manual Total Knee Arthroplasty at 2-Year Follow-up. The Journal of Knee Surgery 2021; 0: 29
- Marchand, R. C., Sodhi, N., Anis, H. K., Ehiorobo, J., Newman, J. M., Taylor, K., Condrey, C., Hepinstall, M. S., Mont, M. A. One-Year Patient Outcomes for Robotic-Arm-Assisted versus Manual Total Knee Arthroplasty. The Journal of Knee Surgery 2019; 11: 1063-1068
- Marchand, R. C., Sodhi, N., Khlopas, A., Sultan, A. A., Harwin, S. F., Malkani, A. L., Mont, M. A. Patient Satisfaction Outcomes after Robotic Arm-Assisted Total Knee Arthroplasty: A Short-Term Evaluation. Journal of Knee Surgery 2017; 9: 849-853
- 292. Martinez-Cano, J. P., Zamudio-Castilla, L., Chica, J., Martinez-Arboleda, J. J., Sanchez-Vergel, A., Martinez-Rondanelli, A. Body mass index and knee arthroplasty. Journal of Clinical Orthopaedics and Trauma 2020; 0: S711-S716
- 293. Matsumoto, T., Takayama, K., Ishida, K., Hayashi, S., Hashimoto, S., Kuroda, R. Radiological and clinical comparison of kinematically versus mechanically aligned total knee arthroplasty. Bone & Joint Journal 2017; 5: 640-646
- 294. Matsumoto, T., Takayama, K., Ishida, K., Kuroda, Y., Tsubosaka, M., Muratsu, H., Hayashi, S., Hashimoto, S., Matsushita, T., Niikura, T., Kuroda, R. Intraoperative Soft Tissue Balance/Kinematics and Clinical Evaluation of Modified Kinematically versus Mechanically Aligned Total Knee Arthroplasty. The Journal of Knee Surgery 2020; 8: 777-784
- 295. Maus, U., Marques, C. J., Scheunemann, D., Lampe, F., Lazovic, D., Hommel, H., Vogel, D., Haunschild, M., Pfitzner, T. No improvement in reducing outliers in coronal axis alignment with patient-specific instrumentation. Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy 2018; 9: 2788-2796

- 296. Mayman, D., Bourne, R. B., Rorabeck, C. H., Vaz, M., Kramer, J. Resurfacing versus not resurfacing the patella in total knee arthroplasty: 8- to 10-year results. Journal of Arthroplasty 2003; 5: 541-5
- 297. McConnell, J. S., Shewale, S., Munro, N. A., Shah, K., Deakin, A. H., Kinninmonth, A. W. Reducing blood loss in primary knee arthroplasty: a prospective randomised controlled trial of tranexamic acid and fibrin spray. Knee 2012; 4: 295-8
- 298. McEwen, P. J., Dlaska, C. E., Jovanovic, I. A., Doma, K., Brandon, B. J. Computer-Assisted Kinematic and Mechanical Axis Total Knee Arthroplasty: A Prospective Randomized Controlled Trial of Bilateral Simultaneous Surgery. Journal of Arthroplasty 2020; 2: 443-450
- McLawhorn, A. S., Fu, M. C., Schairer, W. W., Sculco, P. K., MacLean, C. H., Padgett, D. E. Continued Inpatient Care After Primary Total Knee Arthroplasty Increases 30-Day Post-Discharge Complications: A Propensity Score-Adjusted Analysis. Journal of Arthroplasty 2017; 9: S113-S118
- 300. McNamee, D. A., Convery, P. N., Milligan, K. R. Total knee replacement: a comparison of ropivacaine and bupivacaine in combined femoral and sciatic block. Acta Anaesthesiologica Scandinavica 2001; 4: 477-81
- 301. Mergenthaler, G., Batailler, C., Lording, T., Servien, E., Lustig, S. Is robotic-assisted unicompartmental knee arthroplasty a safe procedure? A case control study. Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy 2020; 0: 10
- 302. Mitchell, J., Wang, J., Bukowski, B., Greiner, J., Wolford, B., Oyer, M., Illgen, R. L., 2nd Relative Clinical Outcomes Comparing Manual and Robotic-Assisted Total Knee Arthroplasty at Minimum 1-Year Follow-up. HSS Journal 2021; 3: 267-273
- 303. Mizu-uchi, H., Matsuda, S., Miura, H., Okazaki, K., Akasaki, Y., Iwamoto, Y. The evaluation of post-operative alignment in total knee replacement using a CT-based navigation system. Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery British Volume 2008; 8: 1025-31
- 304. Moghtadaei, M., Farahini, H., Faiz, S. H. R., Mokarami, F., Safari, S. Pain management for total knee arthroplasty: Single-injection femoral nerve block versus local infiltration analgesia. Iranian Red Crescent Medical Journal 2014; 1:
- 305. Mohammad, H. R., Judge, A., Murray, D. W. A matched comparison of the patientreported outcome measures of 38,716 total and unicompartmental knee replacements: an analysis of linked data from the National Joint Registry of England, Northern Ireland and Isle of Man and England's National PROM collection programme. Acta Orthopaedica 2021; 0: 1-8
- 306. Mohammad, H. R., Matharu, G. S., Judge, A., Murray, D. W. Comparison of the 10-year outcomes of cemented and cementless unicompartmental knee replacements: data from the National Joint Registry for England, Wales, Northern Ireland and the Isle of Man. Acta Orthopaedica 2020; 1: 76-81
- 307. Molloy, D. O., Archbold, H. A., Ogonda, L., McConway, J., Wilson, R. K., Beverland, D. E. Comparison of topical fibrin spray and tranexamic acid on blood loss after total knee replacement: a prospective, randomised controlled trial. Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery British Volume 2007; 3: 306-9
- 308. Molt, M., Toksvig-Larsen, S. Similar early migration when comparing CR and PS in Triathlon™ TKA: A prospective randomised RSA trial. Knee 2014; 5: 949-54
- 309. Mori, N., Kimura, S., Onodera, T., Iwasaki, N., Nakagawa, I., Masuda, T. Use of a pneumatic tourniquet in total knee arthroplasty increases the risk of distal deep vein thrombosis: A prospective, randomized study. Knee 2016; 5: 887-9
- Murylev, V. Y., Muzychenkov, A. V., Zhuchkov, A. G., Tsygin, N. A., Rigin, N. V., Elizarov, P. M., Kukovenko, G. A. Functional outcomes comparative analysis of cemented and uncemented total knee arthroplasty. Journal of Orthopaedics 2020; 0: 268-274
- 311. Nam, D., Lawrie, C. M., Salih, R., Nahhas, C. R., Barrack, R. L., Nunley, R. M. Cemented Versus Cementless Total Knee Arthroplasty of the Same Modern Design: A Prospective, Randomized Trial. Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery American Volume 2019; 13: 1185-1192

- 312. Napier, R. J., O'Brien, S., Bennett, D., Doran, E., Sykes, A., Murray, J., Beverland, D. E. Intra-operative and short term outcome of total knee arthroplasty in morbidly obese patients. Knee 2014; 3: 784-8
- 313. Naylor, J. M., Hart, A., Mittal, R., Harris, I., Xuan, W. The value of inpatient rehabilitation after uncomplicated knee arthroplasty: a propensity score analysis. Medical Journal of Australia 2017; 6: 250-255
- Nelson, C. L., Elkassabany, N. M., Kamath, A. F., Liu, J. Low Albumin Levels, More Than Morbid Obesity, Are Associated With Complications After TKA. Clinical Orthopaedics & Related Research 2015; 10: 3163-72
- 315. Newman, J. H., Ackroyd, C. E., Shah, N. A. Unicompartmental or total knee replacement? Five-year results of a prospective, randomised trial of 102 osteoarthritic knees with unicompartmental arthritis. Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery - British Volume 1998; 5: 862-5
- 316. Newman, John H., Ackroyd, Christopher E., Shah, Nilen A., Karachalios, Theo Should the patella be resurfaced during total knee replacement?. The Knee 2000; 1: 17-23
- 317. Nicolaiciuc, S., Probst, P., von Eisenhart-Rothe, R., Burgkart, R., Hube, R. Modern Total Knee Arthroplasty (TKA): With Or Without a Tourniquet?. Surgical Technology International 2019; 0: 336-340
- 318. Nielsen, P. T., Jørgensen, L. N., Albrecht-Beste, E., Leffers, A. M., Rasmussen, L. S. Lower thrombosis risk with epidural blockade in knee arthroplasty. Acta Orthopaedica Scandinavica 1990; 1: 29-31
- Niki, Y., Kobayashi, S., Nagura, T., Udagawa, K., Harato, K. Joint Line Modification in Kinematically Aligned Total Knee Arthroplasty Improves Functional Activity but Not Patient Satisfaction. Journal of Arthroplasty 2018; 7: 2125-2130
- 320. Niki, Y., Nagura, T., Kobayashi, S., Udagawa, K., Harato, K. Who Will Benefit From Kinematically Aligned Total Knee Arthroplasty? Perspectives on Patient-Reported Outcome Measures. Journal of Arthroplasty 2020; 2: 438-442.e2
- 321. Nugent, M., Wyatt, M. C., Frampton, C. M., Hooper, G. J. Despite Improved Survivorship of Uncemented Fixation in Total Knee Arthroplasty for Osteoarthritis, Cemented Fixation Remains the Gold Standard: An Analysis of a National Joint Registry. Journal of Arthroplasty 2019; 8: 1626-1633
- 322. Numkanisorn, S., Chareancholvanich, K., Pornrattanamaneewong, C. Intravenous tranexamic acid before and after tourniquet use can reduce blood loss and blood transfusion after total knee arthroplasty. Journal of the Medical Association of Thailand 2016; 11: 1220-1225
- 323. Núñez, M., Lozano, L., Núñez, E., Sastre, S., Luis Del Val, J., Suso, S. Good quality of life in severely obese total knee replacement patients: a case-control study. Obesity Surgery 2011; 8: 1203-8
- 324. O'Neill, S. C., Butler, J. S., Daly, A., Lui, D. F., Kenny, P. Effect of body mass index on functional outcome in primary total knee arthroplasty - a single institution analysis of 2180 primary total knee replacements. World Journal of Orthopedics 2016; 10: 664-669
- 325. Ode, Q., Gaillard, R., Batailler, C., Herry, Y., Neyret, P., Servien, E., Lustig, S. Fewer complications after UKA than TKA in patients over 85 years of age: A case-control study. Orthopaedics & traumatology, surgery & research 2018; 7: 955-959
- 326. Ogur, H. U., Cicek, H., Seyfettinoglu, F., Tuhanioglu, U., Aydogdu, A., Kilicarslan, K. Does Body Mass Index Cause a Clinical Difference in Simultaneous Bilateral and Unilateral Knee Arthroplasty?. The Journal of Knee Surgery 2020; 0: 04
- 327. Ojemolon, P. E., Shaka, H., Edigin, E., Gomez, T. M. A., Eseaton, P., Bello, J., Azubuike, C., Adekola, O. P. Impact of Diabetes Mellitus on Outcomes of Patients With Knee Osteoarthritis Who Underwent Knee Arthroplasty: An Analysis of the Nationwide Inpatient Sample. Cureus 2020; 6: e8902
- 328. Ollivier, M., Parratte, S., Lino, L., Flecher, X., Pesenti, S., Argenson, J. N. No Benefit of Computer-assisted TKA: 10-year Results of a Prospective Randomized Study. Clinical Orthopaedics & Related Research 2018; 1: 126-134

- 329. Ollivier, M., Parratte, S., Lunebourg, A., Viehweger, E., Argenson, J. N. The John Insall Award: No Functional Benefit After Unicompartmental Knee Arthroplasty Performed With Patientspecific Instrumentation: A Randomized Trial. Clinical Orthopaedics & Related Research 2016; 1: 60-8
- 330. Omonbude, D., El Masry, M. A., O'Connor, P. J., Grainger, A. J., Allgar, V. L., Calder, S. J. Measurement of joint effusion and haematoma formation by ultrasound in assessing the effectiveness of drains after total knee replacement: A prospective randomised study. Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery British Volume 2010; 1: 51-5
- 331. Ortiz-Gómez, J. R., Perepérez-Candel, M., Vázquez-Torres, J. M., Rodriguez-Delrío, J. M., Torrón-Abad, B., Fornet-Ruiz, I., Palacio-Abizanda, F. J. Postoperative analgesia for elective total knee arthroplasty under subarachnoid anesthesia with opioids: Comparison between epidural, femoral block and adductor canal block techniques (with and without perineural adjuvants). Aprospective, randomized, clinical trial. Minerva Anestesiologica 2017; 1: 50-58
- 332. Ouanezar, H., Franck, F., Jacquel, A., Pibarot, V., Wegrzyn, J. Does computer-assisted surgery influence survivorship of cementless total knee arthroplasty in patients with primary osteoarthritis? A 10-year follow-up study. Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy 2016; 11: 3448-3456
- 333. Ozkunt, O., Sariyilmaz, K., Gemalmaz, H. C., Dikici, F. The effect of tourniquet usage on cement penetration in total knee arthroplasty. Medicine (United States) 2018; 4:
- 334. Öztas, S., Öztürk, A., Akalin, Y., Sahin, N., Özkan, Y., Otuzbir, A., Avcu, B. The effect of local and systemic application of tranexamic acid on the amount of blood loss and allogeneic blood transfusion after total knee replacement. Acta Orthopaedica Belgica 2015; 4: 698-707
- 335. Ozturk, A., Akalin, Y., Cevik, N., Otuzbir, A., Ozkan, Y., Dostabakan, Y. Posterior cruciatesubstituting total knee replacement recovers the flexion arc faster in the early postoperative period in knees with high varus deformity: a prospective randomized study. Archives of Orthopaedic & Trauma Surgery 2016; 7: 999-1006
- 336. Pacoret, V., Kalk, E., Labattut, L., Girardot, G., Baulot, E., Martz, P. Survival rate of cemented versus cementless tibial component in primary total knee arthroplasty over 5 years of follow-up: comparative study of 109 prostheses. Sicotj 2020; 0: 36
- 337. Padgett, D. E., Christ, A. B., Joseph, A. D., Lee, Y. Y., Haas, S. B., Lyman, S. Discharge to Inpatient Rehab Does Not Result in Improved Functional Outcomes Following Primary Total Knee Arthroplasty. Journal of Arthroplasty 2018; 6: 1663-1667
- 338. Pandit, H., Liddle, A. D., Kendrick, B. J., Jenkins, C., Price, A. J., Gill, H. S., Dodd, C. A., Murray, D. W. Improved fixation in cementless unicompartmental knee replacement: five-year results of a randomized controlled trial. Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery - American Volume 2013; 15: 1365-72
- 339. Park, J. W., Kim, Y. H. Simultaneous cemented and cementless total knee replacement in the same patients: a prospective comparison of long-term outcomes using an identical design of NexGen prosthesis. Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery - British Volume 2011; 11: 1479-86
- 340. Park, K. K., Han, C. D., Yang, I. H., Lee, W. S., Han, J. H., Kwon, H. M. Robot-assisted unicompartmental knee arthroplasty can reduce radiologic outliers compared to conventional techniques. PLoS ONE [Electronic Resource] 2019; 12: e0225941
- 341. Park, S. E., Lee, C. T. Comparison of robotic-assisted and conventional manual implantation of a primary total knee arthroplasty. Journal of Arthroplasty 2007; 7: 1054-9
- 342. Parker, D. A., Rorabeck, C. H., Bourne, R. B. Long-term followup of cementless versus hybrid fixation for total knee arthroplasty. 2001; 388: 68-76
- 343. Partio, E., Wirta, J. Comparison of patellar resurfacing and nonresurfacing in total knee arthroplasty: a prospective randomized study. Journal of orthopaedic rheumatology 1995; 2: 69-74

- 344. Peersman, G., Verhaegen, J., Favier, B. The forgotten joint score in total and unicompartmental knee arthroplasty: a prospective cohort study. International Orthopaedics 2019; 12: 2739-2745
- 345. Petersen, W., Metzlaff, S. Open wedge high tibial osteotomy (HTO) versus mobile bearing unicondylar medial joint replacement: five years results. Archives of Orthopaedic & Trauma Surgery 2016; 7: 983-9
- 346. Petursson, G., Fenstad, A. M., Gothesen, O., Dyrhovden, G. S., Hallan, G., Rohrl, S. M., Aamodt, A., Furnes, O. Computer-Assisted Compared with Conventional Total Knee Replacement: A Multicenter Parallel-Group Randomized Controlled Trial. Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery - American Volume 2018; 15: 1265-1274
- 347. Pfitzner, T., Abdel, M. P., von Roth, P., Perka, C., Hommel, H. Small improvements in mechanical axis alignment achieved with MRI versus CT-based patient-specific instruments in TKA: a randomized clinical trial. 2014; 10: 2913-22
- 348. Pfitzner, T., von Roth, P., Voerkelius, N., Mayr, H., Perka, C., Hube, R. Influence of the tourniquet on tibial cement mantle thickness in primary total knee arthroplasty. Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy 2016; 1: 96-101
- 349. Pietsch, M., Djahani, O., Zweiger, Ch, Plattner, F., Radl, R., Tschauner, Ch, Hofmann, S. Custom-fit minimally invasive total knee arthroplasty: effect on blood loss and early clinical outcomes. Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy 2013; 10: 2234-40
- 350. Polat, A. E., Polat, B., Gurpinar, T., Carkci, E., Guler, O. The effect of morbid obesity (BMI >= 35 kg/m2) on functional outcome and complication rate following unicompartmental knee arthroplasty: a case-control study. Journal of Orthopaedic Surgery 2019; 1: 266
- 351. Poultsides, L. A., Memtsoudis, S. G., Do, H. T., Sculco, T. P., Figgie, M. P. Perioperative morbidity and mortality of same-admission staged bilateral TKA. Clinical Orthopaedics & Related Research 2015; 1: 190-7
- Pulido, L., Abdel, M. P., Lewallen, D. G., Stuart, M. J., Sanchez-Sotelo, J., Hanssen, A. D., Pagnano, M. W. The Mark Coventry Award: Trabecular metal tibial components were durable and reliable in primary total knee arthroplasty: a randomized clinical trial. 2015; 1: 34-42
- 353. Purcell, R. L., Cody, J. P., Ammeen, D. J., Goyal, N., Engh, G. A. Elimination of Preoperative Flexion Contracture as a Contraindication for Unicompartmental Knee Arthroplasty. Journal of the American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons 2018; 7: e158-e163
- 354. Quinlan, N. D., Chen, D. Q., Werner, B. C., Barnes, C. L., Browne, J. A. Patients With Multiple Sclerosis are at Increased Risk for Postoperative Complications Following Total Hip and Knee Arthroplasty. Journal of Arthroplasty 2019; 8: 1606-1610
- 355. Quispel, C. R., van Egmond, J. C., Bruin, M. M., Spekenbrink-Spooren, A., Verburg, H., Pasma, J. H. No effect of fixation type on early and late mortality after total knee arthroplasty: a Dutch arthroplasty register study. Knee surgery, sports traumatology, arthroscopy : official journal of the ESSKA 2021; 0:
- 356. Raaij, T. M. V., Meij, E. V., Vries, A. J., Raay, Jjamv Patellar Resurfacing Does Not Improve Clinical Outcome in Patients with Symptomatic Tricompartmental Knee Osteoarthritis. An RCT Study of 40 Patients Receiving Primary Cruciate Retaining Total Knee Arthroplasty. The Journal of Knee Surgery 2020; 0: 20
- 357. Rassir, R., Sierevelt, I. N., van Steenbergen, L. N., Nolte, P. A. Is obesity associated with short-term revision after total knee arthroplasty? An analysis of 121,819 primary procedures from the Dutch Arthroplasty Register. Knee 2020; 6: 1899-1906
- 358. Reategui, D., Tornero, E., Popescu, D., Sastre, S., Camafort, M., Gines, G., Combalia, A., Lozano, L. Postoperative hyperglycaemia control reduces postoperative complications in patients subject to total knee arthroplasty. Knee 2017; 1: 128-136
- 359. Reinhardt, K. R., Duggal, S., Umunna, B. P., Reinhardt, G. A., Nam, D., Alexiades, M., Cornell, C. N. Intraarticular analgesia versus epidural plus femoral nerve block after TKA: a randomized, double-blind trial. Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research 2014; 5: 1400-1408

- 360. Roberts, D. W., Hayes, T. D., Tate, C. T., Lesko, J. P. Selective patellar resurfacing in total knee arthroplasty: a prospective, randomized, double-blind study. Journal of Arthroplasty 2015; 2: 216-22
- 361. Rodkey, D. L., McMillan, L. J., Slaven, S. E., Treyster, D. A., Dickens, J. F., Cody, J. P. Unicompartmental Knee Arthroplasty: More Conversions, Fewer Complications Than Proximal Tibial Osteotomy in a Young Population. Journal of Arthroplasty 2021; 0: 08
- 362. Rodriguez-Merchan, E. C., Ortega-Andreu, M., Padilla-Eguiluz, N. G., Gomez-Cardero, P., Martinez-Lloreda, A., Gomez-Barrena, E. Low-volume formulation of intra-articular tranexamic acid, 25-ml tranexamic acid (2.5 g) plus 20-ml saline, is effective in decreasing blood transfusion rate in primary total knee replacement even without preoperative haemoglobin optimization. Blood Coagulation & Fibrinolysis 2016; 6: 660-6
- Roh, Y. W., Jang, J., Choi, W. C., Lee, J. K., Chun, S. H., Lee, S., Seong, S. C., Lee, M.
  C. Preservation of the posterior cruciate ligament is not helpful in highly conforming mobilebearing total knee arthroplasty: a randomized controlled study. Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy 2013; 12: 2850-9
- 364. Roh, Y. W., Kim, T. W., Lee, S., Seong, S. C., Lee, M. C. Is TKA using patient-specific instruments comparable to conventional TKA? A randomized controlled study of one system. 2013; 12: 3988-95
- 365. Rousseau-Saine, N., Williams, S. R., Girard, F., Hebert, L. J., Robin, F., Duchesne, L., Lavoie, F., Ruel, M. The Effect of Adductor Canal Block on Knee Extensor Muscle Strength 6 Weeks After Total Knee Arthroplasty: A Randomized, Controlled Trial. Anesthesia & Analgesia 2018; 3: 1019-1027
- 366. Roy, S. P., Tanki, U. F., Dutta, A., Jain, S. K., Nagi, O. N. Efficacy of intra-articular tranexamic acid in blood loss reduction following primary unilateral total knee arthroplasty. Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy 2012; 12: 2494-501
- 367. Ryu, S. M., Park, J. W., Na, H. D., Shon, O. J. High Tibial Osteotomy versus Unicompartmental Knee Arthroplasty for Medial Compartment Arthrosis with Kissing Lesions in Relatively Young Patients. Knee Surgery & Related Research 2018; 1: 17-22
- 368. Sa-Ngasoongsong, P., Channoom, T., Kawinwonggowit, V., Woratanarat, P., Chanplakorn, P., Wibulpolprasert, B., Wongsak, S., Udomsubpayakul, U., Wechmongkolgorn, S., Lekpittaya, N. Postoperative blood loss reduction in computer-assisted surgery total knee replacement by low dose intra-articular tranexamic acid injection together with 2-hour clamp drain: a prospective triple-blinded randomized controlled trial. Orthopedic Reviews 2011; 2: e12
- 369. Sa-Ngasoongsong, P., Wongsak, S., Chanplakorn, P., Woratanarat, P., Wechmongkolgorn, S., Wibulpolprasert, B., Mulpruek, P., Kawinwonggowit, V. Efficacy of lowdose intra-articular tranexamic acid in total knee replacement; A prospective triple-blinded randomized controlled trial. BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders 2013; 0:
- 370. Sadigursky, D., Andion, D., Boureau, P., Ferreira, M. C., Carneiro, R. J., Colavolpe, P. O. Effect of Tranexamic Acid on Bleeding Control in Total Knee Arthroplasty. Acta Ortopedica Brasileira 2016; 3: 131-6
- 371. Sahin, I. G., Akalin, Y., Cevik, N., Otuzbir, A., Ozkan, Y., Ozturk, A. Tranexamic acid in total knee replacement which protocol? which application form? A prospective randomised study. Acta Orthopaedica Belgica 2019; 4: 484-493
- 372. Sahin, L., Korkmaz, H. F., Sahin, M., Atalan, G. Ultrasound-guided single-injection femoral nerve block provides effective analgesia after total knee arthroplasty up to 48 hours. Agri Dergisi 2014; 3: 113-8
- 373. Saini, R., Powell, J., Sharma, R., Puloski, S., Mahdavi, S., Smith, C., Johnston, K. Onestage versus 2-stage bilateral total joint arthroplasty: a matched cohort study. Canadian Journal of Surgery 2020; 2: E167-E173

- 374. Sarzaeem, M. M., Amozade Omrani, F., Rostami Abousaidi, S., Aghaalikhani, M. Incidence of deep vein thrombosis following staged bilateral and simultaneous bilateral total knee arthroplasty. Trauma Monthly 2017; 4:
- 375. Sarzaeem, M. M., Rasi, A. M., Omrani, F. A., Darestani, R. T., Barati, H., Moghaddam, A. N., Bonakdar, M., Ramezani, K., Baroutkoub, M., Sayadi, S., Omidian, M. M. Comparison of pain and Oxford score of patients who underwent TKA with two methods of mechanical and kinematic alignment techniques. Pakistan Journal of Medical and Health Sciences 2021; 1: 665-670
- 376. Sarzaeem, M. M., Razi, M., Kazemian, G., Moghaddam, M. E., Rasi, A. M., Karimi, M. Comparing efficacy of three methods of tranexamic acid administration in reducing hemoglobin drop following total knee arthroplasty. Journal of Arthroplasty 2014; 8: 1521-1524
- Scarvell, J. M., Perriman, D. M., Smith, P. N., Campbell, D. G., Bruce, W. J. M., Nivbrant,
  B. Total Knee Arthroplasty Using Bicruciate-Stabilized or Posterior-Stabilized Knee Implants
  Provided Comparable Outcomes at 2 Years: A Prospective, Multicenter, Randomized, Controlled,
  Clinical Trial of Patient Outcomes. Journal of Arthroplasty 2017; 11: 3356-3363.e1
- 378. Schimmel, J. J., Defoort, K. C., Heesterbeek, P. J., Wymenga, A. B., Jacobs, W. C., van Hellemondt, G. G. Bicruciate substituting design does not improve maximal flexion in total knee arthroplasty: a randomized controlled trial. Journal of bone and joint surgery. American volume 2014; 10: e81
- 379. Schotanus, M. G. M., Boonen, B., van der Weegen, W., Hoekstra, H., van Drumpt, R., Borghans, R., Vos, R., van Rhijn, L., Kort, N. P. No difference in mid-term survival and clinical outcome between patient-specific and conventional instrumented total knee arthroplasty: a randomized controlled trial. Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy 2019; 5: 1463-1468
- 380. Schroeder-Boersch, H., Scheller, G., Fischer, J., Jani, L. Advantages of patellar resurfacing in total knee arthroplasty. Two-year results of a prospective randomized study. Archives of Orthopaedic & Trauma Surgery 1998; 1: 73-8
- 381. Selvanayagam, R., Kumar, V., Malhotra, R., Srivastava, D. N., Digge, V. K. A prospective randomized study comparing navigation versus conventional total knee arthroplasty. Journal of Orthopaedic Surgery 2019; 2: 2309499019848079
- 382. Seo, J. G., Moon, Y. W., Park, S. H., Kim, S. M., Ko, K. R. The comparative efficacies of intra-articular and IV tranexamic acid for reducing blood loss during total knee arthroplasty. Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy 2013; 8: 1869-74
- 383. Seol, J. H., Seon, J. K., Song, E. K. Comparison of postoperative complications and clinical outcomes between simultaneous and staged bilateral total knee arthroplasty. Journal of Orthopaedic Science 2016; 6: 766-769
- 384. Seol, Y. J., Seon, J. K., Lee, S. H., Jin, C., Prakash, J., Park, Y. J., Song, E. K. Effect of Tranexamic Acid on Blood Loss and Blood Transfusion Reduction after Total Knee Arthroplasty. 2016; 3: 188-93
- 385. Seon, J. K., Park, S. J., Lee, K. B., Li, G., Kozanek, M., Song, E. K. Functional comparison of total knee arthroplasty performed with and without a navigation system. International Orthopaedics 2009; 4: 987-90
- 386. Sepah, Y. J., Umer, M., Ahmad, T., Nasim, F., Chaudhry, M. U., Umar, M. Use of tranexamic acid is a cost effective method in preventing blood loss during and after total knee replacement. Journal of Orthopaedic Surgery 2011; 0: 22
- 387. Serna-Berna, R., Lizaur-Utrilla, A., Vizcaya-Moreno, M. F., Miralles Muñoz, F. A., Gonzalez-Navarro, B., Lopez-Prats, F. A. Cruciate-Retaining vs Posterior-Stabilized Primary Total Arthroplasty. Clinical Outcome Comparison With a Minimum Follow-Up of 10 Years. Journal of Arthroplasty 2018; 8: 2491-2495
- 388. Shaofei, Z., Junliang, Z., Zhongxin, X., Peng, H., Xing, Z. Comparative analysis of the medium-term effect of the medial unicompartmental arthroplasty through medial approach next to patellar and high tibial osteotomy on medial compartment osteoarthritis of the knee. Biomedical Research (India) 2017; 7: 3276-3280

- 389. Sharma, L., Sinacore, J., Daugherty, C., Kuesis, D. T., Stulberg, S. D., Lewis, M., Baumann, G., Chang, R. W. Prognostic factors for functional outcome of total knee replacement: a prospective study. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 1996; 4: M152-7
- 390. Shaw, J. H., Lindsay-Rivera, K. G., Buckley, P. J., Weir, R. M., Banka, T. R., Davis, J. J. Minimal Clinically Important Difference in Robotic-Assisted Total Knee Arthroplasty Versus Standard Manual Total Knee Arthroplasty. Journal of Arthroplasty 2021; 7: S233-S241
- 391. Shih, L. Y., Cheng, C. Y., Chang, C. H., Hsu, K. Y., Hsu, R. W., Shih, H. N. Total knee arthroplasty in patients with liver cirrhosis. Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery - American Volume 2004; 2: 335-41
- 392. Siedlecki, C., Beaufils, P., Lemaire, B., Pujol, N. Complications and cost of single-stage vs. two-stage bilateral unicompartmental knee arthroplasty: A case-control study. Orthopaedics & traumatology, surgery & research 2018; 7: 949-953
- 393. Silva, A. N., Tay, Y. W. A., Si Heng, S. T., Foo, S. S. L., Pang, H. N., Keng Jin, D. T., Lo, N. N., Yeo, S. J. CT-based TruMatch R Personal Solutions for knee replacement Surgery ... Does it really match?. Journal of Orthopaedics 2020; 0: 17-20
- 394. Silverstein, R. S., Owashi, E. T., Rawicki, N. L., Patel, N. R., Shatkin, M. S., Zelicof, S. B. Retrospective matched case-control study evaluating cementless total knee replacements in patients with BMI over 30 kg/m2or in patients aged 65 yr and younger. Current Orthopaedic Practice 2021; 5: 495-499
- 395. Siman, H., Kamath, A. F., Carrillo, N., Harmsen, W. S., Pagnano, M. W., Sierra, R. J. Unicompartmental Knee Arthroplasty vs Total Knee Arthroplasty for Medial Compartment Arthritis in Patients Older Than 75 Years: Comparable Reoperation, Revision, and Complication Rates. Journal of Arthroplasty 2017; 6: 1792-1797
- 396. Singh, J. A., Lewallen, D. G. Medical and psychological comorbidity predicts poor pain outcomes after total knee arthroplasty. Rheumatology 2013; 5: 916-23
- 397. Singla, A., Malhotra, R., Kumar, V., Lekha, C., Karthikeyan, G., Malik, V. A randomized controlled study to compare the total and hidden blood loss in computer- assisted surgery and conventional surgical technique of total knee replacement. CiOS Clinics in Orthopedic Surgery 2015; 2: 211-216
- 398. Singleton, N., Nicholas, B., Gormack, N., Stokes, A. Differences in outcome after cruciate retaining and posterior stabilized total knee arthroplasty. Journal of Orthopaedic Surgery 2019; 2: 2309499019848154
- 399. Sloan, M., Sheth, N. P., Nelson, C. L. Obesity and hypoalbuminaemia are independent risk factors for readmission and reoperation following primary total knee arthroplasty. Bone & Joint Journal 2020; 6: 31-35
- 400. Smith, A. J., Wood, D. J., Li, M. G. Total knee replacement with and without patellar resurfacing: a prospective, randomised trial using the profix total knee system. Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery British Volume 2008; 1: 43-9
- 401. Smith, S. R., Bido, J., Collins, J. E., Yang, H., Katz, J. N., Losina, E. Impact of Preoperative Opioid Use on Total Knee Arthroplasty Outcomes. Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery -American Volume 2017; 10: 803-808
- 402. Sobh, A. H., Siljander, M. P., Mells, A. J., Koueiter, D. M., Moore, D. D., Karadsheh, M. S. Cost Analysis, Complications, and Discharge Disposition Associated With Simultaneous vs Staged Bilateral Total Knee Arthroplasty. Journal of Arthroplasty 2018; 2: 320-323
- 403. Song, E. K., Lim, H. A., Joo, S. D., Kim, S. K., Lee, K. B., Seon, J. K. Total knee arthroplasty using ultra-congruent inserts can provide similar stability and function compared with cruciate-retaining total knee arthroplasty. Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy 2017; 11: 3530-3535
- 404. Song, E. K., Seon, J. K., Prakash, J., Seol, Y. J., Park, Y. J., Jin, C. Combined Administration of IV and Topical Tranexamic Acid is Not Superior to Either Individually in Primary Navigated TKA. Journal of Arthroplasty 2017; 1: 37-42

- 405. Song, E. K., Seon, J. K., Yim, J. H., Netravali, N. A., Bargar, W. L. Robotic-assisted TKA reduces postoperative alignment outliers and improves gap balance compared to conventional TKA. Clinical Orthopaedics & Related Research 2013; 1: 118-26
- 406. Song, S. J., Kang, S. G., Lee, Y. J., Kim, K. I., Park, C. H. An intraoperative load sensor did not improve the early postoperative results of posterior-stabilized TKA for osteoarthritis with varus deformities. Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy 2019; 5: 1671-1679
- 407. Spangehl, M. J., Clarke, H. D., Hentz, J. G., Misra, L., Blocher, J. L., Seamans, D. P. The Chitranjan Ranawat Award: Periarticular injections and femoral & sciatic blocks provide similar pain relief after TKA: a randomized clinical trial. 2015; 1: 45-53
- 408. Spekenbrink-Spooren, A., Van Steenbergen, L. N., Denissen, G. A. W., Swierstra, B. A., Poolman, R. W., Nelissen, Rghh Higher mid-term revision rates of posterior stabilized compared with cruciate retaining total knee arthroplasties: 133,841 cemented arthroplasties for osteoarthritis in the Netherlands in 2007-2016. Acta Orthopaedica 2018; 6: 640-645
- 409. St Mart, J. P., de Steiger, R. N., Cuthbert, A., Donnelly, W. The three-year survivorship of robotically assisted versus non-robotically assisted unicompartmental knee arthroplasty. Bone & Joint Journal 2020; 3: 319-328
- 410. Starr, J., Rozet, I., Ben-Ari, A. A Risk Calculator Using Preoperative Opioids for Prediction of Total Knee Revision Arthroplasty. Clinical Journal of Pain 2018; 4: 328-331
- 411. Steffin, B., Green-Riviere, E., Giori, N. J. Timing of tourniquet release in total knee arthroplasty when using a postoperative blood salvage drain. Journal of Arthroplasty 2009; 4: 539-42
- 412. Steinhaus, M. E., Buller, L. T., Romero, J. A., Lee, Y. Y., Figgie, M. P., McLawhorn, A. S. Body Mass Index Classification Is Independently Associated with Health-Related Quality of Life after Primary Total Knee Arthroplasty: An Institutional Registry-Based Study. The Journal of Knee Surgery 2020; 4: 399-409
- 413. Stempin, R., Kaczmarek, W., Stempin, K., Dutka, J. Midterm Results of Cementless and Cemented Unicondylar Knee Arthroplasty with Mobile Meniscal Bearing: A Prospective Cohort Study. The open orthopaedics journal 2017; 0: 1173-1178
- 414. Stolarczyk, A., Nagraba, L., Mitek, T., Stolarczyk, M., Deszczynski, J. M., Jakucinski, M. Does Patient-Specific Instrumentation Improve Femoral and Tibial Component Alignment in Total Knee Arthroplasty? A Prospective Randomized Study. Advances in Experimental Medicine & Biology 2018; 0: 11-17
- 415. Stukenborg-Colsman, C., Wirth, C. J., Lazovic, D., Wefer, A. High tibial osteotomy versus unicompartmental joint replacement in unicompartmental knee joint osteoarthritis: 7-10-year follow-up prospective randomised study. Knee 2001; 3: 187-94
- 416. Stundner, O., Chiu, Y. L., Sun, X., Mazumdar, M., Fleischut, P., Poultsides, L., Gerner, P., Fritsch, G., Memtsoudis, S. G. Comparative perioperative outcomes associated with neuraxial versus general anesthesia for simultaneous bilateral total knee arthroplasty. Regional Anesthesia & Pain Medicine 2012; 6: 638-44
- 417. Sükür, E., Öztürkmen, Y., Akman, Y. E., Senel, A., Azboy, I The effect of tourniquet and knee position during wound closure after total knee arthroplasty on early recovery of range of motion: a prospective, randomized study. Archives of Orthopaedic and Trauma Surgery 2016; 12: 1773-1780
- 418. Sun, M. L., Zhang, Y., Peng, Y., Fu, D. J., Fan, H. Q., He, R. Accuracy of a Novel 3D-Printed Patient-Specific Intramedullary Guide to Control Femoral Component Rotation in Total Knee Arthroplasty. Orthopaedic Audio-Synopsis Continuing Medical Education [Sound Recording] 2020; 2: 429-441
- 419. Sun, P. F., Jia, Y. H. Mobile bearing UKA compared to fixed bearing TKA: a randomized prospective study. Knee 2012; 2: 103-6
- 420. Sun, Q., Yu, X., Nie, X., Gong, J., Cai, M. The Efficacy Comparison of Tranexamic Acid for Reducing Blood Loss in Total Knee Arthroplasty at Different Dosage Time. Journal of Arthroplasty 2017; 1: 33-36

- 421. Sun, Y. Q., Yang, B., Tong, S. L., Sun, J., Zhu, Y. C. Patelloplasty versus traditional total knee arthroplasty for osteoarthritis. Orthopedics 2012; 3: e343-8
- Sveikata, T., Porvaneckas, N., Kanopa, P., Molyte, A., Klimas, D., Uvarovas, V., Venalis, A. Age, Sex, Body Mass Index, Education, and Social Support Influence Functional Results After Total Knee Arthroplasty. Geriatric Orthopaedic Surgery & Rehabilitation 2017; 2: 71-77
- 423. Takubo, A., Ryu, K., Iriuchishima, T., Tokuhashi, Y. Comparison of Muscle Recovery Following Bi-cruciate Substituting versus Posterior Stabilized Total Knee Arthroplasty in the Asian Population. Journal of Knee Surgery 2017; 7: 725-729
- 424. Tam, K., Lee, Q. J., Wong, Y. C. Unicompartmental knee replacement An underrated alternative of total knee replacement: A matched comparative study analysing their benefits and risks in local population. Journal of Orthopaedics, Trauma and Rehabilitation 2018; 0: 58-61
- 425. Tanaka, S., Amano, T., Inoue, Y., Tanaka, R., Ito, H., Morikawa, S. Does body mass index influence quality-of-life recovery in individuals who underwent total knee arthroplasty: A prospective study. Journal of Orthopaedics, Trauma and Rehabilitation 2020; 2: 107-112
- 426. Tanikawa, H., Sato, T., Nagafuchi, M., Takeda, K., Oshida, J., Okuma, K. Comparison of local infiltration of analgesia and sciatic nerve block in addition to femoral nerve block for total knee arthroplasty. Journal of Arthroplasty 2014; 12: 2462-2467
- 427. Tanzer, M., Smith, K., Burnett, S. Posterior-stabilized versus cruciate-retaining total knee arthroplasty: balancing the gap. Journal of Arthroplasty 2002; 7: 813-9
- 428. Teeter, M. G., Marsh, J. D., Howard, J. L., Yuan, X., Vasarhelyi, E. M., McCalden, R. W., Naudie, D. D. R. A randomized controlled trial investigating the value of patient-specific instrumentation for total knee arthroplasty in the Canadian healthcare system. Bone & Joint Journal 2019; 5: 565-572
- 429. Teo, B. J. X., Chong, H. C., Yeo, W., Tan, A. H. C. The Impact of Diabetes on Patient Outcomes After Total Knee Arthroplasty in an Asian Population. Journal of Arthroplasty 2018; 10: 3186-3189
- 430. Thiengwittayaporn, S., Kanjanapiboonwong, A., Junsee, D. Midterm outcomes of electromagnetic computer-assisted navigation in minimally invasive total knee arthroplasty. 2013;
  0: 37
- 431. Thiengwittayaporn, S., Srungboonmee, K., Chiamtrakool, B. Resurfacing in a Posterior-Stabilized Total Knee Arthroplasty Reduces Patellar Crepitus Complication: A Randomized, Controlled Trial. Journal of Arthroplasty 2019; 9: 1969-1974
- 432. Thuysbaert, G., Luyckx, T., Ryckaert, A., Gunst, P., Noyez, J., Winnock De Grave, P. Reduced joint awareness after total knee arthroplasty with a cruciate retaining design. Acta Orthopaedica Belgica 2020; 3: 482-488
- 433. Tille, E., Beyer, F., Auerbach, K., Tinius, M., Lutzner, J. Better short-term function after unicompartmental compared to total knee arthroplasty. BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders 2021; 1: 326
- 434. Tille, E., Mysliwietz, J., Beyer, F., Postler, A., Lutzner, J. Intraarticular use of tranexamic acid reduces blood loss and transfusion rate after primary total knee arthroplasty. BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders 2019; 1: 341
- 435. Tio, M., Basora, M., Rios, J., Sanchez-Etayo, G., Berge, R., Sastre, S., Salazar, F., Lozano, L. Severe and morbid obesity and transfusional risk in total knee arthroplasty: An observational study. Knee 2018; 5: 923-931
- 436. Todesca, A., Garro, L., Penna, M., Bejui-Hugues, J. Conventional versus computernavigated TKA: a prospective randomized study. Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy 2017; 6: 1778-1783
- 437. Toftdahl, K., Nikolajsen, L., Haraldsted, V., Madsen, F., Tønnesen, E. K., Søballe, K. Comparison of peri- and intraarticular analgesia with femoral nerve block after total knee arthroplasty: a randomized clinical trial. Acta Orthopaedica 2007; 2: 172-9

- 438. Torres-Claramunt, R., Hinarejos, P., Amestoy, J., Leal, J., Sanchez-Soler, J., Puig-Verdie, L., Monllau, J. C. Depressed patients feel more pain in the short term after total knee arthroplasty. Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy 2017; 11: 3411-3416
- 439. Torres-Claramunt, R., Hinarejos, P., Leal-Blanquet, J., Sanchez-Soler, J. F., Mari-Molina, R., Puig-Verdie, L., Monllau, J. C. Does Obesity Influence on the Functional Outcomes of a Total Knee Arthroplasty?. Obesity Surgery 2016; 12: 2989-2994
- 440. Tsuda, K., Shibuya, T., Okamoto, N., Shiigi, E., Shirakawa, N., Hosaka, K., Akagi, R., Ohdera, T. Can accuracy with the iASSIST navigation be confirmed by assessment? A multicenter prospective randomized controlled trial with independent three-dimensional image assessment. Knee 2021; 0: 344-352
- 441. Tsukada, S., Wakui, M., Hoshino, A. Postoperative epidural analgesia compared with intraoperative periarticular injection for pain control following total knee arthroplasty under spinal anesthesia: a randomized controlled trial. Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery American Volume 2014; 17: 1433-8
- 442. Tuncay, I., Bilsel, K., Elmadag, M., Erkocak, O. F., Asci, M., Sen, C. Evaluation of mobile bearing unicompartmental knee arthroplasty, opening wedge, and dome-type high tibial osteotomies for knee arthritis. Acta Orthopaedica et Traumatologica Turcica 2015; 3: 280-7
- 443. Turgeon, T. R., Cameron, B., Burnell, C. D., Hedden, D. R., Bohm, E. R. A double-blind randomized controlled trial of total knee replacement using patient-specific cutting block instrumentation versus standard instrumentation. Canadian Journal of Surgery 2019; 6: 460-467
- 444. Tveit, M. The Renaissance of Unicompartmental Knee Arthroplasty appears rational A radiograph-based comparative Study on adverse Events and patient-reported Outcomes in 353 TKAs and 98 UKAs. PLoS ONE [Electronic Resource] 2021; 9: e0257233
- 445. Tzatzairis, T. K., Drosos, G. I., Kotsios, S. E., Ververidis, A. N., Vogiatzaki, T. D., Kazakos, K. I. Intravenous vs Topical Tranexamic Acid in Total Knee Arthroplasty Without Tourniquet Application: A Randomized Controlled Study. Journal of Arthroplasty 2016; 11: 2465-2470
- 446. Uesugi, K., Kitano, N., Kikuchi, T., Sekiguchi, M., Konno, S. Comparison of peripheral nerve block with periarticular injection analgesia after total knee arthroplasty: a randomized, controlled study. Knee 2014; 4: 848-52
- 447. Ugurlu, M., Aksekili, M. A., Caglar, C., Yuksel, K., Sahin, E., Akyol, M. Effect of Topical and Intravenously Applied Tranexamic Acid Compared to Control Group on Bleeding in Primary Unilateral Total Knee Arthroplasty. The Journal of Knee Surgery 2017; 2: 152-157
- 448. Ukai, T., Kosuke, H., Ebihara, G., Watanabe, M. Comparison of periarticular multidrug infiltration and epidural catheter use in total knee arthroplasty: a prospective randomized controlled study. Journal of orthopaedic surgery (Hong Kong) 2020; 1:
- 449. van de Groes, S., van der Ven, P., Kremers-van de Hei, K., Koeter, S., Verdonschot, N. Flexion and anterior knee pain after high flexion posterior stabilized or cruciate retaining knee replacement. Acta Orthopaedica Belgica 2015; 4: 730-7
- 450. van den Boom, L. G. H., Brouwer, R. W., van den Akker-Scheek, I., Reininga, I. H. F., de Vries, A. J., Bierma-Zeinstra, S. M. A., van Raay, Jjam No Difference in Recovery of Patient-Reported Outcome and Range of Motion between Cruciate Retaining and Posterior Stabilized Total Knee Arthroplasty: A Double-Blind Randomized Controlled Trial. The Journal of Knee Surgery 2020; 12: 1243-1250
- 451. van der List, J. P., Chawla, H., Villa, J. C., Pearle, A. D. The Role of Patient Characteristics on the Choice of Unicompartmental versus Total Knee Arthroplasty in Patients With Medial Osteoarthritis. Journal of Arthroplasty 2017; 3: 761-766
- 452. van der List, J. P., Chawla, H., Zuiderbaan, H. A., Pearle, A. D. Patients with isolated lateral osteoarthritis: Unicompartmental or total knee arthroplasty?. Knee 2016; 6: 968-974
- 453. van Dorp, K. B., Breugem, S. J., Bruijn, D. J., Driessen, M. J. Promising short-term clinical results of the cementless Oxford phase III medial unicondylar knee prosthesis. World Journal of Orthopedics 2016; 4: 251-7

- 454. van Hamersveld, K. T., Marang-van de Mheen, P. J., Tsonaka, R., Valstar, E. R., Toksvig-Larsen, S. Fixation and clinical outcome of uncemented peri-apatite-coated versus cemented total knee arthroplasty : five-year follow-up of a randomised controlled trial using radiostereometric analysis (RSA). Bone & Joint Journal 2017; 11: 1467-1476
- 455. Van Leeuwen, Jamj, Snorrason, F., Rohrl, S. M. No radiological and clinical advantages with patient-specific positioning guides in total knee replacement: a multicenter randomized controlled trial. Acta Orthopaedica 2017; 0: 1-6
- 456. Venkatesh, H. K., Maheswaran, S. S. Age and Body Mass Index Has No Adverse Effect on Clinical Outcome of Unicompartmental Knee Replacement - Midterm Followup Study. Indian Journal of Orthopaedics 2019; 3: 442-445
- 457. Vertullo, C. J., Nagarajan, M. Is cement penetration in TKR reduced by not using a tourniquet during cementation? A single blinded, randomized trial. Journal of Orthopaedic Surgery 2017; 1: 2309499016684323
- 458. Vertullo, C., Lewis, P., Lorimer, M., & Graves, S. (2017). The effect on long term survivorship of surgeon preference for posterior stabilized or minimally stabilized total knee replacement: an analysis of 63416 cases from the AOANJRR. Orthopaedic Journal of Sports Medicine, 5(5\_suppl5), 2325967117S00170.
- 459. Vide, J., Freitas, T. P., Ramos, A., Cruz, H., Sousa, J. P. Patient-specific instrumentation in total knee arthroplasty: simpler, faster and more accurate than standard instrumentation-a randomized controlled trial. Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy 2017; 8: 2616-2621
- 460. Visser, M. A., Howard, K. J., Ellis, H. B. The Influence of Major Depressive Disorder at Both the Preoperative and Postoperative Evaluations for Total Knee Arthroplasty Outcomes. Pain Medicine 2019; 4: 826-833
- 461. Wan, R. C. W., Fan, J. C. H., Hung, Y. W., Kwok, K. B., Lo, C. K. M., Chung, K. Y. Cost, safety, and rehabilitation of same-stage, bilateral total knee replacements compared to two-stage total knee replacements. Knee Surgery & Related Research 2021; 1: 17
- 462. Wang, C. G., Sun, Z. H., Liu, J., Cao, J. G., Li, Z. J. Safety and efficacy of intra-articular tranexamic acid injection without drainage on blood loss in total knee arthroplasty: A randomized clinical trial. International Journal Of Surgery 2015; 0: 1-7
- 463. Wang, D., Luo, Z. Y., Yu, Z. P., Liu, L. X., Chen, C., Meng, W. K., Yu, Q. P., Pei, F. X., Zhou, Z. K., Zeng, W. N. The antifibrinolytic and anti-inflammatory effects of multiple doses of oral tranexamic acid in total knee arthroplasty patients: a randomized controlled trial. Journal of Thrombosis and Haemostasis 2018; 12: 2442-2453
- 464. Warren, J. A., Siddiqi, A., Krebs, V. E., Molloy, R., Higuera, C. A., & Piuzzi, N. S. (2021). Bilateral simultaneous total knee arthroplasty may not be safe even in the healthiest patients. JBJS, 103(4), 303-311.
- 465. Watanabe, S., Akagi, R., Ninomiya, T., Yamashita, T., Tahara, M., Kimura, S., Ono, Y., Yamaguchi, S., Ohtori, S., Sasho, T. Comparison of joint awareness after medial unicompartmental knee arthroplasty and high tibial osteotomy: a retrospective multicenter study. Archives of Orthopaedic and Trauma Surgery 2021; 0:
- 466. Waters, T. S., Bentley, G. Patellar resurfacing in total knee arthroplasty. A prospective, randomized study. Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery American Volume 2003; 2: 212-7
- 467. Waterson, H. B., Clement, N. D., Eyres, K. S., Mandalia, V. I., Toms, A. D. The early outcome of kinematic versus mechanical alignment in total knee arthroplasty: a prospective randomised control trial. Bone & Joint Journal 2016; 10: 1360-1368
- 468. Weidenhielm, L., Mattsson, E., Broström, L. A., Wersäll-Robertsson, E. Effect of preoperative physiotherapy in unicompartmental prosthetic knee replacement. Scand J Rehabil Med 1993; 1: 33-9
- 469. Willacy, R. A., Olufajo, O. A., Esdaille, C. J., Raja, H. M., Wilson, R. H. The Role of Body Mass Index in Perioperative Complications Among Patients Undergoing Total Knee Arthroplasty. Journal of Surgical Orthopaedic Advances 2020; 4: 205-208

- 470. Wilson, J. M., Schwartz, A. M., Farley, K. X., Erens, G. A., Bradbury, T. L., Guild, G. N. The impact of preoperative tramadol-only use on outcomes following total knee arthroplasty Is tramadol different than traditional opioids?. Knee 2021; 0: 131-138
- 471. Witjes, S., Hoorntje, A., Koenraadt, K. L. M., Kerkhoffs, Gmmj, van Geenen, R. C. I. Higher Function Scores and Satisfaction in Patients with Anteromedial Osteoarthritis Compared with Other Wear Patterns of the Knee: 2 Years after Both Total and Unicondylar Knee Arthroplasties. The Journal of Knee Surgery 2020; 7: 629-635
- 472. Wong, J., Abrishami, A., El Beheiry, H., Mahomed, N. N., Roderick Davey, J., Gandhi, R., Syed, K. A., Muhammad Ovais Hasan, S., De Silva, Y., Chung, F. Topical application of tranexamic acid reduces postoperative blood loss in total knee arthroplasty: a randomized, controlled trial. Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery - American Volume 2010; 15: 2503-13
- 473. Wong, J., Murtaugh, T., Lakra, A., Cooper, H. J., Shah, R. P., Geller, J. A. Roboticassisted unicompartmental knee replacement offers no early advantage over conventional unicompartmental knee replacement. Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy 2019; 7: 2303-2308
- 474. Wood, D. J., Smith, A. J., Collopy, D., White, B., Brankov, B., Bulsara, M. K. Patellar resurfacing in total knee arthroplasty: a prospective, randomized trial. Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery American Volume 2002; 2: 187-93
- 475. Woon, C. Y., Piponov, H., Schwartz, B. E., Moretti, V. M., Schraut, N. B., Shah, R. R., Goldstein, W. M. Total Knee Arthroplasty in Obesity: In-Hospital Outcomes and National Trends. Journal of Arthroplasty 2016; 11: 2408-2414
- 476. Wu, Y., Yang, T., Zeng, Y., Li, C., Shen, B., Pei, F. Clamping drainage is unnecessary after minimally invasive total knee arthroplasty in patients with tranexamic acid: A randomized, controlled trial. Medicine 2017; 7: e5804
- 477. Wyatt, M. C., Hozack, J., Frampton, C., Hooper, G. J. Safety of single-anaesthetic versus staged bilateral primary total knee replacement: experience from the New Zealand National Joint Registry. ANZ Journal of Surgery 2019; 5: 567-572
- 478. Wyatt, M. C., Wright, T., Locker, J., Stout, K., Chapple, C., Theis, J. C. Femoral nerve infusion after primary total knee arthroplasty: A prospective, double-blind, randomised and placebo-controlled trial. Bone and Joint Research 2015; 2: 11-16
- 479. Xie, Z., Hussain, W., Cutter, T. W., Apfelbaum, J. L., Drum, M. L., Manning, D. W. Threein-one nerve block with different concentrations of bupivacaine in total knee arthroplasty: randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind trial. Journal of Arthroplasty 2012; 5: 673-8.e1
- 480. Xu, H., Yang, J., Xie, J., Huang, Z., Huang, Q., Cao, G., Pei, F. Tourniquet use in routine primary total knee arthroplasty is associated with a higher transfusion rate and longer postoperative length of stay: A real-world study. BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders 2020; 1:
- 481. Xu, J., Twiggs, J., Parker, D., Negus, J. The Association Between Anxiety, Depression, and Locus of Control With Patient Outcomes Following Total Knee Arthroplasty. Journal of Arthroplasty 2020; 3: 720-724
- 482. Xu, S., Chen, J. Y., Lo, N. N., Chia, S. L., Tay, D. K. J., Pang, H. N., Hao, Y., Yeo, S. J. The influence of obesity on functional outcome and quality of life after total knee arthroplasty: a ten-year follow-up study. Bone & Joint Journal 2018; 5: 579-583
- 483. Xu, S., Lim, W. J., Chen, J. Y., Lo, N. N., Chia, S. L., Tay, D. K. J., Hao, Y., Yeo, S. J. The influence of obesity on clinical outcomes of fixed-bearing unicompartmental knee arthroplasty: a ten-year follow-up study. Bone & Joint Journal 2019; 2: 213-220
- 484. Yacovelli, S., Grau, L. C., Hozack, W. J., Courtney, P. M. Functional Outcomes are Comparable Between Posterior Stabilized and Cruciate-Substituting Total Knee Arthroplasty Designs at Short-Term Follow-up. Journal of Arthroplasty 2020; 0: 12
- 485. Yadeau, J. T., Goytizolo, E. A., Padgett, D. E., Liu, S. S., Mayman, D. J., Ranawat, A. S., Rade, M. C., Westrich, G. H. Analgesia after total knee replacement: local infiltration versus epidural combined with a femoral nerve blockade: a prospective, randomised pragmatic trial. Bone & Joint Journal 2013; 5: 629-35

- Yakubek, G. A., Curtis, G. L., Khlopas, A., Faour, M., Klika, A. K., Mont, M. A., Barsoum, W. K., Higuera, C. A. Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease Is Associated With Short-Term Complications Following Total Knee Arthroplasty. Journal of Arthroplasty 2018; 8: 2623-2626
- 487. Yamagami, R., Inui, H., Jo, T., Kawata, M., Taketomi, S., Kono, K., Kawaguchi, K., Sameshima, S., Kage, T., Matsui, H., Fushimi, K., Yasunaga, H., Tanaka, S. Unicompartmental knee arthroplasty is associated with lower proportions of surgical site infection compared with total knee arthroplasty: A retrospective nationwide database study. Knee 2021; 0: 124-130
- 488. Yan, C. H., Chiu, K. Y., Ng, F. Y., Chan, P. K., Fang, C. X. Comparison between patientspecific instruments and conventional instruments and computer navigation in total knee arthroplasty: a randomized controlled trial. Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy 2015; 12: 3637-45
- 489. Yang, H. Y., Seon, J. K., Shin, Y. J., Lim, H. A., Song, E. K. Robotic total knee arthroplasty with a cruciate-retaining implant: A 10-year follow-up study. CiOS Clinics in Orthopedic Surgery 2017; 2: 169-176
- 490. Yang, Y., Lv, Y. M., Ding, P. J., Li, J., Ying-Ze, Z. The reduction in blood loss with intraarticular injection of tranexamic acid in unilateral total knee arthroplasty without operative drains: a randomized controlled trial. European journal of orthopaedic surgery & traumatologie 2015; 1: 135-9
- 491. Ye, X., Chen, Y., Lin, K., Zheng, Y., & Jiang, C. Clinical study of the optimal dose of intravenous tranexamic acid guided by thrombelastogram during the perioperative period of total knee arthroplasty. International Journal of Clinical and Experimental Medicine 2019; 6: 6989-6998
- 492. Yeo, J. H., Seon, J. K., Lee, D. H., Song, E. K. No difference in outcomes and gait analysis between mechanical and kinematic knee alignment methods using robotic total knee arthroplasty. Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy 2019; 4: 1142-1147
- 493. Yi, Z., Yan, L., Haibo, S., Yuangang, W., Mingyang, L., Yuan, L., Bin, S. Effects of tourniquet use on clinical outcomes and cement penetration in TKA when tranexamic acid administrated: a randomized controlled trial. BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders 2021; 1: 126
- 494. Yim, J. H., Song, E. K., Khan, M. S., Sun, Z. H., Seon, J. K. A comparison of classical and anatomical total knee alignment methods in robotic total knee arthroplasty. Classical and anatomical knee alignment methods in TKA. Journal of Arthroplasty 2013; 6: 932-937
- 495. Yoo, J. H., Oh, H. C., Park, S. H., Kim, J. K., Kim, S. H. Does Obesity Affect Clinical and Radiological Outcomes in Minimally Invasive Total Knee Arthroplasty? Minimum 5-Year Followup of Minimally Invasive TKA in Obese Patients. Clinics in Orthopedic Surgery 2018; 3: 315-321
- 496. Yoon, H. S., Han, C. D., Yang, I. H. Comparison of simultaneous bilateral and staged bilateral total knee arthroplasty in terms of perioperative complications. Journal of Arthroplasty 2010; 2: 179-85
- 497. Young, S. W., Sullivan, N. P. T., Walker, M. L., Holland, S., Bayan, A., Farrington, B. No Difference in 5-year Clinical or Radiographic Outcomes Between Kinematic and Mechanical Alignment in TKA: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Clinical Orthopaedics & Related Research 2020; 6: 1271-1279
- 498. Yu, X., Chen, G., Li, Z., Xu, R., She, Y., Zhang, X., Zhang, H. Alignment results of infrared computer-assisted navigation of total knee arthroplasty for end-stage knee osteoarthritis. American Journal Of Translational Research 2020; 8: 4772-4780
- 499. Yuan, D., Zhang, Q. S., Zhang, K., Cao, Y. W., Chen, G. H., Ling, Z. Z., Xu, H. Total Knee Arthroplasty Using a Medial Pivot or Posterior Cruciate-Stabilizing Prosthesis in Chinese Patients. The Journal of Knee Surgery 2020; 9: 892-898
- 500. Yuan, X., Li, B., Wang, Q., Zhang, X. Comparison of 3 Routes of Administration of Tranexamic Acid on Primary Unilateral Total Knee Arthroplasty: A Prospective, Randomized, Controlled Study. Journal of Arthroplasty 2017; 9: 2738-2743

- 501. Zengerink, I., Duivenvoorden, T., Niesten, D., Verburg, H., Bloem, R., Mathijssen, N. Obesity does not influence the outcome after unicompartmental knee arthroplasty. Acta Orthopaedica Belgica 2015; 4: 776-83
- 502. Zhang, S., Chong, M., Lau, B. P. H., Ng, Y. H., Wang, X., Chua, W. Do Patients With Diabetes Have Poorer Improvements in Patient-Reported Outcomes After Total Knee Arthroplasty?. Journal of Arthroplasty 2021; 7: 2486-2491
- 503. Zhang, Z., Zhu, W., Zhu, L., Du, Y. Superior alignment but no difference in clinical outcome after minimally invasive computer-assisted unicompartmental knee arthroplasty (MICA-UKA). Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy 2016; 11: 3419-3424
- 504. Zhao, Y. T., Chu, H. J., Heng, D. F., Lei, J. Comparison of the effectiveness and safety of one-stage versus two-stage bilateral total knee arthroplasty. Acta Orthopaedica Belgica 2015; 4: 784-9
- 505. Zhaohui, L., Wanshou, G., Qidong, Z., Guangduo, Z. Topical hemostatic procedures control blood loss in bilateral cemented single-stage total knee arthroplasty. Journal of Orthopaedic Science 2014; 6: 948-53
- 506. Zhou, K., Wang, H., Li, J., Wang, D., Zhou, Z., Pei, F. Non-drainage versus drainage in tourniquet-free knee arthroplasty: a prospective trial. ANZ Journal of Surgery 2017; 12: 1048-1052
- 507. Zhu, X., Shi, Q., Li, D., Wu, J., Guo, K., Zheng, X., Li, H. Two Doses of Tranexamic Acid Reduce Blood Loss in Primary Posterior Lumbar Fusion Surgery: A Randomized-controlled Trial. Clinical Spine Surgery : A Spine Publication 2020; 10: E593-E597
- 508. Ziqi, Z., Yufeng, M., Lei, Z., Chunsheng, W., Pei, Y., Kunzheng, W. Therapeutic Effects Comparison and Revision Case Analysis of Unicompartmental Knee Arthroplasty and Open Wedge High Tibial Osteotomy in Treating Medial Knee Osteoarthritis in Patients Under 60 years: A 2-6-year Follow-up Study. Orthopaedic Audio Synopsis Continuing Medical Education [Sound Recording] 2020; 0: 06
- 509. Zuiderbaan, H. A., van der List, J. P., Khamaisy, S., Nawabi, D. H., Thein, R., Ishmael, C., Paul, S., Pearle, A. D. Unicompartmental knee arthroplasty versus total knee arthroplasty: Which type of artificial joint do patients forget?. Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy 2017; 3: 681-686
- 510. Zywiel, M. G., Stroh, D. A., Lee, S. Y., Bonutti, P. M., Mont, M. A. Chronic opioid use prior to total knee arthroplasty. Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery American Volume 2011; 21: 1988-93

# Appendix II: PICO Questions and Inclusion Criteria Used to Define Literature Search

- 1. In adult patients with osteoarthritis undergoing TKA who have a drain put in at the time of surgery, is there a reduction in complications or an improvement in outcomes compared with patients who do not have a drain placed?
- 2. In adult patients with osteoarthritis undergoing KA (vs TKA?), does the use of bone cement fixation for one or more of the knee arthroplasty components improve outcomes or reduce complications when compared with use of bony ingrowth components (no use of bone cement).
- 3. In adult patients with osteoarthritis undergoing unicompartmental KA for predominantly unicompartmental OA, are outcomes and/or implant survivorship improved compared to those patients undergoing osteotomy (distal femoral for lateral compartment involvement, proximal tibial for medial compartment involvement, and tibial tubercle for patellofemoral involvement) or TKA?
- 4. In adult patients with osteoarthritis undergoing KA and with no known contraindications to specific anesthesia, does neuraxial anesthesia reduce complications or improve outcomes compared to general anesthesia?
- 5. In adult patients with osteoarthritis undergoing KA and with no known contraindications to specific anesthesia, does peri-operative peripheral nerve block for pain control reduce complications or improve outcomes compared to using no peripheral nerve block?
- 6. In adult patients with osteoarthritis undergoing KA and with no known contraindications to the use of tranexamic acid, does the use of topical, nasal, oral or intravenous tranexamic acid reduce complications and / or improve outcomes compared to not using tranexamic acid?
- 7. In adult patients with bilateral osteoarthritis undergoing TKA and with no known contraindications, does bilateral simultaneous KA (both knee surgeries during the same anesthetic) have improved outcomes or reduced complications compared with the combined complications of both individual KA (two knee surgeries, with two separate anesthetics) either within 90 days or within 6 months?
- 8. In adult patients with osteoarthritis undergoing KA and with no known contraindications to surgical navigation, does intraoperative surgical navigation improve outcomes or decrease complications compared with not using surgical navigation?
- Are obese adult patients (using the WHO definition of BMI ≥ 30) with osteoarthritis undergoing TKA at higher risk for worse outcomes and/or increased complications as compared to non-obese patients (i.e. BMI < 30) undergoing TKA?</li>
- In obese (WHO definition, BMI ≥ 30) adult patients with osteoarthritis undergoing KA, are outcomes diminished (?) or complications increased compared with non-obese patients (WHO definition, BMI < 30) undergoing KA?</li>
- 11. Smoking: In adult currently tobacco-smoking patients with osteoarthritis undergoing KA, are outcomes diminished or complications increased compared with non-currently tobacco-smoking patients undergoing KA?
- 12. In depressed adult patients with osteoarthritis undergoing KA, are outcomes diminished or complications increased compared with non-depressed patients undergoing KA?
- 13. In diabetic adult patients with osteoarthritis undergoing KA, are outcomes diminished or complications increased compared with non-diabetic patients undergoing KA?
- 14. In adult patients with osteoarthritis undergoing TKA and with no known contraindications, does using a tourniquet during surgery improve outcomes or decrease complications compared with not using a tourniquet?
- 15. In adult patients with osteoarthritis undergoing TKA, does patellar resurfacing improve outcomes or decrease complications when compared to patients without patellar resurfacing?
- 16. In adult patients with osteoarthritis undergoing TKA, does the use of cruciate retaining arthroplasty design improve outcomes or decrease complications when compared to patients with posterior stabilized arthroplasty design?

- 17. In adult patients with osteoarthritis undergoing KA, does the use of patient specific technology improve outcomes and / or decrease complications when compared to standard knee replacement technique?
- 18. In adult patients with osteoarthritis undergoing KA and with no known contraindications to specific medications used, does peri-articular local infiltration (anesthetic and/or anti-inflammatory and/or analgesic) reduce complications or improve outcomes compared to not injecting this mixture?
- 19. In adult patients with osteoarthritis undergoing KA, does use of an all-polyethylene tibial component increase complications or diminish outcomes compared to a modular (metal and polyethylene) tibial component?
- 20. In adult patients with osteoarthritis undergoing KA, does discharge to an acute rehabilitation facility or skilled nursing facility improve outcomes and / or decrease complications compared with discharge to home, with or without home services?
- 21. In adult patients with osteoarthritis undergoing KA, does robotic-assistance technology improve outcomes and / or decrease complications?
- 22. In adult patients with osteoarthritis undergoing medial unicompartmental KA, does roboticassistance technology improve outcomes and / or decrease complications?
- 23. In adult patients with osteoarthritis undergoing KA, does kinematic alignment improve outcomes and / or decrease complications?
- 24. In adult patients with osteoarthritis undergoing KA, does pre-operative opioid consumption leads to poor patient outcomes?

# **STUDY INCLUSION CRITERIA**

### Criteria to be Customized by Work Group (by PICO question or stage of care, if necessary)

- 1. Study must be of an osteoarthritis-related injury or prevention thereof
- 2. Study must be published in or after 1966 for surgical treatment, rehabilitation, bracing, prevention
- 3. Study must be published in or after 1966 for all others non specified
- 4. Study should have 10 or more patients per group (Work group may further define sample size)
- 5. For surgical treatment a minimum of N days/months/year (refer to PICO questions for detailed follow up duration) For non-operative treatment a minimum of N days/months/year (refer to PICO questions for detailed follow up duration)
- 6. For prevention studies a minimum of N days/months/year (refer to PICO questions for detailed follow up duration)

### NEW 2020 Criteria to be Customized by Work Group (by PICO question or stage of care, if necessary)- approved

- 1. Study must be of an osteoarthritis-related injury or prevention thereof
- 2. Study must be published in or after 1995 for surgical treatment, rehabilitation, bracing, prevention
- 3. Study must be published in or after 1995 for all others non specified
- 4. Study should have 20 or more patients per group (Work group may further define sample size)
- 5. For surgical treatment a minimum of collect all follow up times (refer to PICO questions for detailed follow up duration)
- 6. For prevention studies a minimum of N days/months/year (refer to PICO questions for detailed follow up duration)

### **Standard Criteria for all CPGs**

Article must be a full article report of a clinical study.

Retrospective non-comparative case series, medical records review, meeting abstracts, historical articles, editorials, letters, and commentaries are *excluded*.

Confounded studies (i.e., studies that give patients the treatment of interest AND another treatment) are

### excluded.

Case series studies that have non-consecutive enrollment of patients are *excluded*.

Controlled trials in which patients were not stochastically assigned to groups AND in which there was either a difference in patient characteristics or outcomes at baseline AND where the authors did not statistically adjust for these differences when analyzing the results are *excluded*.

All studies of "Very Weak" strength of evidence are *excluded*. All studies evaluated as Level V will be *excluded*.

Composite measures or outcomes are *excluded* even if they are patient-oriented.

Study must appear in a peer-reviewed publication

For any included study that uses "paper-and-pencil" outcome measures (e.g., SF-36), only those outcome measures that have been validated will be included

For any given follow-up time point in any included study, there must be  $\geq$  50% patient follow-up (if the follow-up is >50% but <80%, the study quality will be downgraded by one Level)

Study must be of humans

Study must be published in English

Study results must be quantitatively presented Study must not be an in vitro study

Study must not be a biomechanical study

Study must not have been performed on cadavers

We will only evaluate surrogate outcomes when no patient oriented outcomes are available.

### Best Available Evidence

When examining primary studies, we will analyze the best available evidence regardless of study design. We will first consider randomized controlled trials identified by the search strategy. In the absence of two or more RCTs, we will sequentially search for prospective controlled trials, prospective comparative studies, retrospective comparative studies, and prospective case-series studies. Only studies of the highest level of available evidence are included, assuming that there were 2 or more

studies of that higher level. For example, if there are two Level II studies that address the recommendation, Level III and IV studies are not included.

We will only evaluate surrogate outcomes when no patient-oriented outcomes are available.

We did not include systematic reviews or meta-analyses compiled by others or guidelines developed by other organizations. These documents are developed using different inclusion criteria than those specified by the AAOS work group. Therefore, they may include studies that do not meet our inclusion criteria. We recalled these documents, if the abstract suggested they might provide an answer to one of our recommendations, and searched their bibliographies for additional studies to supplement our systematic review

\*2020 literature search for all PICOs will be performed from last search date of 2015 CPG

# Study Inclusion Criteria Appendix III: Literature Search Strategy

Database:	MEDLINE
Interface:	Ovid MEDLINE® and Epub Ahead of Print, In-Process & Other Non-Indexed Citations, Daily and Versions ® 1946 to December 3, 2020
Date of Initial Search:	12/4/2020
Search	SMOAK 2020
Line	Search Strategy
1	exp Osteoarthritis-Knee/ OR (gonitis OR gonarthritis OR gonarthros*).ti,ab,kf.
2	exp Knee-Joint/ OR Knee/ OR (knee OR knees).ti,ab,kf.
3	Osteoarthritis/ OR Arthritis/ OR (osteoarthriti* OR osteoarthros* OR OA OR arthriti* OR arthrosis OR ((non-inflamm* OR noninflamm* OR degenerat* OR hypertropic) AND (joint? OR disease?))).ti,ab,kf.
4	(exp Animals/ NOT Humans/) OR exp Cadaver/ OR (animal? OR dog OR dogs OR canine OR cats OR feline OR horse? OR equine OR mouse OR mice OR rat OR rats OR rabbit? OR sheep OR porcine OR pig OR pigs OR rodent? OR monkey?).ti. OR (cadaver* OR in vitro).ti,ab. OR ((comment OR editorial OR letter OR historical article) NOT clinical trial).pt. OR address.pt. OR news.pt. OR newspaper article.pt. OR pmcbook.af. OR case reports.pt. OR (case report? OR abstracts OR editorial OR reply OR comment? OR commentary OR letter OR biomechanic*).ti.
5	(exp Infant/ OR exp Child/ OR exp Adolescent/ OR (p?ediatric* OR child OR children OR childhood OR adolescen* OR juvenile?).ti.) NOT (exp Adult/ OR adult*.ti.)
6	((1 OR (2 AND 3)) NOT (4 OR 5)) AND English.lg.
7	Arthroplasty-Replacement-Knee/ OR (arthroplast* OR replacement? OR TKA OR TKR).ti,ab,kf.
8	6 AND 7
9	exp Drainage/ OR drain*.ti,ab,kf.
10	Bone-Cements/ OR (cement* OR uncement* OR press-fit* OR (hybrid ADJ (arthroplast* OR replacement? OR TKA)) OR PMMA OR polymethylmethacrylate).ti,ab,kf.
11	(unicompartment* OR compartment* OR unicondylar OR (partial ADJ5 (arthroplast* OR replacement? OR TKA))).mp.

12	Anesthesia-Conduction/ OR exp Anesthesia-Epidural/ OR Anesthesia-Spinal/ OR exp Injections-Spinal/ OR ((neuraxial OR spinal OR epidural OR regional).ti,ab,kf. AND (exp Anesthetics/ OR exp Analgesics/ OR (an?esthesia OR an?esthetic? OR analgesi*).ti,ab,kf.))
13	Anesthesia-Local/ OR Anesthetics-Local/ OR exp Nerve-Block/ OR exp Injections- Intra-Articular/ OR ((nerve OR local OR peripheral OR periarticular OR intraarticular OR articular).ti,ab,kf. AND (exp Analgesics/ OR exp Adrenal-Cortex-Hormones/ OR (an?esthesia OR an?esthetic? OR analgesi* OR block* OR inject* OR corticosteroid* OR cortisone OR prednisolone OR methylprednisolone OR triamcinolone OR glucocorticoid* OR steroid* OR anti-inflammat*).ti,ab,kf.))
14	Tranexamic-Acid/ OR tranexamic.ti,ab,kf.
15	(bilateral AND (simultaneous* OR sequential* OR ((one OR single) ADJ (stage? OR an?esthe*)))).ti,ab,kf.
16	Surgery-Computer-Assisted/ OR exp Computer-Aided-Design/ OR ((computer ADJ (assist* OR aid*)) OR patient-specific OR patient-matched OR PSI).ti,ab,kf.
17	(kinematic* AND mechanical* AND align*).mp.
18	Risk-Factors/ OR Risk-Assessment/ OR exp Comorbidity/ OR exp Overweight/ OR Body-Mass-Index/ OR exp Diabetes-Mellitus/ OR exp Nervous-System-Diseases/ OR (obes* OR body-mass OR BMI OR comorbidit* OR co-morbidit* OR depressi* OR diabet* OR smok* OR hepatitis OR renal-insufficiency OR HIV OR Parkinson* OR neuropath* OR prognos* OR (predict* AND (outcome? OR factor?))).mp.
19	tourniquet?.mp.
20	(patell* AND (resurfac* OR re-surfac*)).mp.
21	((cruciate OR bicruciate OR condylar) ADJ5 (retain* OR retention OR preserv* OR spar* OR stabili*)).mp.
22	(polyethylene? OR poly).mp.
23	(postdischarg* OR discharg*).mp.
24	robo*.mp.

25	exp Narcotics/ OR (narcotic? OR opioid? OR opiate? OR oxycodone OR morphine OR Duramorph OR fentanyl OR meperidine OR tramadol).ti,ab,kf.
26	8 AND (9 OR 16 OR 22)
27	8 AND (10 OR 11 OR 12 OR 15 OR 18 OR 19 OR 21 OR 23)
28	8 AND (13 OR 14 OR 17 OR 24 OR 25)
29	randomized-controlled-trial.pt. OR exp Randomized-Controlled-Trials-as-Topic/ OR random-allocation/ OR random*.ti,ab.
30	(MEDLINE OR (systematic* AND review*) OR meta-analys*).ti,ab. OR (meta-analysis OR systematic-review).pt.
31	29 OR 30
32	31 OR registr*.mp.
33	26 AND 31
34	8 AND 20 AND 32
35	limit 33 to yr="2015-Current"
36	limit 34 to yr="2015-Current"
37	limit 27 to yr="2015-Current"
38	28 OR 35 OR 36 OR 37
39	limit 38 to ez=20201204-20210924

Database:	Embase
Interface:	Elsevier
Date:	12/4/2020
Search	SMOAK 2020
Line	Search Strategy
1	knee-osteoarthritis/exp OR (gonitis OR gonarthritis OR gonarthros*):ti,ab,kw
2	knee/exp OR (knee OR knees):ti,ab,kw
3	osteoarthritis/de OR arthritis/de OR (osteoarthriti* OR osteoarthros* OR OA OR arthriti* OR arthrosis OR ((non-inflamm* OR noninflamm* OR degenerat* OR hypertropic) AND (joint\$ OR disease\$))):ti,ab,kw
4	abstract-report/de OR book/de OR editorial/de OR editorial:it OR note/de OR note:it OR letter/de OR letter:it OR case-study/de OR case-report/de OR chapter:it OR conference- paper/exp OR conference-paper:it OR conference-abstract:it OR conference-review:it OR (abstracts OR editorial OR reply OR comment\$ OR commentary OR letter OR biomechanic*):ti OR cadaver/de OR in-vitro-study/exp OR (cadaver* OR in-vitro):ti,ab OR animal- experiment/exp OR (animal\$ OR dog OR dogs OR canine OR cats OR feline OR horse\$ OR equine OR mouse OR mice OR rat OR rats OR rabbit\$ OR sheep OR porcine OR pig OR pigs OR rodent\$ OR monkey\$):ti
5	(Juvenile/exp OR (p\$ediatric* OR child OR children OR adolescen* OR juvenile\$):ti) NOT (adult/exp OR adult*:ti)
6	(#1 OR (#2 AND #3)) NOT (#4 OR #5) AND [english]/lim
7	knee-arthroplasty/exp OR (arthroplast* OR replacement\$ OR TKA OR TKR):ti,ab,kw
8	#6 AND #7
9	surgical-drainage/exp OR drain/exp OR drain*:ti,ab,kw
10	bone-cement/exp OR (cement* OR uncement* OR press-fit* OR (hybrid NEXT/1 (arthroplast* OR replacement\$ OR TKA)) OR PMMA OR polymethylmethacrylate):ti,ab,kw
11	unicompartmental-knee-prosthesis/de OR (unicompartment* OR compartment* OR unicondylar OR (partial NEAR/5 (arthroplast* OR replacement\$ OR TKA))):ti,ab,kw
12	spinal-anesthesia/de OR epidural-anesthesia/exp OR epidural-analgesia/de OR regional- anesthesia/de OR ((neuraxial OR spinal OR epidural OR regional):ti,ab,kw AND (anesthetic- agent/exp OR analgesic-agent/exp OR (an\$esthesia OR an\$esthetic? OR analgesi*):ti,ab,kw))
13	local-anesthesia/exp OR local-anesthetic-agent/exp OR nerve-block/exp OR periarticular- drug-administration/exp OR intraarticular-drug-administration/exp OR ((nerve OR local OR peripheral OR periarticular OR intraarticular OR articular):ti,ab,kw AND (analgesic-agent/exp OR (an\$esthesia OR an\$esthetic\$ OR analgesi* OR block* OR inject* OR corticosteroid* OR cortisone OR prednisolone OR methylprednisolone OR triamcinolone OR glucocorticoid* OR steroid* OR anti-inflammat*):ti,ab,kw))
14	tranexamic-acid/de OR tranexamic:ti,ab,kw
15	(bilateral AND (simultaneous* OR sequential* OR ((one OR single) NEXT/1 (stage\$ OR an\$esthe*)))):ti,ab,kw
16	computer-assisted-surgery/de OR computer-aided-design/exp OR ((computer NEXT/1 (assist* OR aid*)) OR patient-specific OR patient-matched OR PSI):ti,ab,kw
17	(kinematic* AND mechanical* AND align*):ti,ab,kw

18	risk-factor/de OR risk-assessment/de OR comorbidity/de OR comorbidity-assessment/exp OR overweight/exp OR body-mass/de OR diabetes-mellitus/exp OR neurologic-disease/exp OR depression/exp OR (obes* OR body-mass OR BMI OR comorbidit* OR co-morbidit* OR depressi* OR diabet* OR smok* OR hepatitis OR renal-insufficiency OR HIV OR Parkinson* OR neuropath* OR prognos* OR (predict* AND (outcome\$ OR factor\$))):ti,ab,kw
19	tourniquet/exp OR tourniquet\$:ti,ab,kw
20	(patell* AND (resurfac* OR re-surfac*)):ti,ab,kw
21	((cruciate OR bicruciate OR condylar) NEAR/5 (retain* OR retention OR preserv* OR spar* OR stabili*)):ti,ab,kw
22	polyethylene/de OR (polyethylene\$ OR poly):ti,ab,kw
23	hospital-discharge/de OR (postdischarg* OR discharg*):ti,ab,kw
24	robot-assisted-surgery/exp OR robotics/exp OR robo*:ti,ab,kw
25	narcotic-agent/exp OR narcotic-analgesic-agent/exp OR (narcotic\$ OR opioid\$ OR opiate\$ OR oxycodone OR morphine OR Duramorph OR fentanyl OR meperidine OR tramadol):ti,ab,kw
26	#8 AND (#9 OR #16 OR #22)
27	#8 AND (#10 OR #11 OR #12 OR #15 OR #18 OR #19 OR #21 OR #23)
28	#8 AND (#13 OR #14 OR #17 OR #24 OR #25)
29	randomized-controlled-trial/exp OR randomized-controlled-trial-topic/exp OR randomization/de OR random*:ti,ab,kw
30	systematic-review/exp OR meta-analysis/exp OR ((systematic* NEAR/2 review*):ti,ab,kw) OR meta-analys*:ti,ab,kw
31	#29 OR #30
32	#31 OR (register/de OR registr*:ti,ab,kw)
33	#26 AND #31
34	#8 AND #20 AND #32
35	#33 AND [2015-3000]/py
36	#34 AND [2015-3000]/py
37	#27 AND [2015-3000]/py
38	#28 OR #35 OR #36 OR #37
39	(#28 OR #35 OR #36 OR #37) AND [4-12-2020]/sd NOT [25-9-2021]/sd

Database:	Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL)
Interface:	Wiley (https://www.cochranelibrary.com/central)
Date:	12/4/2020
Search	SMOAK 2020
Line	Search Strategy
1	[mh "Osteoarthritis, Knee"] OR (gonitis OR gonarthritis OR gonarthros*):ti,ab,kw
2	[mh "Knee Joint"] OR [mh Knee] OR (knee OR knees):ti,ab,kw
3	[mh ^Osteoarthritis] OR [mh ^Arthritis] OR (osteoarthriti* OR osteoarthros* OR OA OR arthriti* OR arthrosis OR (("non inflamm*" OR noninflamm* OR degenerat* OR hypertropic) AND (joint? OR disease?))):ti,ab,kw
4	"conference abstract":pt OR (abstracts OR editorial OR reply OR comment? OR commentary OR letter OR biomechanic*):ti OR (cadaver* OR "in vitro"):ti,ab OR (animal? OR dog OR dogs OR canine OR cats OR feline OR horse? OR equine OR mouse OR mice OR rat OR rats OR rabbit? OR sheep OR porcine OR pig OR pigs OR rodent? OR monkey?):ti
5	([mh Infant] OR [mh Child] OR [mh Adolescent] OR (pediatric* OR paediatric* OR child OR children OR childhood OR adolescen* OR juvenile?):ti) NOT ([mh Adult] OR adult*:ti)
6	(#1 OR (#2 AND #3)) NOT (#4 OR #5)
7	[mh "Arthroplasty, Replacement, Knee"] OR (arthroplast* OR replacement? OR TKA OR TKR):ti,ab,kw
8	#6 AND #7
9	[mh Drainage] OR drain*:ti,ab,kw
10	[mh "Bone Cements"] OR (cement* OR uncement* OR "press fit*" OR (hybrid NEXT/1 (arthroplast* OR replacement? OR TKA)) OR PMMA OR polymethylmethacrylate):ti,ab,kw
11	(unicompartment* OR compartment* OR unicondylar OR (partial NEAR/5 (arthroplast* OR replacement? OR TKA))):ti,ab,kw
12	[mh ^"Anesthesia, Conduction"] OR [mh "Anesthesia, Epidural"] OR [mh "Anesthesia, Spinal"] OR [mh "Injections, Spinal"] OR ((neuraxial OR spinal OR epidural OR regional):ti,ab,kw AND ([mh Anesthetics] OR [mh Analgesics] OR (an?esthesia OR an?esthetic? OR analgesi*):ti,ab,kw))
13	[mh "Anesthesia, Local"] OR [mh "Anesthetics, Local"] OR [mh "Nerve Block"] OR [mh "Injections, Intra-Articular"] OR ((nerve OR local OR peripheral OR periarticular OR intraarticular OR articular):ti,ab,kw AND ([mh Analgesics] OR (an?esthesia OR an?esthetic? OR analgesi* OR block* OR inject* OR corticosteroid* OR cortisone OR prednisolone OR methylprednisolone OR triamcinolone OR glucocorticoid* OR steroid* OR "anti inflammat*"):ti,ab,kw))
14	[mh "Tranexamic Acid"] OR tranexamic:ti,ab,kw
15	(bilateral AND (simultaneous* OR sequential* OR ((one OR single) NEXT/1 (stage? OR an?esthe*)))):ti,ab,kw
16	[mh ^"Surgery, Computer-Assisted"] OR [mh "Computer-Aided Design"] OR ((computer NEXT/1 (assist* OR aid*)) OR "patient specific" OR "patient matched" OR PSI):ti,ab,kw
17	(kinematic* AND mechanical* AND align*):ti,ab,kw

18	[mh "Risk Factors"] OR [mh ^"Risk Assessment"] OR [mh Comorbidity] OR [mh Overweight] OR [mh "Body Mass Index"] OR [mh "Diabetes Mellitus"] OR [mh "Nervous System Diseases"] OR (obes* OR "body mass" OR BMI OR comorbidit* OR "co morbidit*" OR depressi* OR diabet* OR smok* OR hepatitis OR "renal insufficiency" OR HIV OR Parkinson* OR neuropath* OR prognos* OR (predict* AND (outcome? OR factor?))):ti,ab,kw
19	tourniquet?:ti,ab,kw
20	(patell* AND (resurfac* OR "re surfac*")):ti,ab,kw
21	((cruciate OR bicruciate OR condylar) NEAR/5 (retain* OR retention OR preserv* OR spar* OR stabili*)):ti,ab,kw
22	(polyethylene? OR poly):ti,ab,kw
23	(postdischarg* OR discharg*):ti,ab,kw
24	robo*:ti,ab,kw
25	[mh Narcotics] OR (narcotic? OR opioid? OR opiate? OR oxycodone OR morphine OR Duramorph OR fentanyl OR meperidine OR tramadol):ti,ab,kw
26	#8 AND (#9 OR #16 OR #20 OR #22) with Publication Year from 2015 to 2020, in Trials [with Cochrane Library publication date from Dec 2020 to Sep 2021, in Trials]> <b>this last part is on</b> <b>update only</b>
27	#8 AND (#10 OR #11 OR #12 OR #15 OR #18 OR #19 OR #21 OR #23) with Publication Year from 2015 to 2020, in Trials [with Cochrane Library publication date from Dec 2020 to Sep 2021, in Trials]> <b>this last part is on update only</b>
28	#8 AND (#13 OR #14 OR #17 OR #24 OR #25) [with Cochrane Library publication date from Dec 2020 to Sep 2021, in Trials]> <b>this last part is on update only</b>
29	#26 OR #27 OR #28

# Appendix IV: Guideline Development Group Disclosures

### Jonathan Godin, MD, Co-Chair

jonathan.godin1@gmail.com Submitted on: 03/20/2020 American Shoulder and Elbow Surgeons: Board or committee member (\$0) Committee Member (Self) Arthroscopy Association of North America: Board or committee member (\$0) Bioventus: Paid consultant (\$3,500) N/A(Self) Mitek: Paid consultant (\$0) Nice Recovery Systems: Stock or stock Options Number of Shares: 5,000 N/A(Self) Smith & Nephew: Paid consultant (\$10,000) N/A(Self)

# Ajay Srivastava, MD, FAAOS, Co-Chair

ajayks1@gmail.com Submitted on: 04/08/2020 Michigan Arthroplasty Registry Collaborative Quality Initiative (MARCQI): Board or committee member (\$0)

# Michael Blankstein, FRCSC, FAAOS

michael.blankstein@uvmhealth.org Submitted on: 10/02/2019 7D Surgical: Stock or stock Options Number of Shares: 100,000 N/A(Self)

# Kathryn Schabel, MD, FAAOS

schabel@ohsu.edu (This individual reported nothing to disclose); Submitted on: 02/01/2020

# Justin T. Deen, MD

deenjt@ortho.ufl.edu Submitted on: 03/20/2020 American Association of Hip and Knee Surgeons: Board or committee member (\$0)

# Jaime Bellamy, DO, FAAOS

jaime.bellamy@gmail.com (This individual reported nothing to disclose); Submitted on: 12/11/2019

# Nicolas Piuzzi, MD

nspiuzzi@gmail.com Submitted on: 03/20/2020 ISCT: Board or committee member (\$0) Musculoskeletal committee (Self) Journal of Hip Surgery: Editorial or governing board (\$0) Associate Editor (Self) Orthopaedic Research Society: Board or committee member (\$0) Clinical Research Committee (Self) RegenLab: Research support (\$150,000) Prospective RCT(Self) Zimmer: Research support (\$75,000) Postmarket analysis – Perfusr (Self)

# David Christensen, MD

christensen.david.d@gmail.com Submitted on: 03/20/2020 OREF: Research support (\$50,000) Goldberg Arthritis Grant (Self) Stryker: Research support (\$0)

# Sharon Walton, MD, FAAOS

shrnwalton@gmail.com (This individual reported nothing to disclose); Submitted on: 03/20/2020